

Let $\tilde{f} \in C^1(X)$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \delta, \delta > 0$
we set

$$\tilde{f}_\beta = \{u \in X : \tilde{f}(u) < \beta\}$$

$$K_\beta = \{u \in X : \tilde{f}(u) = \beta, (\tilde{f}')^*(u) = 0\}$$

$$N_{\beta, \delta} = \left\{ u \in X : |\tilde{f}(u) - \beta| < \delta, \right. \\ \left. \|(\tilde{f}')^*(u)\|_{X^*} < \delta \right\}$$

$$U_{\beta, \delta} = \{u \in X : \tilde{f}(u) < \beta - \delta, \|(\tilde{f}')^*(u)\|_{X^*} < \delta\}$$

Lemma 6.3: Suppose \tilde{f} satisfies
(P.S.) $_\beta$. Then

(i) K_β is compact

(ii) $(N_{\beta, \delta})$ and $(U_{\beta, \delta})$ are fundamental systems of open neighborhoods of K_β , i.e. given any neighborhood N of K_β $\exists \delta > 0, \delta > 0$:

$$N \supset N_{\beta, \delta} \quad N \supset U_{\beta, \delta}$$

(iii) If $K_\beta = \emptyset$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $N_{\beta, \delta} = \emptyset$.

Proof:

(i) $(u_k) \in K_\beta$ is obviously a $(P.S)_\beta$ -sequence

$\leadsto u_k \rightarrow a \in K_\beta$ by $(P.S)_\beta$ for
a subsequence.

(ii) Assume that the statement was wrong ∇
i.e. that there is a neighborhood N of K_β
such that

$$U_{\beta, \delta} \setminus N \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } \delta > 0.$$

$$\leadsto \exists u_k \in U_{\beta, \frac{1}{k}} \setminus N$$

$\leadsto (u_k)$ is $(P.S)_\beta$ -seq.

\leadsto For a subsequence

$$u_k \rightarrow u \in K_\beta \subset N$$

Hence, $u_k \in N$ for k large \checkmark

If $U_{\beta, \delta} \setminus N \neq \emptyset$ for every $\delta > 0$,

let

$$u_k \in U_{\beta, \frac{1}{k}} \setminus N, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and

$$v_k \in K_\beta \text{ with}$$

$$\|u_k - v_k\|_X \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

(i) \rightarrow For a subsequence, $v_k \rightarrow u$ and thus $u_k \rightarrow u$

Hence, $u_k \in \mathcal{N}$ for k large \downarrow

(ii) $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$ is a neighborhood of $K_\beta = \emptyset$.

Theorem 6.10: (THE deformation lemma)

Let $\tilde{f} \in C^1(X)$ sat. (P-S) for a given $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let \mathcal{N} be a neighborhood of K_β , $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$. Then there exists $0 < \epsilon < \bar{\epsilon}$ and $\Phi \in C^1(X \times [0, 1], X)$ s. th.

(i) $\Phi(\cdot, t): X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorph. $\forall t \in [0, 1]$.

(ii) $\Phi(u, t) = u$ if $t = 0$ or $\tilde{f}(u) = 0$ or $|\tilde{f}(u) - \beta| \geq \bar{\epsilon}$.

(iii) $t \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\Phi(u, t))$ is non-increasing, $\forall u \in X$

(iv) $\Phi(\tilde{f}_{\beta+\epsilon}^{-1}, 1) \subset \tilde{f}_{\beta-\epsilon}^{-1} \cup \mathcal{N}$

$\Phi(\tilde{f}_{\beta+\epsilon}^{-1} \setminus \mathcal{N}, 1) \subset \tilde{f}_{\beta-\epsilon}^{-1}$

Proof: (i) N is a neighborhood of x_β

\leadsto
Lemma

$\exists \gamma, \delta, \epsilon < 1$ such that

$$N \supset U_{\beta, 2\delta} \supset U_{\beta, \delta} \supset N_{\beta, \delta} \supset U_\beta$$

Fix $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4} \min \{ \bar{\epsilon}, \delta, \delta \}$

and choose $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & |s - \beta| \leq \epsilon \\ 0 & |s - \beta| \geq 2\epsilon \end{cases}$$

and $\eta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$
and

$$\eta(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u \notin N_{\beta, \delta} \\ 0 & u \in U_{\beta, \delta} \end{cases}$$

Set

$$e(u) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{f}(f(u)) \cdot \eta(u) \cdot \tilde{e}(u), & u \in X \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Afterwards we proceed as in Lemma
only to show (iv) is a bit more
complicated:

We have for $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta+\varepsilon}$ with $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(u, 1)) \geq \beta - \varepsilon$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}(u, 1)) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}(u) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(u, t)) dt$$

$$\leq \beta + \varepsilon - \int_0^1 \eta(\bar{\mathcal{F}}(u, t)) \cdot \tau(\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(u, t))) \cdot \langle \bar{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(u, t)), \bar{\mathcal{F}}'(\phi(u, t)) \rangle$$

$$\leq \beta - \varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot \mathcal{L}^1(\{t: \phi(u, t) \notin \mathcal{N}_{\beta, \delta}\})$$

If either $u \notin \mathcal{N}$ or $\phi(u, 1) \notin \mathcal{N}$
we get

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\{t: \phi(u, t) \notin \mathcal{N}_{\beta, \delta}\}) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

since $\mathcal{N}_{\beta, \delta}$ and \mathcal{N} have
distance $\frac{\delta}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \leadsto \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(u, 1)) &< \beta + \varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &< \beta - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$



Theorem 6.11: Assume $\tilde{f} \in C^1(X)$

admits a relative minimizer

$u_0 \in X$ and there exists $u_1 \in X$
with $\tilde{f}(u_1) < \tilde{f}(u_0)$.

Let

$$\beta = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \tilde{f}(\gamma(s))$$

where

$$\Pi = \left\{ \gamma \in C^1([0, 1], X) : \right. \\ \left. \gamma(0) = u_0, \gamma(1) = u_1 \right\}$$

and suppose that \tilde{f} sat. $(P-S)_\beta$.

Then either

(i) $\beta > \tilde{f}(u_0)$ and $K_\beta \neq \emptyset$

(ii) $\beta = \tilde{f}(u_0)$ and there is a
 $u \in K_\beta$ which is not a rel.
minimizer

(iii) $\beta = \tilde{f}(u_0) = \tilde{f}(u_1)$ and u_0, u_1
can be connected in any
neighborhood of K_β . //

Proof:

(i) If $\beta > \tilde{f}(u_0)$, then u_0 & u_1 are
in different components of \tilde{f}_β

\rightarrow apply Thm.

(ii) Suppose $\bar{s}(u_0) = \beta$ and that K_β consists entirely of relative minimizers.

$\leadsto \forall u \in K_\beta \exists$ open neighborhood $V(u)$ such that

$$\bar{s}(v) \geq \bar{s}(u) = \beta \quad \forall v \in V(u)$$

For any given neighborhood \mathcal{N} of K_β we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{N} \cap \bigcup_{u \in K_\beta} V(u) \subset \mathcal{N}$$

$\leadsto \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is an open neighborhood of K_β with

$$\bar{s}(v) \geq \beta \quad \forall v \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Now we apply Thm. 6.16 to $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ with $\bar{E} = 1$ to get $\Phi \in C^0(X \times [0, 1], X)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ with all the desired properties. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \bar{s}(\gamma(s)) < \beta + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\gamma_\lambda := \Phi(\gamma, 1)$$

Then

$$\gamma_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta-\epsilon} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$$

and $\gamma_1(0) = u_0$ since $u_0 \in X_\beta$
As $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta-\epsilon}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ are disjoint,
we get

$$\gamma_1 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$$

and if furthermore u_* was in X_β
and hence $\gamma_1(u_*) = u_1$ the Thm. would
be proven.

Claim: u_1 is critical.

Proof: If not, we can find a point \bar{u}_1
nearby such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{u}_1) < \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(u_1) \leq \beta = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(0)$$

but still

$$\beta = \inf_{\gamma \in \tilde{\Pi}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma(s))$$

$$= \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(u_0).$$

where $\tilde{\Pi} = \{ \gamma \in C^0([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = u_0, \gamma(1) = \bar{u}_1 \}$

Thm 6.10

with $\tilde{\epsilon} = \beta - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{u}_1)$ $\exists \Phi, \epsilon > 0$ with all the props.

Take $\gamma \in \tilde{\Pi}$ with $\sup \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma(s)) < \beta + \epsilon$

$\leadsto \gamma_1 := \Phi(\gamma, 1) \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta-\epsilon} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ but

$\gamma_1(0) \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \gamma_1(1) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta-\epsilon}$

\downarrow

Def: Let M be a topological space, $\Phi \in C^0(M \times [0, 1], M)$, $\Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{id}$. A set $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ or a family of sets \mathcal{O} is Φ -invariant if

$$\Phi(\bar{x}, t) \in \mathcal{O} \quad \forall \bar{x} \in \mathcal{O}, t \in [0, 1];$$

Examples:

(i) $\mathcal{O} = \{M\}$ is Φ -invariant, whenever $\Phi(\cdot, t) : M \rightarrow M$ is surjective.

(ii) $\mathcal{O} = \{\{u\} : u \in M\}$

(iii) Let $\tilde{f}_0 \in C^1(X)$ be such that \tilde{f}_0 has two connected comp. with u_1, u_0 as different comp. let

$$\alpha = \max \{ \tilde{f}_0(u_0), \tilde{f}_0(u_1) \} < 0$$

and

$$\Pi := \{ \gamma \in C^0([0, 1], X) \mid \gamma(0) = u_0, \gamma(1) = u_1 \}.$$

Then Π is invariant with resp. to any $\Phi \in C^1(X \times [0, 1], X)$ with $\Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{id}$ and

$$\Phi(u, t) = u \quad \forall u \in \tilde{f}_0^{-1}(\alpha/2)$$

vi) Let $\tilde{f} \in C^1(X)$, $\alpha < \beta$ and suppose that

$$\beta_k = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi_k} \sup_x \tilde{f}(\gamma(x)) \neq \beta$$

where

$$\Pi_k := \left\{ \gamma \in C^0(\overbrace{B_1(0, \mathbb{R}^k)}^{=: B_k}, X) : \gamma|_{\partial B_k} = \gamma_0 : \partial B_k \rightarrow \tilde{f}^{-1}(\alpha) \right\}$$

Then Π is \mathbb{Z} invariant
 w.r.t \mathbb{Z}^k ∇

Theorem 6 : (Minimax-principle, Palais)

Let $\tilde{f} \in C^1(X)$ sat. (P-S)
 for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let
 \mathcal{O} be a \mathbb{Z} -invariant for all
 \mathbb{Z} satisfying properties (i) - (iii)
 of Thm.

Let $\beta_{\mathcal{O}} := \inf \sup_A \tilde{f}$

If $|\beta_{\mathcal{O}}| < \infty$ we get $K_{\beta_{\mathcal{O}}} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Assume $|\beta_{\mathcal{O}}| < \infty$ but
 $K_{\beta_{\mathcal{O}}} = \emptyset$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\psi \in C^0(X \times [0, 1])$

be as in Thm. for $\bar{E} = 1$,
 $N = \emptyset$. As $|\beta_{\mathcal{O}}| < \infty$, there
 is an $A \in \mathcal{O}$ with

$$\sup_A \tilde{f} < \beta_{\mathcal{O}} + \varepsilon$$

$\Rightarrow A_1 := \bar{\Phi}(A, 1) \in \mathcal{O}$ but
 $\sup_{A_1} \tilde{f} < \beta_{\mathcal{O}} - \varepsilon$ \downarrow