

# Functional analysis

## Solutions to 8. Exercise Sheet

### Exercise 1 (Functions of local bounded variation)

For a function  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the functional

$$\Phi_f: C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g \mapsto - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \operatorname{div}(g)(x) dx.$$

We call the function  $f$  a function of local bounded variation if and only if for every compact subset  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  it holds

$$C(K) = \sup \{ \Phi_f(g) : g \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ with } \operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq K \text{ and } |g(x)| \leq 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \} < \infty.$$

Show that there is a Radon measure  $\mu_f$  and a  $\mu_f$ -measurable function  $\eta_f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|\eta_f| = 1$   $\mu_f$ -almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that we have

$$\Phi_f(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \cdot \eta_f(x) d\mu_f(x) \text{ for all } g \in C^0_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Prove the identities

$$\mu_f(A) = \mathcal{L}^d \llcorner |\nabla f|(A) := \int_A |\nabla f(x)| dx \text{ for all } \mu_f\text{-measurable subsets } A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \eta_f = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} \text{ for } f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

### Solution of Exercise 1

We denote by  $BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  the set of  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  functions of local bounded variation. Let  $f \in BV_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  be a function of local bounded variation. The functional  $\Phi_f$  is linear on  $C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , since the divergenz  $\operatorname{div}$  and the integral are linear. If  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is an open and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then by the theorem of Heine-Borel we know that the subset  $\bar{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is compact. Then we know that

$$|\Phi_f(g)| \leq C(\bar{V}) \|g\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} \text{ for all } g \in C^1_c(V, \mathbb{R}^d),$$

i.e.  $\Phi_f$  is a continuous/ bounded linear functional on  $C^1_c(V, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\|\Phi_f\| = C(\bar{V})$ . Now let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and choose some open and bounded subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $K \subseteq V$ . Let  $g \in C^0_c(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  be arbitrary with  $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq K$ . Then by Analysis III there is a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^1_c(V, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on  $V$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g - g_n\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

By linearity of  $\Phi_f$  and the estimate above we get that

$$|\Phi_f(g_n) - \Phi_f(g_m)| = |\Phi_f(g_n - g_m)| \leq C(\bar{V}) \|g_n - g_m\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n, m \rightarrow \infty,$$

since  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a Cauchy-sequence in  $L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . This means that  $(\Phi_f(g_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a Cauchy-sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  is complete, i.e.

$$A := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_f(g_n) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}.$$

If  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^1_c(V, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is another sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g - h_n\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} = 0,$$

then we also get that

$$B := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_f(h_n) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}.$$

We get by the linearity and boundedness of  $\Phi_f$  and by the convergence of  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  that

$$|A - B| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi_f(g_n) - \Phi_f(h_n)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi_f(g_n - h_n)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(\bar{V}) \|g_n - h_n\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(\bar{V}) \|g_n - g\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(\bar{V}) \|g - h_n\|_{L^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&= 0 + 0 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $|A - B| = 0$ , i.e.  $A = B$ . This means that the limit of  $\Phi_f(g_n)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  does not depend on the choice of the sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^1(V, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . And because of that we can extend our functional  $\Phi_f$  uniquely to a linear functional (since  $\Phi_f$  and the limit is also linear)

$$L_f: C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with

$$\sup \{L_f(g): g \in C_c^0(V, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ with } \text{supp}(g) \subseteq K \text{ and } |g| \leq 1 \text{ on } V\} \leq C(\bar{V}) < \infty$$

for each compact subset  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and for each open and bounded subset  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $K \subseteq V$ . The Riesz Representation Theorem gives us now a Radon measure  $\mu_f$  and a  $\mu_f$ -measurable function  $\eta_f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|\eta_f(x)| = 1$  for  $\mu_f$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , such that

$$L_f(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \cdot \eta_f(x) d\mu_f(x) \text{ for all } g \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

If additionally the function  $f$  is continuously differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then we get with Green's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_f(g) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \text{div}(g)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \cdot \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \cdot \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}(x) d\mathcal{L}^d \llcorner |\nabla f|(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . If we now extend this to  $C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \cdot \eta_f(x) d\mu_f(x) = L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \cdot \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}(x) d\mathcal{L}^d \llcorner |\nabla f|(x)$$

for  $g \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.  $\mu_f = \mathcal{L}^d \llcorner |\nabla f|$  and  $\eta_f = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$   $\mu_f$ -almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . □

## Exercise 2 ((C) Weak\* convergence)

Let the two functions  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[k, k+\frac{1}{2}]}, \quad g = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[k-\frac{1}{2}, k]} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Show that the sequences  $f_n, g_n, h_n: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , defined by

$$f_n(x) = f(nx), \quad g_n(x) = g(nx) \text{ and } h_n(x) = f_n(x)g_n(x) \text{ for } x \in (0, 1)$$

converge weakly\* in  $L^\infty((0, 1))$  to functions  $f, g$  resp. a function  $h: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , but it holds that  $h \neq fg$  on  $(0, 1)$ .

### Solution of Exercise 2

First we will show a much more general result:

**Theorem:** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an open and bounded interval on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$  be the conjugate Hölder exponent of  $p$ , i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a periodic function with period  $\lambda > 0$ , i.e.  $\varphi(x + \lambda) = \varphi(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the function sequence  $\varphi_n(x) := \varphi(nx)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in I$ , converge weakly\* in  $L^p(I)'$  to  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda \varphi(x) dx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof:** Set  $c := \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda \varphi(x) dx$ . Since  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  we get that the function

$$h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \int_0^x (\varphi(\tau) - c) d\tau$$

is (Lipschitz-)continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , because we have

$$|h(x) - h(y)| = \left| \int_0^x (\varphi(\tau) - c) d\tau - \int_0^y (\varphi(\tau) - c) d\tau \right| = \left| \int_y^x \varphi(\tau) d\tau + c(y - x) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\min\{x,y\}}^{\max\{x,y\}} |\varphi(\tau)| d\tau + |c| |x-y| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\min\{x,y\}}^{\max\{x,y\}} 1 d\tau + |c| |x-y| \\
&= \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} |\max\{x,y\} - \min\{x,y\}| + |c| |x-y| \\
&= \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} |x-y| + |c| |x-y| = \left( \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + |c| \right) |x-y|.
\end{aligned}$$

The function  $h$  is also bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ , since by continuity it is clear that  $h$  is bounded on every compact interval on  $\mathbb{R}$  and we get for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
h(k\lambda) &= \int_0^{k\lambda} (\varphi(\tau) - c) d\tau = \int_0^{k\lambda} \varphi(\tau) d\tau - k\lambda c = \sum_{l=1}^k \left[ \int_{(l-1)\lambda}^{l\lambda} \varphi(\tau) d\tau - \lambda c \right] \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k \left[ \int_0^\lambda \varphi(\tau + (l-1)\lambda) d\tau - \lambda c \right] \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k \left[ \int_0^\lambda \varphi(\tau) d\tau - \lambda c \right] \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k 0 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and  $h(-k\lambda) = 0$  by the same calculation, i.e.  $L := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |h(x)| = \sup_{x \in [0, \lambda]} |h(x)| < \infty$ , i.e.  $h$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $J := (a, b) \subseteq I$  or  $J := [a, b] \subseteq I$  is an arbitrary interval we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_I (\varphi_n(x) - c) \chi_J(x) dx \right| &= \left| \int_a^b (\varphi(nx) - c) dx \right| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_{na}^{nb} (\varphi(x) - c) dx \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{n} |h(nb) - h(na)| \leq \frac{2L}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies now that if  $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a stepfunction we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_I (\varphi_n(x) - c) \psi(x) dx = 0$$

and we know that

$$\|\varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(I)} = \|\varphi(n \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(I)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(I)} < \infty$ . Then we get by Exercise 4 (2') that the sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge weakly to  $c$  in  $L^p(I)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge weakly\* to  $c$  in  $L^p(I)'$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

The functions  $f$  and  $g$  are obviously bounded by 1 and are periodic with period  $\lambda = 1 > 0$ , since for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have two cases: Case 01.:  $f(x) = 1$  resp.  $g(x) = 1$ .

Then there is some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  resp.  $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $x \in [k_0, k_0 + \frac{1}{2}]$  resp.  $x \in [l_0 - \frac{1}{2}, l_0]$ , and we get that  $x + 1 \in [k_0 + 1, k_0 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}]$  resp.  $x + 1 \in [l_0 + 1 - \frac{1}{2}, l_0 + 1]$ , i.e.

$$f(x+1) = 1 = f(x), \text{ resp. } g(x+1) = 1 = g(x).$$

Case 02.:  $f(x) = 0$  resp.  $g(x) = 0$ .

Then for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have that  $x \notin [k, k + \frac{1}{2}]$  resp.  $x \notin [l - \frac{1}{2}, l]$ , and we get that  $x + 1 \notin [k + 1, k + 1 + \frac{1}{2}]$  resp.  $x + 1 \notin [l + 1 - \frac{1}{2}, l + 1]$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.

$$f(x+1) = 0 = f(x), \text{ resp. } g(x+1) = 0 = g(x).$$

And we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1} \int_0^1 f(x) dx &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[k, k + \frac{1}{2}]}(x) dx = \int_0^1 \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(x) dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} 1 dx = \frac{1}{2} - 0 = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{1} \int_0^1 g(x) dx &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[k - \frac{1}{2}, k]}(x) dx = \int_0^1 \chi_{[1 - \frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x) dx = \int_0^1 \chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x) dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 1 dx = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the previous result we know that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge weakly\* to  $\frac{1}{2}$  in  $L^\infty((0, 1))$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We define the function  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h = fg$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then it is  $h_n = h(n \cdot)$ . The function  $h$  is obviously bounded by 1 and is periodic

with periode  $\lambda = 1 > 0$ , since we have  $h(x + 1) = f(x + 1)g(x + 1) = f(x)g(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  by the periodicity of  $f$  and  $g$ . For the integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1} \int_0^1 h(x) dx &= \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[k, k+\frac{1}{2}]}(x) \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[k-\frac{1}{2}, k]}(x) \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(x) \cdot \chi_{[1-\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x) dx = \int_0^1 \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(x) \cdot \chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x) dx = \int_0^1 \chi_{\{\frac{1}{2}\}}(x) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\{\frac{1}{2}\}$  has measure zero. By the previous result we get that the sequence  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge weakly\* to 0 in  $L^\infty((0, 1))$ , but  $0 \neq \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 3 (Weak convergence)

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we define

$$f_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_\varepsilon(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{x^2 + \varepsilon^2}}.$$

Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have that  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \sqrt{\pi}$ . Check the weak convergence of  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $f_\varepsilon^2$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .

### Exercise 4 ((C) Weak convergence in $L^2((-\pi, \pi))$ )

(1) Show that if  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2((-\pi, \pi))$  is a bounded sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u_n(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty((-\pi, \pi)),$$

the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges weakly to 0 in  $L^2((-\pi, \pi))$  if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2) Check if the sequence  $u_n(x) := \sin(nx)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in (-\pi, \pi)$ , converges pointwise on  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and/ or converges weakly in  $L^2((-\pi, \pi))$  if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .