

ON PSEUDO-INVERSES OF FREDHOLM OPERATORS

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

ABSTRACT. Suppose that A is a Fredholm operator on a Banach space. We prove that A has index = 0 (resp. ≥ 0 , resp. ≤ 0) if and only if A has pseudo-inverse which is invertible (resp. Fredholm and left invertible, resp. Fredholm and right invertible). Furthermore we determine the interior points of some classes of linear operators.

1. Terminology

X always denotes a complex Banach space, and the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

If $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we denote by $N(A)$ the kernel of A and by $\alpha(A)$ the dimension of $N(A)$. $A(X)$ denotes the range of A , and we define $\beta(A) = \text{codim } A(X)$.

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called *relatively regular* if there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $ASA = A$. In this case S is called a *pseudo-inverse* of A , and, if $B = SAS$, then

$$ABA = A \quad \text{and} \quad BAB = B.$$

$A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called a *Fredholm operator* if $\alpha(A)$ and $\beta(A)$ are both finite. In this case we define the *index* of A by $\text{ind}(A) = \alpha(A) - \beta(A)$.

Observe that a Fredholm operator is relatively regular [2, Satz 74.4].

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. The sequence $N(A), N(A^2), N(A^3), \dots$ is increasing, while the sequence $A(X), A^2(X), A^3(X), \dots$ is decreasing. Define $p(A)$, the *ascent* of A , to be the smallest integer $p \geq 0$ such that $N(A^p) = N(A^{p+1})$ or ∞ if no such p exists.

Define $q(A)$, the *descent* of A , to be the smallest integer $q \geq 0$ with $A^q(X) = A^{q+1}(X)$ or ∞ if no such q exists. It is shown in [2, Satz 72.3], that if $p(A) < \infty$ and $q(A) < \infty$, then $p(A) = q(A)$.

We define various classes of operators:

$$\Phi(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : A \text{ is Fredholm}\};$$

$$\Phi_\alpha(X) = \{A \in \Phi(X) : \alpha(A) = 0\};$$

$$\Phi_\beta(X) = \{A \in \Phi(X) : \beta(A) = 0\};$$

$$\mathcal{L}(X)^{-1} = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \alpha(A) = \beta(A) = 0\};$$

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \dim A(X) < \infty\}.$$

Since Fredholm operators are relatively regular, $\Phi_\alpha(X)$ is the set of all left invertible Fredholm operators and $\Phi_\beta(X)$ is the class of all right invertible Fredholm operators.

The main results of this paper read as follows:

Date: 5th July 2007.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A53.

Key words and phrases. Fredholm operator, pseudo-inverse.

1.1. Theorem. *If $A \in \Phi(X)$, then*

- (a) $\text{ind}(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{-1}$ such that $ASA = A$;*
- (b) $\text{ind}(A) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *there is $S \in \Phi_\alpha(X)$ such that $ASA = A$;*
- (c) $\text{ind}(A) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *there is $S \in \Phi_\beta(X)$ such that $ASA = A$.*

1.2. Theorem. *If $A \in \Phi(X)$, then $p(A) = q(A) < \infty$ if and only if there are $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{-1}$ such that $A^p S A^p = A^p$ and $A^p S = S A^p$.*

Proofs of the above result will be given in the next section.

2. Proofs

2.1. Proposition. *Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is relatively regular and B is a pseudo-inverse of A with $ABA = A$ and $BAB = B$.*

- (a) *$AB, BA, I - AB$ and $I - BA$ are projections with*

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)(X) &= A(X), \quad (BA)(X) = B(X) \\ (I - AB)(X) &= N(B) \quad \text{and} \quad (I - BA)(X) = N(A). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) *If $A \in \Phi(X)$, then $B \in \Phi(X)$, $\alpha(B) = \beta(A)$, $\beta(B) = \alpha(A)$ and $\text{ind}(B) = -\text{ind}(A)$.*

Proof. Easy verification. □

2.2. Proposition. *Let A and B as in Proposition 2.1 and suppose that $A \in \Phi(X)$. Then there are $R \in \Phi(X)$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that*

$$BF = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A = R + F.$$

Furthermore we have:

- (a) *if $\text{ind}(A) = 0$, then $R \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{-1}$;*
- (b) *if $\text{ind}(A) \geq 0$, then $R \in \Phi_\beta(X)$;*
- (c) *if $\text{ind}(A) \leq 0$, then $R \in \Phi_\alpha(X)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, $(AB)(X) = A(X)$ and $(I - AB)(X) = N(B)$. Hence

$$X = A(X) \oplus N(B).$$

Since $\alpha(A) < \infty$, there is $P \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $P^2 = P$ and $P(X) = N(A)$. Let $n = \alpha(A)$, $m = \beta(A)$ and $p = \min\{n, m\}$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of $N(A)$. Then there are $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in X^*$ linearly independent with

$$Px = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^*(x) x_j \quad (x \in X).$$

If $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ is a basis of $N(B)$, define $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ by

$$Fx = \sum_{j=1}^p x_j^*(x) y_j \quad (x \in X).$$

Then $F(X) \subseteq N(B)$, thus $BF = 0$. Let $R = A - F$. It is shown in the proof of Satz 77.2 in [2] that (a), (b) and (c) hold. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let B, F and R as in Proposition 2.2. Then $BA = BR + BF = BR$, hence $A = ABA = ABR$

(a) \Rightarrow : Since $\text{ind}(A) = 0$, we have $R \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{-1}$. Thus $AR^{-1} = AB$, hence $A = ABA = AR^{-1}A$.

\Leftarrow : By the Index-theorem([2, Satz 71.3]),

$$\text{ind}(A) = 2 \text{ind}(A) + \text{ind}(S) = 2 \text{ind}(A),$$

hence $\text{ind}(A) = 0$.

(b) \Rightarrow : Since $\text{ind}(A) \geq 0$, there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that $RS = I$. From $R \in \Phi_\beta(X)$ we get, by Proposition 2.1 (b), $S \in \Phi_\alpha(X)$. From $A = ABR$ it results that $AS = AB$, hence $A = ABA = ASA$.

\Leftarrow : Use the Index-theorem to see that $\text{ind}(A) \geq 0$.

(c) \Rightarrow : Since $\text{ind}(A) \leq 0$, we have $\text{ind}(B) \geq 0$, by Proposition 2.1(b). Apply Proposition 2.2 to B . Hence there are $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $R_0 \in \Phi_\beta(X)$ such that

$$AF_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = R_0 + F_0.$$

Let $S = R_0$. From $AB = AR_0 + AF_0 = AS$, we derive $A = ABA = ASA$.

\Leftarrow : We have $\text{ind}(A) \leq 0$, by the Index-theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $p = p(A) = q(A) < \infty$. Satz 72.4 in [2] gives

$$X = N(A^p) \oplus A^p(X).$$

From [2, Satz 101.2] we see that 0 is a pole if the resolvent $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$. Let P be the associated spectral projection. Hence

$$P(X) = N(A^p) \quad \text{and} \quad N(P) = A^p(X).$$

Then $PA = AP$ by [2, Satz 99.1]. Let $F = AP + P$ and $R = A(I - P) - P$. Then $A = R + F$. The proof of Satz 77.4 in [2] shows that R is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Furthermore we have $RF = FR$, $AF = FA$ and $AR = RA$. Since $F(X) \subseteq P(X) = N(A^p)$, we get $A^p F = 0$, thus $A^{p+1} = A^p R$.

Case 1: $p = 0$. With $S = I$ we are done.

Case 2: $p = 1$. We have $A^2 = AR$. Let $S = R^{-1}$. Then $AS = SA$ and $A = A^2 S = ASA$.

Case 3: $p > 1$. Let $A_0 = A^p$. Satz 71.2 in [2] shows that A_0 is a Fredholm operator. From

$$N(A_0^2) = N(A^{2p}) = N(A^p) = N(A_0),$$

and

$$A_0^2(X) = A^{2p}(X) = A^p(X) = A_0(X),$$

we conclude that $p(A_0) = q(A_0) \leq 1$. Case 1 and Case 2 show that there is an invertible operator S in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ with $A_0 S = S A_0$ and $A_0 = A_0 S A_0$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Assume that $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is invertible, $A^p S = S A^p$ and $A^p = A^p S A^p$. Then $A^{2p} S = A^p$. It follows that $A^p(X) = A^{2p}(S(X)) = A^{2p}(X)$, thus $q(A) < \infty$. Furthermore $N(A^{2p}) = N(A^p)$, hence $p(A) < \infty$. □

3. Interior points of some classes of operators

For a subset \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ let $\text{cl}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{int}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the closure and the interior of \mathcal{M} , respectively.

Notation.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_+(X) &= \{A \in \Phi(X) : \text{ind}(A) \geq 0\}; \\ \Phi_-(X) &= \{A \in \Phi(X) : \text{ind}(A) \leq 0\}; \\ \Phi_0(X) &= \{A \in \Phi(X) : \text{ind}(A) = 0\}; \\ \mathcal{R}(X) &= \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : A \text{ is relatively regular}\}; \\ \mathcal{A}(X) &= \{A \in \mathcal{R}(X) : \alpha(A) < \infty \text{ or } \beta(A) < \infty\}; \\ \mathcal{R}_\alpha(X) &= \{A \in \mathcal{R}(X) : ABA = A \text{ for some } B \in \Phi_\alpha(X)\}; \\ \mathcal{R}_\beta(X) &= \{A \in \mathcal{R}(X) : ABA = A \text{ for some } B \in \Phi_\beta(X)\}; \\ \mathcal{R}_0(X) &= \{A \in \mathcal{R}(X) : ABA = A \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{-1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Operators of the class $\mathcal{A}(X)$ are called *Atkinson operators* or *relatively regular semi-Fredholm operators*.

3.1. Proposition.

- (a) $\Phi_+(X)$, $\Phi_-(X)$, $\Phi_0(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}(X)$ are open subsets of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(X) \cup \mathcal{R}_\beta(X) \subseteq \text{cl}(\Phi(X))$.

Proof. (a) follows from [2, Satz 82.4] and (b) is shown in [3, Theorem 3]. □

From Proposition 3.1 (a) and Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_0(X) &\subseteq \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_0(X)), \quad \Phi_+(X) \subseteq \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha(X)), \\ \Phi_-(X) &\subseteq \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_\beta(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}(X) \subseteq \text{int}(\mathcal{R}(X)).\end{aligned}$$

We can be more precise:

3.2. Theorem.

- (a) $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}(X)) = \mathcal{A}(X)$;
- (b) $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_0(X)) = \Phi_0(X)$;
- (c) $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha(X)) = \Phi_+(X)$;
- (d) $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_\beta(X)) = \Phi_-(X)$.

Proof. We only have to show the inclusion “ \subseteq ”.

(a) Let $A \in \text{int}(\mathcal{R}(X))$. Suppose that $A \notin \mathcal{A}(X)$. Then $\alpha(A) = \beta(A) = \infty$. From [1, Theorem V. 2.6] we know that there is a compact $K \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that the range $(A + \lambda K)(X)$ is not closed for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Since A is an interior point of $\mathcal{R}(X)$, $A + \lambda K$ has closed range for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently small, a contradiction.

(b), (c) and (d) Let $\gamma \in \{0, \alpha, \beta\}$ and $A \in \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_\gamma(X))$. Hence $A \in \text{int}(\mathcal{R}(X))$, thus $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, by (a). Proposition 3.1 (b) shows that there is a sequence (A_n) in $\Phi(X)$ such that $\|A_n - A\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is open, the stability of the index ([2, Satz 82.4]) shows that

$$\text{ind}(A_n) = \text{ind}(A) \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Thus $\text{ind}(A)$ is finite, and so $A \in \Phi(X)$. Since $A \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma(X)$, Theorem 1.1 completes the proof. □

3.3. Theorem.

$$\Phi_\alpha(X) \cup \Phi_\beta(X) = \text{int}(\{A \in \Phi(X) : N(A) \subseteq A(X)\}).$$

Proof. Since $\Phi_\alpha(X)$ and $\Phi_\beta(X)$ are open, the inclusion “ \subseteq ” is clear. Now suppose that $A \in \text{int}(\{A \in \Phi(X) : N(A) \subseteq A(X)\})$. Then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that

(*) if $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\|A - B\| < \epsilon$, then $B \in \Phi(X)$ and $N(B) \subseteq B(X)$.

Assume that $\alpha(A) > 0$ and $\beta(A) > 0$. Then there are $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \neq 0$, $x_0 \in N(A)$, $y_0 \notin A(X)$ and $\|Ay_0\| = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. It follows that $y_0 \notin N(A)$. The Hahn-Banach theorem shows that there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$\alpha = x^*(x_0) \neq 0, x^*(y_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x^*\| = 1.$$

Define $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ by

$$Bx = Ax + x^*(x)Ay_0 \quad (x \in X).$$

Then $\|(A - B)x\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|x\|$, thus $\|A - B\| < \epsilon$. By (*), $B \in \Phi(X)$ and $N(B) \subseteq B(X)$. Since $B(X) \subseteq A(X)$, $N(B) \subseteq A(X)$. We have $y_0 - x_0/\alpha \in N(B)$, thus $y_0 \in A(X) + N(A) = A(X)$, a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] S. Goldberg: *Unbounded linear operators*, New York, 1966.
- [2] H. Heuser: *Funktionalanalysis, 2nd ed.*, Teubner (1986).
- [3] V. Rakocević: *A note on regular elements in Calkin algebras*, Collect. Math. 43 (1992), 37 – 42.

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS

ARBEITSGRUPPE FUNKTIONALANALYSIS

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK

UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE (TH)

ENGLERSTRASSE 2

76128 KARLSRUHE

GERMANY

E-mail address: christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

E-mail address: christoph.schmoeger@kit.edu

URL: <http://www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/milweis/~schmoeger/>