

Bifurcation Theory

Solutions to Problem Sheet 8

Problem 22 (Eigenpairs of the Laplacian on a rectangular domain)

Let $a, b > 0$. Compute all eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on the rectangular domain $\Omega = (0, a) \times (0, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. find $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proceed as follows:

- (a) Consider the one dimensional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = \lambda u & \text{in } (0, a), \\ u(0) = u(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and compute all eigenvalues and all eigenfunctions.

- (b) Compute all eigenpairs (λ, u) of (1) where u is of the form $u(x, y) = v(x)w(y)$.

- (c) Show that there are no other eigenpairs.

Hint: You may use without proof that $\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2((0, a))$.

Solution

- (a) *Assertion: All eigenvalues of this problem are given by $\lambda_k = \frac{k^2\pi^2}{a^2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are unique (up to a multiplicative constant) and they are given by*

$$u_k(x) = \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right).$$

A direct calculation shows, that the solutions of

$$-u'' = \lambda u \quad \text{in } (0, a)$$

are given by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} c_1 \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + c_2 \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x), & \lambda > 0, \\ c_1 x + c_2, & \lambda = 0, \\ c_1 e^{\sqrt{|\lambda|x}} + c_2 e^{-\sqrt{|\lambda|x}}, & \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

where c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants. We will now distinguish the cases for λ and consider the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Case 1: $\lambda > 0$:

From $u(0) = 0$ we get

$$c_1 \sin(0) + c_2 \cos(0) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_2 = 0$$

and $u(a) = 0$ yields with $c_1 \neq 0$

$$c_1 \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}a) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{\lambda}a = k\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = \frac{k^2\pi^2}{a^2}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 2: $\lambda = 0$:

From $u(0) = 0$ we get

$$c_1 \cdot 0 + c_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_2 = 0$$

and $u(a) = 0$ yields with $c_1 \neq 0$

$$c_1 \cdot a = 0.$$

Hence, this case does not occur.

Case 3: $\lambda < 0$:

From $u(0) = 0$ we get

$$c_1 e^0 + c_2 e^0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_2 = -c_1$$

and $u(a) = 0$ yields with $c_1 \neq 0$

$$c_1 \left(e^{\sqrt{|\lambda|}a} - e^{-\sqrt{|\lambda|}a} \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{|\lambda|}a = 0.$$

Therefore this case does not occur either.

Summing up, we have the eigenvalues $\lambda_k = \frac{k^2\pi^2}{a^2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with corresponding eigenfunctions $u_k(x) = \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right)$ and as the normalized eigenfunctions form an orthonormal basis of $L^2((0, a))$, we found all of them.

(b) *Assertion: All eigenpairs (λ, u) of (1) where u is of the form $u(x, y) = v(x)w(y)$ are given by $\lambda_{k,l} = \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{b^2}\right) \pi^2$ with corresponding eigenfunctions*

$$u_{k,l}(x, y) = \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{l\pi}{b}y\right)$$

with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

With the ansatz $u(x, y) = v(x)w(y)$ we get

$$\Delta u(x, y) = v''(x)w(y) + u(x)v''(y).$$

Thus, the eigenvalue problem reads

$$\begin{cases} -(v''(x)w(y) + u(x)v''(y)) = \lambda v(x)w(y) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v(0) = v(a) = 0, \\ w(0) = w(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{v''(x)}{v(x)} - \frac{w''(y)}{w(y)} = \lambda & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v(0) = v(a) = 0, \\ w(0) = w(b) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we get the two one-dimensional eigenvalue problems

$$\begin{cases} -v''(x) = \mu v(x) & \text{in } (0, a), \\ v(0) = v(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -w''(y) = \nu w(y) & \text{in } (0, b), \\ w(0) = w(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\lambda = \mu + \nu$. From part (a) we know, that the eigenvalues are given by $\mu_k = \frac{k^2\pi^2}{a^2}$ and $\nu_l = \frac{l^2\pi^2}{b^2}$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$v_k(x) = \sin(\sqrt{\mu_k}x)$$

and

$$w_l(y) = \sin(\sqrt{\nu_l}y),$$

respectively.

Consequently we get as eigenvalues of (1) $\lambda_{k,l} = \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{b^2}\right) \pi^2$ with corresponding eigenfunctions

$$u_{k,l}(x, y) = \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{l\pi}{b}y\right)$$

with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (c) *Assertion:* $\left\{ \frac{2}{\sqrt{ab}} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{l\pi}{b}y\right) \right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2((0, a) \times (0, b))$ and all eigenvalues of (1) are given by $\lambda_{k,l} = \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + \frac{l^2}{b^2}\right) \pi^2$.

Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be an eigenfunction to the eigenvalue λ , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $\lambda \neq \lambda_{k,l}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Testing the equation by $u_{k,l}$ and integrating twice by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} -\Delta u(x, y) \cdot u_{k,l}(x, y) - \lambda u(x, y) u_{k,l}(x, y) d(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -u(x, y) \Delta u_{k,l}(x, y) - \lambda u(x, y) u_{k,l}(x, y) d(x, y) \\ &= (\lambda_{k,l} - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} u_{k,l}(x, y) u(x, y) d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^a \int_0^b u(x, y) \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{l\pi}{b}y\right) dy dx = 0.$$

As $\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}x\right) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2((0, a))$, this yields for almost all $x \in (0, a)$ and all $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^b u(x, y) \sin\left(\frac{l\pi}{b}y\right) dy = 0.$$

Together with the fact that $\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{b}} \sin\left(\frac{l\pi}{b}y\right) \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2((0, b))$ we get

$$u(x, y) = 0$$

for almost all $(x, y) \in (0, a) \times (0, b)$. Hence, there are no other eigenvalues.

Case 2: $\lambda = \lambda_{k,l}$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$\Psi(x, y) := u(x, y) - \sum_{(k,l) \in I} c_{k,l} u_{k,l}(x, y)$$

with $c_{k,l} = \langle u, u_{k,l} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $I = \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \lambda = \lambda_{k,l}\}$. (Note that I is finite due to $\lambda_{k,l} \rightarrow \infty$ for $|(k, l)| \rightarrow \infty$.¹) We conclude

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \Psi = \lambda \Psi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \Psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

¹Compare Exercise Class 5

and testing this with $u_{m,n}$ and integrating twice by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} -\Delta\Psi(x, y)u_{m,n}(x, y) - \lambda\Psi(x, y)u_{m,n}(x, y) d(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -\Psi(x, y)\Delta u_{m,n}(x, y) - \lambda\Psi(x, y)u_{m,n}(x, y) d(x, y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Psi(x, y)\lambda_{m,n}u_{m,n}(x, y) - \lambda\Psi(x, y)u_{m,n}(x, y) d(x, y) \\ &= (\lambda_{m,n} - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} \Psi(x, y)u_{m,n}(x, y) d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\langle \Psi, u_{m,n} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \text{for } (m, n) \notin I$$

as well as

$$\langle \Psi, u_{m,n} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \text{for } (m, n) \in I$$

and we conclude as in case 1 that $\Psi \equiv 0$, which means that u is a linear combination of eigenfunctions $u_{k,l}$.

□

Problem 23 (Example IV.6 revisited)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain, $h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = h(x) |u|^{p-1} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Show that for all $p > 2$ there exists a curve of nontrivial solutions $(\widehat{u}(s), \widehat{\lambda}(s))$ of (2) bifurcating from any given simple eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^{-1} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Hint: Consider

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u = h(x) \chi(|u|^{p-1} u)$$

where $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi(z) = z$ for $|z| \leq 1$.

Solution

For $n = 1, 2$ this has already been shown in the lecture. Thus we will only prove the assertion for $n \geq 3$.

In order to apply the Crandall-Rabinowitz Theorem, we define

$$F : H_0^1(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega), \quad F(u, \lambda) := u + \lambda(-\Delta)^{-1}u - (-\Delta)^{-1}(h(x)\chi(|u|^{p-1}u)).$$

This function is well-defined for $p > 2$ as for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have that $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, \frac{2n}{n-2})$ and thus $h(x)\chi(|u|^{p-1}u) \in L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \geq 1$. Consequently² we have $(-\Delta)^{-1}(h(x)\chi(|u|^{p-1}u)) \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq q < \infty$. This yields in particular $(-\Delta)^{-1}(h(x)\chi(|u|^{p-1}u)) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Now solving the modified equation is equivalent to finding zeros of the function F . We have $F(0, \lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and F is twice continuously differentiable for $p > 2$ and we have (without proof)

$$F_u(u, \lambda)[\phi] = \phi + \lambda(-\Delta)^{-1}\phi - p(-\Delta)^{-1}(h(x)\chi'(|u|^{p-1}u)|u|^{p-1}\phi)$$

and

$$F_{u\lambda}(u, \lambda)[\phi] = (-\Delta)^{-1}\phi$$

for $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then we have

$$F_u(0, \lambda_0)[\phi] = \phi + \lambda_0(-\Delta)^{-1}\phi = (id + \lambda_0(-\Delta)^{-1})\phi.$$

²For a reference, see Gilbarg Trudinger Lemma 9.17

Hence $F_u(0, \lambda_0)$ is an index-0-Fredholm operator with $\phi \in \ker(F_u(0, \lambda))$ iff

$$(-\Delta)^{-1}\phi = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\phi$$

for $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ as $(-\Delta)^{-1} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$ is compact, which shows the simplicity condition (S).

If $\ker(F_u(0, \lambda_0)) = \text{span}\{\phi\}$, then we have $F_u(0, \lambda_0) = F_u(0, \lambda_0)^*$ and consequently

$$\langle F_{u\lambda}(0, \lambda_0)\phi, \phi \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^{-1}\phi, \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 > 0$$

and the transversality condition (T) holds.

Hence, the Crandall-Rabinowitz Theorem IV.6 applies and it provides a curve of nontrivial solutions $(\widehat{u}(s), \widehat{\lambda}(s))$ of the modified equation bifurcating from any given simple eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^{-1} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$. Hence, we know, that $\|\widehat{u}(s)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. From the equation we know, that

$$\widehat{u}(s) = -\lambda(-\Delta)^{-1}\widehat{u}(s) + (-\Delta)^{-1}h(x)\chi(|u|^{p-1}u) \quad (3)$$

and as we have seen before, $(-\Delta)^{-1}(h(x)\chi(|\widehat{u}(s)|^{p-1}\widehat{u}(s))) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ for all $q \geq 1$. Additionally we get from Sobolev's embedding and Gilbarg Trudinger Lemma 9.17 that $(-\Delta)^{-1}\widehat{u}(s) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in [1, \frac{2n}{n-2})$. Hence $\widehat{u}(s) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in [1, \frac{2n}{n-2})$.

Now we repeat this argument: If $\widehat{u}(s) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in [1, \frac{2n}{n-2})$, then we get $(-\Delta)^{-1}\widehat{u}(s) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in [1, \frac{2n}{(n-4)_+})$ and hence by (3) $\widehat{u}(s) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ for $q \in [1, \frac{2n}{(n-4)_+})$. Repeating this procedure, yields finally $\widehat{u}(s) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Thus $\chi(|\widehat{u}(s)|^{p-1}\widehat{u}(s)) = |\widehat{u}(s)|^{p-1}\widehat{u}(s)$ for s sufficiently small. Namely, we have a curve of nontrivial solutions $(\widehat{u}(s), \widehat{\lambda}(s))$ of the original equation (2) bifurcating from any given simple eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^{-1} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$.

□