

# GENERALIZED PROJECTIONS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

ABSTRACT. In this note we investigate generalized projections in Banach algebras. Our results generalize results obtained for bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces.

## 1. Introduction and terminology

A bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space is said to be a *generalized projection* if

$$A^2 = A^*.$$

For properties and characterizations of generalized projection operators see [3], [4], and [5] (and the references there). The aim of this note are generalizations of some of the results obtained in [3] and [4] to elements of complex Banach algebras.

*Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{A}$  will denote a complex unital Banach algebra with unit  $\mathbf{1}$ .*

If  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then we denote the spectrum and the spectral radius of  $a$  by  $\sigma(a)$  and  $r(a)$ , respectively.

An element  $h \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be *hermitian* if  $\|\exp(ith)\| = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the set of hermitian elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is well-known that a bounded linear operator  $H$  on a complex Hilbert space is hermitian if and only if  $H = H^*$ .

We collect the basic properties of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  (for proofs see [2]):

### 1.1. Proposition.

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  is closed real subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \cap i\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ .
- (2) If  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , then

$$i(hk - kh) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}), \sigma(h) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad r(h) = \|h\|.$$

The following example (due to M. J. Crabb, see [2, page 57]) shows that, in contrast to the operator situation, if  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , then it does not follow that  $h^2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**1.2. Example.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^3$  with pointwise multiplication and let  $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by

$$p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sup \{|\lambda^{-1}\alpha + \beta + \lambda\gamma| : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

Define the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by

$$\|a\| = \sup \{p(xa) : x \in \mathcal{A}, p(x) = 1\}.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a complex (commutative) Banach algebra with unit  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, 1)$ . Let  $h_0 = (-1, 0, 1)$ , then

$$h_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}), \quad h_0^2 \notin \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}).$$

---

Date: 5th November 2007.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46H99.

Key words and phrases. generalized projection, normal element.

Let  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}) = \{h + ik : h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \cap i\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ , each element of  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  has a *unique* representation of the form  $h + ik$  with  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore we may define a linear involution  $*$  on  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$(h + ik)^* = h - ik.$$

We say that  $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  is *normal* if  $aa^* = a^*a$ . If  $a = h + ik \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  ( $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ ), then it is easy to see that  $a$  is normal if and only if  $hk = kh$ .

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a commutative subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the *centralizer* of  $\mathcal{S}$  is given by

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{S}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : xs = sx \text{ for every } s \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

We have (see [7, 11.21])

$$\mathcal{S} \subseteq \Gamma(\Gamma(\mathcal{S}))$$

and  $\Gamma(\Gamma(\mathcal{S}))$  is a commutative Banach algebra (with unit  $\mathbf{1}$ ).

**Notation.** For a normal element  $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $a = h + ik$  with  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}(a) = \Gamma(\Gamma(\{h, k\}))$$

and let  $\Delta_a$  denote the set of all nontrivial complex homomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(a)$ .

**1.3. Proposition.** Suppose that  $a = h + ik \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  ( $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ ) is normal. Then

- (1)  $\sigma(x) = \{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \Delta_a\}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{B}(a)$ ;
- (2)  $\varphi(h), \varphi(k) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Delta_a$ ;
- (3)  $\varphi(a^*) = \overline{\varphi(a)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Delta_a$ , and  $\sigma(a^*) = \{\overline{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma(a)\}$ ;
- (4) if  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(a)$ , then

$$\sigma(x + y) \subseteq \sigma(x) + \sigma(y), \sigma(xy) \subseteq \sigma(x)\sigma(y).$$

*Proof.* (1) [7, Theorem 11.9, Theorem 11.22].

(2) Follows from (1) and Proposition 1.1 (2).

(3)  $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(h - ik) = \varphi(h) - i\varphi(k) = \overline{\varphi(h) + i\varphi(k)} = \overline{\varphi(a)}$ .

(4) [7, Theorem 11.23]. □

## 2. Generalized projections in Banach algebras

An element  $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  is called a *generalized projection* if  $a^2 = a^*$ . We say that  $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  is a *partial isometry* if  $a = aa^*$ .

**2.1. Theorem.** Suppose that  $a = h + ik \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  is a generalized projection. Then we have:

- (1)  $a$  is normal;
- (2)  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^3 = 1\}$ ;
- (3) if, in addition,  $hk \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , then

$$(a^*)^2 = a \quad \text{and} \quad a^4 = a.$$

**Remark.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space, then the condition  $hk \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  in part (3) of the above theorem can be dropped, since  $a$  is normal.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* (1) Since  $a^2 = a^*$ ,

$$aa^* = aa^2 = a^2a = a^*a.$$

(2) Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(a)$ . Then  $\lambda = \varphi(a)$  for some  $\varphi \in \Delta_a$ , hence, by Proposition 1.3,

$$\bar{\lambda} = \varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a^2) = \varphi(a)^2 = \lambda^2.$$

If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $\lambda^3 = \lambda\lambda^2 = \lambda\bar{\lambda} = 1$ .

(3) From  $a^2 = a^*$  we see that

$$h^2 - h - k^2 = i(-k - 2hk).$$

Proposition 1.3 (4) and Proposition 1.1 (2) show that

$$\sigma(h^2 - h - k^2), \sigma(-k - 2hk) \subseteq \mathbb{R},$$

hence

$$\sigma(-k - 2hk) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cap i\mathbb{R} = \{0\},$$

thus  $r(-k - 2hk) = 0$ . Since  $hk \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $-k - 2hk \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ . Now use Proposition 1.1 (2) to get  $k = -2hk$ , hence  $h^2 - k^2 = h$ . Therefore

$$(a^*)^2 = h^2 - 2ihk - k^2 = h - 2ihk = h - (-ik) = h + ik = a$$

and

$$a^4 = (a^*)^2 = a.$$

□

**2.2. Theorem.** Let  $a = h + ik \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  and suppose that

$$\sigma(a) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^3 = 1\}.$$

We have:

- (1) if  $a$  is normal, then  $r(a^2 - a^*) = 0$ ;
- (2) if  $hk, h^2, k^2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $a$  is a generalized projection.

Before we give a proof of the above theorem we have the following corollary, which generalizes Theorem 2 in [3] and Theorem 1 in [4] (see Remark (2) below).

**2.3. Corollary.** For  $a = h + ik \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  with  $h, k, hk, h^2, k^2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1)  $a$  is a normal partial isometry and  $a^4 = a$ ;
- (2)  $a$  is normal and  $a^4 = a$ ;
- (3)  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^3 = 1\}$ ;
- (4)  $a^2 = a^*$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Use the spectral mapping theorem ([7, Theorem 10.28]).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Theorem 2.2 (2).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): By Theorem 2.1 (1),  $a$  is normal, and part (3) of Theorem 2.1 gives  $aa^*a = aa^2a = a^4 = a$ . □

**Remarks.** (1) The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) in Corollary 2.3 are valid without the assumptions that  $hk, h^2, k^2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(2) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space, then the condition  $hk \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to the normality of  $a$ , thus the assumptions  $h^2, k^2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  in Theorem 2.2 (2) and Corollary 2.3 can be dropped in the operator situation.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Let  $b = a^2 - a^*$ .

(1) Since  $a$  is normal,  $hk = kh$ , thus  $b \in \mathcal{B}(a)$ . Take  $\lambda \in \sigma(b)$ . Then  $\lambda = \varphi(b) = \varphi(a)^2 - \overline{\varphi(a)}$  for some  $\varphi \in \Delta_a$ .

*Case 1:*  $\varphi(a) = 0$ . Then we have  $\lambda = 0$ .

*Case 2:*  $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ . Since  $\varphi(a) \in \sigma(a)$ ,  $\varphi(a)^3 = 1$ .

It follows that

$$\lambda\varphi(a) = \varphi(a)^3 - \overline{\varphi(a)}\varphi(a) = 1 - 1 = 0,$$

and so  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence  $\sigma(b) = \{0\}$ .

(2) Since  $h, k, hk, h^2$  and  $k^2$  are all hermitian, it follows from [1, Theorem 2.14] (see also [6]) that  $hk = kh$ . Thus  $a$  is normal. From

$$b = h^2 + 2ihk - k^2 - (h - ik)$$

we get

$$i(2hk + k) = b - (h^2 - h - k^2).$$

By Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.3,

$$\sigma(2hk + k) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cap i\mathbb{R} = \{0\}.$$

Since  $2hk + k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $2hk + k = 0$ , thus

$$b = h^2 - h - k^2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Since, by (1),  $r(b) = 0$ , we conclude that  $b = 0$ , hence  $a^2 = a^*$ . □

**2.4. Example.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $h_0$  as in Example 1.2. Observe that

$$\sigma((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \quad ((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Hence

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(a) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow a = 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

The following properties are shown in [2]:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha\mathbf{1} + \beta h_0 + \gamma h_0^2 : \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\alpha\mathbf{1} + \beta h_0 : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\xi\mathbf{1} + \eta h_0 : \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

and each element of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$  is normal. Furthermore, if

$$a = \xi\mathbf{1} + \eta h_0 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}), \text{ then } a^* = \bar{\xi}\mathbf{1} + \bar{\eta}h_0.$$

For  $a \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$  the following assertions are equivalent:

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma(a) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^3 = 1\};$$

$$(2.4) \quad a^2 = a^*;$$

$$(2.5) \quad a \in \{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda) : \lambda = 0 \text{ or } \lambda^3 = 1\};$$

$$(2.6) \quad a^4 = a.$$

*Proof.* (2.3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.4): Since  $a$  is normal, we have  $\sigma(a^2 - a^*) = \{0\}$  (Theorem 2.2), thus, by (2.1),  $a^2 = a^*$ .

(2.4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.5): Let  $a = \xi \mathbf{1} + \eta h_0$  ( $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ ). Since  $a^2 = a^*$ ,

$$((\xi - \eta)^2, \xi^2, (\xi + \eta)^2) = (\bar{\xi} - \bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta}).$$

*Case 1:*  $\xi \neq 0$ . Then  $\eta^2 = -\bar{\eta}$  and  $\eta^2 = \bar{\eta}$ , thus  $\xi = \eta = 0$ , hence  $a = (0, 0, 0)$ .

*Case 2:*  $\xi \neq 0$ . Since  $\xi^2 = \bar{\xi}$ ,

$$\bar{\xi} - \bar{\eta} = (\xi - \eta)^2 = \xi^2 - 2\xi\eta + \eta^2 = \bar{\xi} - 2\xi\eta + \eta^2$$

and

$$\bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta} = [\xi + \eta]^2 = \xi^2 + 2\xi\eta + \eta^2 = \bar{\xi} + 2\xi\eta + \eta^2,$$

hence

$$\eta^2 = 2\xi\eta - \bar{\eta} = -\eta^2,$$

therefore  $\eta = 0$ . From  $\xi^2 = \bar{\xi}$  it follows that  $|\xi| = 1$  and  $\xi^3 = \xi\bar{\xi} = 1$ .

(2.5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.6): Clear.

(2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.3): Use the spectral mapping theorem ([7, Theorem 10.28]).  $\square$

Our next results generalize results obtained in [4] for complex  $n \times n$  matrices.

**2.5. Theorem.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  be generalized projections. Then  $a + b$  is a generalized projection if and only if  $ab = ba = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$(a + b)^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 = a^* + ab + ba + b^*.$$

If  $ab = ba = 0$ , then  $(a + b)^2 = a^* + b^* = (a + b)^*$ , hence  $a + b$  is a generalized projection.

Conversely, assume that  $(a + b)^2 = (a + b)^*$ . Then  $ab + ba = 0$ . Applying  $a$  on the left and on the right gives

$$a^*b + aba = 0 = aba + ba^*,$$

hence  $a^*b = ba^*$ . Since  $a$  is normal,  $a^*$  is normal. Proposition 2.1 in [8] implies now that  $ab = ba$ , thus  $ab = ba = 0$ .  $\square$

**2.6. Theorem.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  be generalized projections,  $a = h + ik$  ( $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ ). If in addition  $hk \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , then*

$$(b - a)^2 = (b - a)^* \Leftrightarrow ab = ba = a^*.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 (3),  $(a^*)^2 = a$  and  $a^4 = a$ . First assume that  $ab = ba = a^*$ . Then

$$(b - a)^2 = b^2 - ba - ab + a^2 = b^* - a^* = (b - a)^*,$$

hence  $b - a$  is a generalized projection.

Now assume that  $(b - a)^2 = (b - a)^*$ . It follows that

$$(2.7) \quad 2a^* = ab + ba.$$

Multiplying (2.7) from the left by  $a^*$  gives

$$2a = 2(a^*)^2 = a^*ab + a^*ba.$$

Multiplying (2.7) from the right by  $a^*$  gives

$$2a = 2(a^*)^2 = aba^* + ba^*.$$

Hence, since  $aa^* = a^3 = a^*a$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad a^3b + a^*ba = aba^2 + ba^3.$$

Multiplying (2.8) from the right by  $a$  yields

$$a^3ba + a^*ba^* = aba^*a + ba,$$

thus

$$(2.9) \quad ba = a^3ba + a^*ba^* - aba^3.$$

Similar arguments show that

$$(2.10) \quad ab = aba^3 + a^*ba^* - a^3ba,$$

thus

$$(2.11) \quad ab + ba = 2a^*ba^*.$$

Now use (2.7) to get

$$(2.12) \quad a^2 = a^*ba^*.$$

From (2.12) we see that

$$aba = (a^*)^2b(a^*)^2 = a^*(a^*ba^*)a^* = (a^*)^3,$$

hence

$$(2.13) \quad aba = a^6 = a^4a^2 = a^3 = a^*a = aa^*,$$

thus

$$(2.14) \quad a^*ba = a^2ba = aa^*a - a^4 = a,$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad aba^* = aba^2 = a^*a^2 = a^4 = a.$$

Then (2.9)–(2.15) show that  $ab = a^* = ba$ . □

### 3. $q$ -generalized projections

If  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q > 1$ , a  $q$ -generalized projection is an element  $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $a^q = a^*$  ( $q$ -generalized projection operators on complex Hilbert spaces are considered in [5]).

With obvious modifications of the proofs in Section 2, we see that the following results are true:

**3.1. Theorem.** *If  $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $q$ -generalized projection, then  $a$  is normal and*

$$\sigma(a) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda^{q+1} = 1\}.$$

**3.2. Theorem.** *Let  $a = h + ik \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$  ( $h, k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ ) and suppose that*

$$\sigma(a) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^{q+1} = 1\}.$$

(1) *If  $a$  is normal, then  $r(a^q - a^*) = 0$ .*

(2) *If  $h^n k^m \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$  for  $n, m \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$  then  $a$  is normal and  $a^q = a^*$ .*

## References

- [1] E. Berkson: *Hermitian projections and orthogonality in Banach spaces*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **24** (1972), 101–118.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan: *Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and elements of normed algebras*. Cambridge University Press (1971).
- [3] H. Du and Y. Li: *The spectral characterization of generalized projections*. Linear Algebra Appl., **400** (2005), 313–318.
- [4] I. Groß and G. Trenkler: *Generalized and hypergeneralized projectors*. Linear Algebra Appl., **264** (1997), 463–474.
- [5] L. Lebtahi and N. Thome: *A note on  $k$ -generalized projections*. Linear Algebra Appl., **420** (2007), 572–575.
- [6] L. S. Murphy: *A note on hermitian elements of a Banach algebra*. J. London Math. Soc., **6** (1973), 427–428.
- [7] W. Rudin: *Functional Analysis*. Mc Graw-Hill (1973).
- [8] Ch. Schmoeger: *Commutativity up to a factor in Banach algebras*. Demonstratio Math., **38** (2005), 905–910.

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER  
INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS  
UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE (TH)  
ENGLERSTRASSE 2  
76128 KARLSRUHE  
GERMANY

*E-mail address:* christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

*URL:* <http://www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/milweis/~schmoeger/>