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1 Introduction and general information

1.1 Introduction

In this work we investigate time dependent, inhomogeneous, linear and semilinear parabolic problems of diffusion type with Dirichlet boundary conditions. In our principal applications the problems are given on open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times [S,T]$ and on networks. Here we allow for unbounded and non-cylindrical domains with possibly irregular boundaries and consider elliptic operators with continuous coefficients which may be singular or degenerate on the boundary.

Our approach is based on the following idea which we describe in the case of a linear, homogeneous equation on a cylindrical domain $V \times [0,T]$ for an open, bounded subset $V$ of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We are looking for solutions of the problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x,t) = A(x,t,D)u(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in V \times (0,T],$$

$$u(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial V \times [0,T],$$

$$u(\cdot,0) = f \in C_0(V). \quad (1.1)$$

We want to transform (1.1) into an abstract autonomous Cauchy problem. To that purpose, we set

$$L(x,t,D) = A(x,t,D) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) = f, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

and let $L$ be a suitable realization of $L(x,t,D)$ in $C_0(V \times [0,T])$. We then study the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} v(\sigma) = L v(\sigma), \quad \sigma > 0,$$

$$v(0) = F \quad (1.2)$$

in $C_0(V \times [0,T])$. This problem is well-posed if and only if $L$ generates a semigroup\(^1\) on $C_0(V \times [0,T])$. Given a solution $v$ of (1.2), we define $u(x,t) = (v(t))(x,t) = v(t,x,t)$ for $(x,t) \in V \times [0,T]$. The function $u$ satisfies the initial and boundary conditions of (1.1) and we have, formally,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} v(\sigma,x,t) \bigg|_{\sigma=t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} v(t,x,\tau) \bigg|_{\tau=t}$$

$$= A(x,t,D)v(t,x,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} v(t,x,\tau) \bigg|_{\tau=t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} v(t,x,\tau) \bigg|_{\tau=t}$$

$$= A(x,t,D)u(x,t).$$

\(^1\)-Semigroup" always means "strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup of bounded linear operators".
Of course, this heuristic computation can only be justified under strong regularity assumptions. Therefore we proceed in a somewhat different and more abstract way. First, we have to define $L$ in a precise way. Second, we give conditions for $L$ to be a generator. Third, we look for a representation of the semigroup generated by $L$. Using this representation, we then obtain solutions of (1.1) (and the more general equations indicated above). All this will be done in the framework of the theory of local operators as introduced in [30, 31, 32]. We point out that this approach allows to treat diffusion problems on networks at the same time.

We briefly outline the contents of the present paper, see also the sketch given in [40]. In Section 2.1 and 2.2, we recall the definition and basic properties of (parabolic) local operators. A local operator $A$ is, roughly speaking, a collection of linear operators $A^V$ acting in $C(V)$ for open subsets $V$ of a metric space $\Omega$ such that the operators $A^V$ respect restriction to subsets. Parabolic local operators are defined on ‘space-time’ domains $V \subset \Omega \times J$ for an interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$. We construct several extensions of a given local operator $A$, in particular the ‘local closure’ $\bar{A}$ (cf. Theorem 2.12), where we assume a condition of ‘local dissipativity’. Then we establish maximum and comparison principles for locally dissipative, parabolic, local operators in Section 2.3 generalizing results from [41].

In Chapter 3, we show that the Cauchy problem for the part $\bar{A}V$ of $\bar{A}$ in $C_0(V)$ is well-posed if and only if $V$ possesses a ‘Cauchy barrier’ in the sense of Definition 3.1. This notion of a barrier is tailored to the Cauchy problems considered in this work. To obtain this characterization, we assume that the local operator $A$ is locally dissipative and ‘real’, that $A_\Omega$ is a generator, and that the domains of $A^V$ are ‘large enough’. Our arguments rely on methods from potential and semigroup theory and make heavy use of the concepts of ‘excessive barriers’, cf. [16], and ‘local semigroups’, cf. [55, 56]. Our main result Theorem 3.25 is a counterpart of the results in [30, 31, 32] where somewhat different hypotheses were supposed, compare Theorem 3.14 and 3.27. The present formulation is suited to parabolic problems on non-cylindrical domains. However, in the applications to degenerate problems we will use both types of results.

In a third step we investigate the semigroup generated by $\bar{L}V$ for a parabolic local operator $L$. It turns out, Section 4.2, that this so-called ‘space-time semigroup’ is induced by a variable-space propagator which satisfies the homogeneous equation. Using this representation, we then solve the corresponding inhomogeneous problem in Section 4.3 extending [42]. In the cylindrical case, similar results were obtained by L. Paquet [47, 48, 50]. Space-time semigroups were introduced by J. Howland, [27], in 1974 for an $L^2$–setting, see also [17, 44]. More recently, they have been used to study well-posedness and perturbation theory of non-autonomous Cauchy problems, see e.g. [38, 39, 51, 52], and asymptotic properties of solutions, see e.g. [9, 28, 53, 57].

Combining these facts we can establish existence and uniqueness of solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{L}u &= F & \text{on } V, \\
u(x,t) &= 0 & \text{on the ‘lateral boundary’ of } V, \\
u(\cdot,S) &= f & \text{on the ‘bottom’ of } V.
\end{align*}
$$
if $V \subset \Omega \times [S,T]$ possesses a Cauchy barrier with respect to $\bar{L}$, see Theorem 4.15, 4.16, and 4.24. Based on this result, we then show existence and uniqueness of global solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{L}u + \Phi \circ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } V, \\
u(x,t) &= 0 \quad \text{on the ‘lateral boundary’ of } V, \\
u(\cdot, S) &= f \quad \text{on the ‘bottom’ of } V,
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $\Phi$ is locally Lipschitz and satisfies a sign condition, see Section 5.

This theory is used in Chapter 6 to solve parabolic problems on open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times [S,T]$ and networks. Here we assume that the coefficients are real, continuous, and elliptic, but not necessarily bounded or uniformly elliptic. We allow for unbounded and non-cylindrical domains with possibly irregular boundaries. The class of admissible non-linearities contains, for instance, the logistic equation. By determining $\bar{L}$ explicitly, we can show that the solutions belong locally to suitable Sobolev spaces. In Section 6.1 we construct Cauchy barriers for several problems in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [S,T]$. This is done in the uniformly elliptic case for finite intersections of $C^2$–domains. For degenerate coefficients we consider regular boundaries, but also degeneracies taking place on irregular parts like isolated points or ‘entering faces’.

For the convenience of the reader we add lists of hypotheses and notation.

### 1.2 List of hypotheses and definitions

- (A) 63
- Cauchy barrier 79
- closed completed parabolic extension 72
- complete 64
- completion 64
- (regular) excessive barrier 81
- extension 64
- (H), (H1), (H2) 81, 91, 108
- local closure 69
- local operator 63
- local semigroup 88
- locally closed (u.c.) 64
- locally dissipative 65
- locally excessive $(K, \eta)$ (or in $V$) 81
- (LS), (OE) 91
- (closed) parabolic boundary 74
- parabolic extension 70, 73
- (standard) parabolic local operator 70
- (standard) parabolic operator 98
- partition 70
- real 65
- (S), (S1), (S2) 65
- semi-complete 64
- space-time semigroup 99
- spatial parabolic extension 85
- tangent semigroup 88
- translation invariant 73
- uniformly well-posed 109
- variable space propagator 102
- weakly $A$–Dirichlet regular 80
1.3 List of symbols and notation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A'$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^V$</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{V, D(A_V)}$</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A \subset A'$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{A}$</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{A}$</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C(V)$</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^b(V)$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^0(V)$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_c(V)$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_L(S)$</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta V$</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial V$</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{E}$</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f^+, f^-$</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$|f|_K$</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(t), \hat{F}(t)$</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi_{\sigma}$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma, \Gamma^*, \Gamma_s, \Gamma(s + 0)$</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_M, S_M, T_M$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_k, V_k, \Omega_k$</td>
<td>70, 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J, J_0, J$</td>
<td>96, 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J^0$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_p$</td>
<td>70, 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\beta}$</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M(t), M(t), M_t$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega$</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega(\Omega), \Omega_\sigma(\Omega)$</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega, \Omega_0, \Omega_{00}$</td>
<td>69, 96, 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega^<em>, \Omega^</em>, \Omega^<em>(t), \Omega_0^</em>$</td>
<td>74, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_+(t)$</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q^<em>(\cdot), Q^</em>(\cdot)$</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C(V)$</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^0(V)$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^b(V)$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_c(V)$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{U}(t)$</td>
<td>86, 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U(t, s)$</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U(t, s), U^<em>(t, s), U^</em>(t, s)$</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X, X_0, X_0$</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X(t), X^<em>(t), X^</em>(t)$</td>
<td>96, 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X^<em>, X^</em>, X^<em>(t), X^</em>(t)$</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^0$</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Local operators in general and parabolic local operators

2.1 Basic notations, closure and completion of local operators

Here (and in the following section) we introduce basic concepts and notation related to local operators which are used throughout this work.

By $\Omega$ we denote a locally compact Hausdorff space having a countable base. Let $O(\Omega)$ be the collection of non-empty, open subsets $V$ of $\Omega$ and let $O_\sigma(\Omega)$ consist of those $V \in O(\Omega)$ being relatively compact. For $V \in O(\Omega)$, we consider the spaces $C(V), C_b(V), C_0(V), C_c(V)$ of continuous functions $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ which are bounded, vanish at infinity, or have compact support, respectively. The space $C_b(V)$ is endowed with the sup-norm $\|f\| = \sup_V |f|$. We designate by $C^b(V)$ the space of real valued $f \in C(V)$ and analogously for the other function spaces. Also, $f^+(f^-)$ is the positive
(negative) part of \( f \in C^R(V) \). By \( f|M \) we denote the restriction of a function \( f \) to a set \( M \). If \( V_n \subset V_{n+1} \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = V \), then we write \( V_n \uparrow V \).

Notice that \( \Omega \) is metrizable and that there are sets \( \Omega_n \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) such that \( \Omega_n \uparrow \Omega \) and \( \Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1} \). Further, if \( V_n, V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \) and \( V_n \uparrow V \), then for each compact \( K \subset V \) we have \( K \subset V_n \) for \( n \geq n_0(K) \). Throughout, \( \mathcal{A} \) denotes a base of \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). We use the following assumption.

(A) If \( V, W \in \mathcal{A} \), then \( V \cap W \in \mathcal{A} \).

For each compact \( K \subset V \in \mathcal{A} \), there is \( W \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) with \( K \subset W \subset \overline{W} \subset V \).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let (A) hold and \( V, V_n \in \mathcal{A} \) satisfy \( V_n \uparrow V \). Then there are \( W_k \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) such that \( W_k \uparrow V \), \( \overline{W}_k \subset W_{k+1} \), and \( \overline{W}_k \subset V_n \) for a subsequence \( (n_k) \).

**Proof.** There exist sets \( G_n \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) such that \( G_n \uparrow V \) and \( \overline{G}_n \subset G_{n+1} \). Take \( n_1 \) with \( \overline{G}_1 \subset V_{n_1} \). Using (A), we find \( W_1 \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) with \( \overline{G}_1 \subset W_1 \subset \overline{W}_1 \subset V_{n_1} \). Next choose \( k_2 \) and \( n_2 \) such that \( \overline{W}_{k_2} \subset \overline{G}_{k_2} \subset \overline{G}_{k_2} \subset V_{n_2} \) and proceed inductively. \( \square \)

Our approach relies on the concept of a local operator defined as follows, see e.g. [30], [31], [32], [36].

**Definition 2.2.** A local operator \( A \) defined on a base \( \mathcal{A} \) of \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \) is a collection of linear operators \( A^V : D(A,V) \subset C(V) \to C(V) \) for \( V \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( 0 \in D(A,V) \) and for \( V, W \in \mathcal{A} \) with \( W \subset V \) and \( f \in D(A,V) \) we have \( f|W \in D(A,W) \) and \( A^W(f|W) = (A^V f)|W \).

If it causes no confusion, we omit the superscript “\( V \)” and write \( f \) instead of \( f|V \). By \( A_V, D(A_V) \) we denote the part of \( A^V \) in \( C_0(V) \), that is,

\[
A_V f = A^V f \quad \text{for } f \in D(A_V) = \{ f \in C_0(V) \cap D(A,V) : A^V f \in C_0(V) \}.
\]

We give some examples of local operators in order to illustrate the above definition. These operators will be used below to discuss some of our basic notions.

**Example 2.3.** For \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) set \( A_k = \Delta \) for \( k = 1, 2, 3 \), and \( D(A_1,V) = C^0(V) \), \( D(A_2,V) = \{ f \in W^{2,p}(V) : \Delta f \in C(V) \} \), \( D(A_3,V) = \{ f \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(V) : \Delta f \in C(V) \} \), where \( W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(V) \) is the usual Sobolev space and \( p > n \) is fixed.

We note that \( D(A_3,V) = \{ f \in C(V) : \Delta f \in C(V) \} \) for the Laplacian in the sense of distributions, cf. [11, II.3, Prop. 6, 8].

**Example 2.4.** For \( \Omega = \mathbb{R} \), \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}) \), and an unbounded function \( m \in C(\mathbb{R}) \) define \( A_4 f = m f \) and \( D(A_4, V) = \{ f \in C(V) : m f \in C_0(V) \} \).

**Example 2.5.** Let \( \Omega = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < 2 \} \). Let \( V \subset \Omega \) be a generic open rectangle with sides parallel to the real and imaginary axis. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) consist of \( \Omega \) and of finite unions of such \( V \). Also, for a compact \( K \subset \mathcal{C} \) we denote by \( P(K) \) the uniform closure of the polynomials \( p(z) \) defined on \( K \). We set \( A_K f = f^+ \) and \( D(A,K) = \{ f \in C(W) : f|K \in P(K) \text{ for all compact } K \subset W \} \) for \( W \in \mathcal{A} \). Notice that (A) holds.
In many applications, local operators are given by a partial differential operator on an open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We refer to [33], [46], and the papers cited above concerning elliptic operators and to [40], [48], [49], and Section 6.1 concerning parabolic operators. However, local operators can also be used to study evolution equations on networks and ramified spaces, see e.g. [34], [35], [37], and Section 6.2. Moreover, the concept of a local operator turns out to be the appropriate framework for various extensions of a given local operator $A$ which we construct in this and the following section. These extensions will be essential for our work.

Next, we introduce classes of local operators for which, in some sense, $A^V$ is determined by $A^W$ for $W \subset V$.

**Definition 2.6.** Let $A$ be a local operator on $\mathcal{A}$.

1. $A$ is called complete if, for $V \in \mathcal{A}$, a function $f \in C(V)$ belongs to $D(A, V)$ provided that for all $x \in V$ there is $V_x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x \in V_x \subset V$ and $f|V_x \in D(A, V_x)$.

2. $A$ is called semi-complete if, for $V \in \mathcal{A}$, a function $f \in C(V)$ belongs to $D(A, V)$ provided that there are $\mathcal{A} \ni V_n \uparrow V$ such that $f|V_n \in D(A, V_n)$.

Of course, the operator $A_1$ in Example 2.3 is complete and, a fortiori, semi-complete. The operator $A_5$ in Example 2.5 is semi-complete but not complete. In fact, it is easy to see that $A_5$ is semi-complete. On the other hand, take $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ for $z \in \Omega$ and $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r\} \subset \Omega$. Then $g \not\in P(K)$ since $\int_{K} g(z) \, dz \neq 0$ whereas $\int_{K} z^n \, dz = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $g \not\in D(A, \Omega)$. However, $g|V$ belongs to $D(A, V)$ for each sufficiently small rectangle $V \subset \Omega$ since $g|V$ is given by a power series.

We say that a local operator $A'$ on $\mathcal{A}'$ extends a local operator $A$ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}'$ and, for $f \in D(A, V)$ and $V \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $f \in D(A', V)$ and $Af = A'f$ on $V$. In this case we write $A \preceq A'$. We recall that for each local operator $A$ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ there exists the smallest complete extension of $A$, the completion $\hat{A}$, defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ which is given by

$$D(\hat{A}, V) = \{f \in C(V) : \forall x \in V \exists V_x \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } x \in V_x \subset V, \ f|V_x \in D(A, V_x)\},$$

$$\hat{A}^V f(x) = (A^{V_x} f|V_x)(x) \text{ for } V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega),$$

cf. [30, p.412]. It is easy to see that $\hat{A}_2 = A_3$ in Example 2.3. In the remainder of this section we focus on the definition of a 'local closure'.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $A$ be a local operator on $\mathcal{A}$.

1. We say that $A$ is locally closed if the part of $A^V$ in $C_b(V)$ is closed for all $V \in \mathcal{A}$; that is, if $f_n \to f$ and $A^V f_n \to g$ in $C_b(V)$ for $f_n \in D(A, V) \cap C_b(V)$, then $f \in D(A, V)$ and $A^V f = g$.

2. $A$ is locally closed u.c. if, for $V \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f, g \in C(V)$, the existence of $\mathcal{A} \ni V_n \uparrow V$ and $f_n \in D(A, V_n)$ such that $f_n \to f$ and $A f_n \to g$ uniformly on compact subsets of $V$ (u.c.) implies that $f \in D(A, V)$ and $A^V f = g$. 
3. A is called \textit{locally dissipative} if, for all $V \in \mathcal{A}$, compact $K \subset V$, and $f \in D(A,V)$ with $\sup_{V \setminus K} |f| < \sup_{V} |f|$, there exists $x_0 \in V$ such that $|f(x_0)| = \sup_{V} |f|$ and $Re \left( (Af)(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)} \right) \leq 0$ (where $\sup_{0} |f| := -\infty$).

4. A is a real local operator if $f \in D(A,V)$ for $V \in \mathcal{A}$ implies that $\overline{f} \in D(A,V)$ and $A\overline{f} = \overline{Af}$. A straightforward application of Lemma 2.1 shows

\textbf{Lemma 2.8.} Let $A$ be a local operator on $\mathcal{A}$. If $A$ is locally closed u.c., then $A$ is locally dissipative and semi-complete. The converse holds if $(A)$ is satisfied.

It can be shown that the local operator $A_3$ defined in Example 2.3 is locally closed u.c., see [33], [46], and also Section 6.1. Notice that $A_1$ is a (semi-)complete local operator which is not locally closed if $n \geq 2$, cf. [11, II.3, Rem. 5]. On the other hand, $A_4$ from Example 2.4 is locally closed but not semi-complete.

The next lemma shows that local dissipativity is equivalent to a maximum modulus principle provided that some mild separation assumptions hold for the local operator $A$ on $\mathcal{A}$. In the following conditions, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_0$ a base of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ which is contained in $\mathcal{A}$.

\textbf{(S)} For $W \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ and $x_0 \in W$, there is $h \in D(A,W) \cap C(\overline{W})$ such that $h(x_0) > \sup_{\partial W} |h|$ and $Ah \in C_0(W)$ if $\partial W \neq \emptyset$ and $h(x_0) > 0$ if $\partial W = \emptyset$.

\textbf{(S1)} For $W \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $x_0 \in W$, there is $h \in D(A,W) \subset C_0(W)$ such that $h(x_0) > 0$.

\textbf{(S2)} $D(A,W)$ is dense in $C_0(W)$ for $W \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

By $h \in D(A,W) \cap C(\overline{W})$ we mean that the function $h \in D(A,W)$ has a continuous extension to $\overline{W}$ usually denoted by the same symbol. Clearly, (S2) $\Rightarrow$ (S1) $\Rightarrow$ (S) and each extension of $A$ satisfies the same separation assumption.

\textbf{Lemma 2.9.} Let $A$ be a local operator on $\mathcal{A}$. If, for $V \in \mathcal{A}$, the local maximum modulus principle

\[ f \in D(A,V), \ x_0 \in V, \ |f(x_0)| = \sup_{V} |f| \implies Re \left( (Af)(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)} \right) \leq 0 \quad (2.1) \]

holds, then $A$ is locally dissipative. The converse is true provided that (S) is satisfied.

\textbf{Proof.} (1) Assume that (2.1) holds. Let $V \in \mathcal{A}$, $K$ be a compact subset of $V$, and $f \in D(A,V)$ satisfy $\sup_{V \setminus K} |f| < \sup_{V} |f|$. Then there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $|f(x_0)| = \sup_{V} |f|$. Thus, (2.1) yields $Re \left( (Af(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)} \right) \leq 0$, i.e., $A$ is locally dissipative.
(2) Now assume that $A$ is locally dissipative and that (S) holds. Let $V, x_0, f$ be as in (2.1) and suppose that $\Re\left(\Re(f(x_0))\right) > 0$. Then we can find $W \in A \cap O_c(\Omega)$ and $h_0$ satisfying (S) such that $x_0 \in W \subset \overline{W} \subset V$ and

$$\Re\left(\Re(f(x))\right) > \alpha > 0$$

for $x \in W$. If $\partial W = \emptyset$, then $W = \overline{W}$ is compact and, by local dissipativity, there is $x_1 \in W$ such that $\Re\left(\Re(f(x_1))\right) \leq 0$. This contradicts (2.2). So assume $\partial W \neq \emptyset$. We have $f(x_0) = \lambda |f(x_0)|$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. Set $h = \lambda h_0$ and $g_\sigma = f + \sigma h$ for $\sigma > 0$. The functions $f, h, g_\sigma$ have continuous extensions to $\overline{W}$ which are denoted by the same symbols. From (S) and $f(x_0) = \sup_V |f|$ we derive

$$|g_\sigma(x_0)| = |\lambda |f(x_0)| + \sigma \lambda h_0(x_0)| = |f(x_0)| + \sigma h_0(x_0)$$

$$> \beta > |f(x)| + \sigma |h(x)| \geq |g_\sigma(x)|$$

for $x \in \partial W$ and a constant $\beta$. In particular, the set $K = \{x \in W : |g_\sigma(x)| \geq \beta\} \neq \emptyset$ is compact in $W$. Since $A$ is locally dissipative, there is $x_2 = x_2(\sigma) \in W$ such that

$$\Re\left(\Re(f(x_2))\right) \leq 0.$$

(2.3)

On the other hand, (S) and (2.2) imply

$$\Re\left(\Re(f(x_2))\right) = \Re\left(\Re(f(x))\right) + \sigma \Re\left(\Re(f(x))\right) + \sigma \Re\left(\Re(h(x))\right) + \sigma^2 \Re\left(\Re(h(x))\right)$$

$$= \Re\left(\Re(f(x))\right) + O(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma}{2} > 0$$

on $W$ for $\sigma > 0$ small enough. This contradicts (2.3), and thus (2.1) holds.

We note some consequences of the above result.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let $A$ be a local operator on $A$ which satisfies (S).

1. If $A$ is locally dissipative, then the part $A_V$ of $A$ in $C_0(V)$ is dissipative for $V \in A$.

2. Let $A$ be real. Then, $A$ is locally dissipative if and only if the local positive maximum principle

$$f \in D(A_V) \cap C^2(V), x_0 \in V, 0 < f(x_0) = \sup_V f \implies (Af)(x_0) \leq 0$$

(2.4)

holds for all $V \in A$.

**Proof.** 1. For $f \in D(A_V) \subset C_0(V)$ there is $x_0 \in V$ such that $|f(x_0)| = ||f||$. So the dissipativity of $A_V$ follows by considering the functional $\phi_f = f(x_0) \delta_{x_0}$. 2. Let $A$ be real. First, assume that (2.4) holds. Let $V \in A$, $f \in D(A_V)$, and $x_0 \in V$ such that $|f(x_0)| = \sup_V |f|$. We may assume that $|f(x_0)| > 0$. There is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$ and $|f(x_0)| = \lambda f(x_0)$. Let $u = \Re\left(\Re(f)\right)$ and $v = \Im\left(\Re(f)\right)$. 1
Hence, $0 < u(x_0) = \sup_V u$ and $v(x_0) = 0$. Since $A$ is real, we have $u, v \in D(A, V)$ and $Au, Av$ are real. Now (2.4) implies
\[
\text{Re}(Af(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)}) = \text{Re}(A(\lambda f) \overline{\lambda f(x_0)}) = \text{Re}(Au(x_0) u(x_0) + iAv(x_0) u(x_0)) = Au(x_0) u(x_0) \leq 0.
\]

Second, assume that $A$ is locally dissipative. Let $0 < f(x_0) = \sup_V f$ for $f \in D(A, V) \cap C^\infty(V)$ and $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $Af(x_0) > 0$. Choose $W \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x_0 \in W \subset V$ and $f \geq 0$ on $W$. An application of (2.1) shows that $Af(x_0) f(x_0) \leq 0$ which is impossible.

As a consequence, the operator $A_1$ from Example 2.3 is locally dissipative due to the classical (strong) maximum principle. In fact, more general elliptic or parabolic operators yield locally dissipative local operators, see [33], [46] for the elliptic case and [40], [48], Chapter 6 for the parabolic case.

It is well known that a densely defined, dissipative operator possesses a dissipative closure. In the sequel, we show an analogue of this result for local operators using the mild separation condition (S). Here we generalize [32, Thm. 3] where (S2) was used.

**Lemma 2.11.** Assume that $A$ is a locally dissipative, local operator on $\mathcal{A}$ which satisfies (S). Let $V, V_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f_n \in D(A, V_n)$ such that $V_n \uparrow V$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$, $Af_n \rightarrow g$ u.e. in $C(V)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. If there is $x_0 \in V$ with $|f(x_0)| = \sup_V |f|$, then $\text{Re}(g(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)}) \leq 0$.

2. If $f = 0$, then $g = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $V, V_n, f, f_n, g$ be as in the statement.

1. Let $|f(x_0)| = \sup_V |f|$ for some $x_0 \in V$. We may assume that $|f(x_0)| > 0$. Suppose that $\text{Re}(g(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)}) > 0$. Then there exists $W \in \mathcal{A} \cap C_c(\Omega)$ such that $\text{Re}g \overline{f} \geq \alpha > 0$ on $W$ for some constant $\alpha$ and there is $h$ satisfying (S) for $x_0 \in W \subset \overline{W} \subset V$. Without loss of generality, let $\overline{W} \subset V_1$. Set $\lambda = f(x_0) |f(x_0)|^{-1}$ and $h = \lambda h$. Define $h_n = \sigma h$ for $\sigma > 0$ and $\varphi_n = f_n - f$ and $\psi_n = Af_n - g$ on $\overline{W}$. Then $\varphi_n, \psi_n \rightarrow 0$ in $C(\overline{W})$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, we estimate
\[
\text{Re}(Af_n + h_\sigma) = \text{Re}(g + \psi_n + \sigma Ah)(\overline{f_n + h_\sigma}) = \text{Re}(\overline{g} \overline{\sigma} + \overline{\psi_n} + \sigma \overline{h})(\overline{f_n} + \sigma \overline{h})
\]
\[
= \text{Re}(g \overline{\sigma} + \text{Re}(g \overline{\psi_n}) + \text{Re}(\overline{\psi_n} \sigma f_n) + \sigma^2 \text{Re}(\overline{h} Ah))
\]
\[
+ \sigma \text{Re}(\overline{g} \overline{h} + \text{Re}(\overline{\psi_n} \overline{h}) + \text{Re}(\overline{f_n} \overline{Ah}) + \text{Re}(\overline{f_n} \overline{Ah}))
\]
\[
\geq \text{Re}(g \overline{\sigma} + O(\sigma) - \varepsilon \geq \alpha + O(\sigma) - \varepsilon
\]
on $W$ for $n$ large enough. Therefore we can find $\sigma_0 > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[
\text{Re}(Af_n + h_\sigma)(\overline{f_n + h_\sigma}) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} > 0
\]
(2.5)
on $W$ for $n \geq n_0$ and $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$. On the other hand, for fixed $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$ condition (S) yields
\[
| (f_n + h_\sigma)(x_0) | = | \lambda | f(x_0) | + \varphi_n(x_0) + \lambda \tilde{h}(x_0) | \geq | f(x_0) | + \tilde{h}(x_0) - | \varphi_n(x_0) | \\
\geq \beta > \gamma > | f(x) | + \tilde{h}(x)
\]
for $x \in \partial W$, constants $\beta, \gamma > 0$, and $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$. Moreover,
\[
| (f_n + h_\sigma)(x) | \leq | f(x) | + | \varphi_n(x) | + \tilde{h}(x) \leq \gamma
\]
on $\partial W$ for $n \geq n_2 \geq n_1$. Fix $n \geq n_2$. Notice that the set $K = \{ x \in W : | f_n(x) + h_\sigma(x) | \geq \beta \} \neq \emptyset$ is compact in $W$. Since $A$ is locally dissipative, we find $x_1 \in W$ such that
\[
\Re(A(f_n + h_\sigma)(f_n + h_\sigma))(x_1) \leq 0
\]
This violates (2.5), and so the first assertion is established.

2. Let $f = 0$. Suppose that $| g(x_0) | > 0$ for some $x_0 \in V$. Then there is $W \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ such that
\[
| g(x) | > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in W \tag{2.6}
\]
and there is $h$ satisfying (S) for $x_0 \in W \subset \overline{W} \subset V$. Choose $x_1 \in W$ with $| h(x_1) | = \sup_W | h | > 0$. Without loss of generality, let $\overline{W} \subset V_1$. We have $f_n + \lambda \sigma h \to \lambda \sigma h$ and $A(f_n + \lambda \sigma h) \to g + \lambda \sigma Ah$ in $C(\overline{W})$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $\sigma > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, $\sigma | h(x_1) | = \sup_W | \sigma h |$. So the first part of the proof shows
\[
0 \geq \Re((g + \lambda \sigma Ah)(x_1) \sigma \tilde{h}(x_1))) = \sigma \left[ \Re(\overline{g(x_1)} \overline{h(x_1)}) + | \sigma |^2 \Re(\overline{h(x_1)} Ah(x_1)) \right].
\]
Dividing by $\sigma$ and letting $\sigma \to 0$, yields $\Re(\overline{g(x_1)} \overline{h(x_1)}) \leq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking $\lambda = g(x_1) \overline{h(x_1)}$, we obtain $g(x_1) = 0$. This contradicts (2.6), and hence $g = 0$. \hfill $\Box$

Given a local operator $A$ on $\mathcal{A}$, we set
\[
D(\tilde{A}, V) = \{ f \in C(V) : \text{there are } V_n \in \mathcal{A}, f_n \in D(A, V_n), g \in C(V) \text{ such that} \\
\quad V_n \uparrow V, f_n \to f, Af_n \to g \text{ u.c. in } C(V) \},
\]
\[
\tilde{A}^* f = g \quad \text{on } V \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{2.7}
\]
compare [32]. To show that $\tilde{A}^*$ is well defined, we assume that $A$ is locally dissipative and that (A) and (S) hold. Let $f, g, g' \in C(V)$, $V_n, V_n' \in \mathcal{A}$, $f_n \in D(A, V_n)$, and $f_n' \in D(A, V_n')$ such that $V_n \uparrow V$, $V_n' \uparrow V$, and $f_n \to f$, $f_n' \to f$, $Af_n \to g$, $Af_n' \to g'$ u.c. in $C(V)$. Then $V_n \cap V_n' \neq \emptyset$ for $n$ large enough and $W_n = V_n \cap V_n' \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $W_n \uparrow V$ and $f_n - f_n' \to 0$, $A(f_n - f_n') \to g - g'$ u.c. in $C(V)$, Lemma 2.11 yields that $g = g'$. Similarly, one verifies that $\tilde{A}^*$ is linear and that $A$ is a local operator. Moreover, we have
Theorem 2.12. Let $A$ be a locally dissipative, local operator defined on $A$ satisfying (A) and (S). Then $\hat{A}$ is a locally dissipative, local operator on $A$ and the smallest semi-complete and locally closed extension of $A$. If $A$ is real, then $\hat{A}$ is also real.

Proof. By Lemma 2.11 and 2.9, $\hat{A}$ is locally dissipative. Let $D(\hat{A}, V_n) \ni f_n \to f$ and $\hat{Af}_n \to g$ u.c. in $C(V)$, where $V, V_n \in A$ and $V_n \uparrow V$. We may assume that $V_n$ is compact and contained in $V_{n+1}$ due to Lemma 2.1. There exist $V_{nk} \in A$ and $f_{nk} \in D(A, V_{nk})$ such that $V_{nk} \uparrow V_n$ and $f_{nk} \to f_n$, $\hat{Af}_{nk} \to \hat{Af}_n$ u.c. in $C(V_n)$ as $k \to \infty$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $k_n$ such that

$$V_{n-1} \subset V_{nk_n}, \quad \sup_{V_{n-1}} |f_n - f_{nk_n}| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{V_{n-1}} |\hat{Af}_n - \hat{Af}_{nk_n}| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Set $W_n := V_{nk_n}$ and $h_n := f_{nk_n} \in D(A, W_n)$. Then $W_n \uparrow V$ and $h_n \to f$, $Ah_n \to g$ u.c. in $C(V)$. That is, $f \in D(\hat{A}, V)$ and $\hat{Af} = g$. As a result, $\hat{A}$ is locally closed u.c. and hence, by Lemma 2.8, semi-complete and locally closed. The other assertions are clear.

Definition 2.13. Let $A$ be a local operator defined on a base $\mathbb{A}$. If (2.7) defines a local operator on $\mathbb{A}$, then we call it the local closure $\hat{A}$ of $A$.

We can combine the completion and the local closure of $A$.

Theorem 2.14. Let $A$ be a locally dissipative, local operator defined on $A$ satisfying (A) and (S). Then $\hat{A}$ exists and is a locally dissipative, locally closed, and semi-complete extension of $A$ defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. If $A$ is real, then $\hat{A}$ is also real.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.12 we only have to show that $\hat{A}$ (which always exists) is locally dissipative. To apply Lemma 2.9, let $f \in D(\hat{A}, V), V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, and $x_0 \in V$ with $[f(x_0)] = \sup_V |f|$. Then there is $W \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $\hat{A}^V f(x_0) = \hat{A}^W f(x_0)$. We conclude $\Re (\hat{A} f(x_0) \overline{f(x_0)}) \leq 0$ by the local dissipativity of $A$. □

2.2 Parabolic extension of local operators in space-time

At first, we recall the concept of a parabolic local operator as introduced in [40], [41], [48], [49], see also [38], [39], [42], [50]. For the remainder of this chapter we let $J \in \{ [S, T) \mid ]S, T], [S, T] \}$, where $S = -\infty$ and $T = +\infty$ is possible if $S \notin J$ and $T \notin J$, respectively. The collection of non-empty, open subintervals of $J$ is designated by $\mathcal{J}$. Set $\Omega = \Omega \times J$. We denote by $V$ a generic non-empty, open subset of $\Omega$ and by $x = (x, t)$ a generic element of $\Omega$. Further,

$$\mathbb{A} = \{ V = V \times I : V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), I \in \mathcal{J} \}$$

is a base of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ satisfying (A). For $M \subset \Omega$ and $t \in J$, define

$$M(t) = \{ x \in \Omega : (x, t) \in M \}, \quad M(t) = M(t) \times \{ t \}, \quad M_s = \{ (x, s) \in M : s > t \}$$

$$I_M = \{ t \in J : M(t) \neq \emptyset \}, \quad S_M = \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : t \in I_M \}, \quad T_M = \sup \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : t \in I_M \}.$$
Note that $S \subset T$ and that $I$ and $V(t)$ are open in $J$ and $V$, respectively, for $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$.

The following definition is motivated by the properties of the local operator given by $L = \Delta_x - \frac{d}{dt}$ on $D(L, V) = C^2(\bar{V})$ with $V \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$.

**Definition 2.15.** A local operator $L$ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ (or $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$) is called parabolic if, for $F \in D(L, V)$, $V \in \mathcal{A}$ (or $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$), and $\varphi \in C^1(I_V)$ with $\varphi'(S) = 0$ if $S \in I_V$, we have $\varphi F \in D(L, V)$ and $L(\varphi F) = \varphi LF - \varphi' F$.

If $S \in J$ and, in addition, $LF = 0$ on $\bar{V}(S)$ for all $F \in D(L, V)$, then we say that $L$ is standard parabolic.

Here, $\varphi F \in C(V)$ is defined by $(\varphi F)(x) = \varphi(t)F(x, t)$. Examples of parabolic local operators are discussed in the above references and in Chapter 6. We first show that parabolicity is preserved under completion and local closure.

**Proposition 2.16.** Assume that $L$ is a (standard) parabolic local operator defined on $\mathcal{A}$ (or $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$). Then the local operators $\bar{L}$ and $\hat{L}$ (if the latter exists) are also (standard) parabolic.

**Proof.** Assume that $\bar{L}$ exists. Let $F \in D(\bar{L}, V)$, $V \in \mathcal{A}$ (or $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$), and $\varphi \in C^1(I_V)$ with $\varphi'(S) = 0$ if $S \in I_V$. There are $\bar{V}_n \uparrow \bar{V}, V_n \in \mathcal{A}$ (or $V_n \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$), and $F_n \in D(L, V_n)$ such that $F_n \to F$ and $LF_n \to LF$ u.c. in $C(V)$. Denote the restriction of $\varphi$ to $I_{V_n}$ by $\varphi_n \in C^1(I_{V_n})$. Then $\varphi_n F_n \to \varphi F$ u.c. in $C(V)$, $\varphi_n F_n \in D(L, V_n)$, and

$$L(\varphi_n F_n) = \varphi_n LF_n - \varphi_n' F_n \to \varphi LF - \varphi' F$$

u.c. in $C(V)$. Hence, $\bar{L}$ is parabolic. The other claims can be verified similarly. \qed

The following construction will be crucial for our characterization of the solvability of Cauchy problems of diffusion type in Chapter 3. Let $I = [a, b]$ for possibly infinite $a, b$ and $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$. A set $\{s_k, k \in K\} \subset I$ is called a partition of $I$ if $s_k < s_{k+1}$ for $k \in K$, $\{s_k, k \in K\}$ is locally finite, and $\bigcup_{k}[s_k, s_{k+1}] = I$. We set $I_k = [s_k, s_{k+1}]$ and $V_k = V \times I_k$. Obviously, if $I$ is bounded, then $K$ has to be finite and $s_0 = a$ and $s_n = b$ (where we let $0 = \min K$ and $n = \max K$). If $I = \mathbb{R}$, then $K = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lim_{k \to \pm \infty} s_k = \pm \infty$; and similarly for semibounded intervals. In the following definition we consider a local operator $L$ defined on $\mathcal{A}$. The analogous concept for a local operator on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is introduced in Definition 2.24.

**Definition 2.17.** Let $L$ be a local operator on $\mathcal{A}$, where $J = [S, T]$. The parabolic extension $L_p$ of $L$ is defined by

$$D(L_p, V) = \{F \in C(V) : \text{there is a partition } \{s_k, k \in K\} \text{ of } I \text{ and } G \in C(V) \text{ such that } F_k = F|V_k \in D(L, V_k) \text{ and } LF_k = G|V_k \text{ for } k \in K\},$$

$$L_p F = G \quad \text{on } V = V \times I \in \mathcal{A}.$$
The union of two partitions of \( I \) is a refinement of both partitions. In view of this fact, it is easy to see that \( L_F^V \) is a well-defined linear operator in \( C(V) \) for all \( V \in A \). Similarly, a partition of \( I \) induces a partition of any open subinterval \( I' \subset I \). This implies that \( L_p \) is a local operator on \( A \). Thus we have

**Proposition 2.18.** Definition 2.17 yields a local operator \( L_p \) on \( A \) extending \( L \). If \( L \) is real, then \( L_p \) is also real.

At first, we consider permanence properties of the parabolic extension.

**Proposition 2.19.** Let \( L \) be a parabolic local operator on \( A \) with \( J = \{S, T\} \). Then \( L_p \) is parabolic.

**Proof.** Let \( V = V \times I \in A, F \in D(L_p, V) \) with a partition \( \{s_k\} \), and \( \varphi \in C^1(I) \). Then, \( (\varphi F)_k = (\varphi|I_k)F_k \in D(L, V_k) \)

\[ L(\varphi F)_k = (\varphi|I_k)LF_k - (\varphi|I_k)'F_k = (\varphi L_p F - \varphi' F)|V_k. \]

since \( L \) is parabolic. So \( \varphi F \in D(L_p, V) \) and \( L_p(\varphi F) = \varphi L_p F - \varphi' F. \)

**Proposition 2.20.** Let \( L \) be a locally dissipative, parabolic, local operator on \( A \) with \( J = \{S, T\} \). Then \( L_p \) is locally dissipative.

**Proof.** Let \( V = V \times I \in A \), \( 0 \neq F \in D(L_p, V) \) with a partition \( \{s_k\}, K \subset V \) be compact, and

\[ |F(x)| \leq \beta < \sup_{\hat{K}} |F| = \|F\| \quad \text{for } x \in V \setminus \hat{K}. \]

and a constant \( \beta \). Clearly, \( \|F\| = \max_{\hat{K}} |F| \) and \( V \) is not compact since \( I \) is open. Define \( V'_k = V_k \cup (V \times \{s_{k+1}\}) \) and \( M = \{x \in V : |F(x)| = \|F\|\} \). Then \( M \) is compact, not empty and contained in \( K \). Since the partition is locally finite, there is a lowest index \( m \) with \( V'_m \cap M \neq \emptyset \). Notice that \( |F(x_n)| \to \|F\| \) for \( x_n \in V \) implies that a subsequence \( x_k \) converges to some \( x_0 \in M \) since \( x_n \in K \) for large \( n \). Therefore, there is a constant \( \beta < \alpha < \|F\| \) and a compact set \( \hat{K} \subset K \) such that \( M \subset \hat{K} \).

\[ |F(x)| \leq \alpha < \sup_{\hat{V}} |F| = \|F\| \quad \text{for } x \in V \setminus \hat{K}. \]

and \( K \cap V'_k = \emptyset \) for \( k < m \). Set \( K_m = K \cap V'_m \). For every sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \varepsilon_1 \in ]s_m, s_{m+1}] \) such that

\[ \sup_{x \in V \setminus \{V \times [s_1, s_{m+1}]\}} |F(x)| \geq \|F\| - \varepsilon > \alpha. \]

Choose \( \varepsilon_2 \in ]s_1, s_{m+1}] \) and \( \varphi \in C^1[s_m, s_{m+1}] \) with support in \( [s_m, s_2] \) satisfying \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \), \( \varphi' \leq 0 \), and \( \varphi = 1 \) on \( [s_m, s_1] \). Set \( \hat{K}_m = K \cap (V \times [s_1, s_2]) \subset K_m \). (We note that \( s_1, s_2, \varphi, K_m \) may depend on \( \varepsilon \).) From \( K \cap (V \times \{s_m\}) = \emptyset \) follows that \( \hat{K}_m \) is
compact in $V_m$. On $V_m \setminus \tilde{K}_m = (V_m \setminus K) \cup (V \times [s_2, s_{m+1}])$ we have either $|F| \leq \alpha$, by (2.8), or $\varphi = 0$; thus $|\varphi F| \leq \alpha$ on $V_m \setminus \tilde{K}_m$. So (2.9) yields
\[ \sup_{\tilde{K}_m} |\varphi F| > \alpha \geq \; \text{for} \; \tilde{x} \in V_m \setminus \tilde{K}_m. \; \tag{2.10} \]
By (2.9), (2.10), and the local dissipativity of $L$, there exists $\tilde{x}_\epsilon = (x_\epsilon, t_\epsilon) \in \tilde{K}_m$ satisfying
\[ \|F\| \geq |(\varphi F_m)(\tilde{x}_\epsilon)| = \sup_{\tilde{K}_m} |\varphi F_m| \geq \|F\| - \epsilon \; \text{and} \; \tag{2.11} \]
\[ \text{Re}(L(\varphi F_m)(\tilde{x}_\epsilon)(\varphi F_m)(\tilde{x}_\epsilon)) \leq 0, \; \tag{2.12} \]
where $F_m = F|_{V_m}$. We may assume that $\tilde{x}_\epsilon \to \tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{K}_m$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Now (2.11) gives
\[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\|F\|} \leq \varphi(t_\epsilon) \frac{|F_m(\tilde{x}_\epsilon)|}{\|F\|} \leq \varphi(t_\epsilon) \leq 1, \]
and therefore $\varphi(t_\epsilon) \to 1$ and $|F_m(\tilde{x}_\epsilon)| \to |F(\tilde{x}_0)| = \|F\|$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. From (2.12) and the parabolicity of $L$ we infer
\[ 0 \geq \text{Re} \left[ (\varphi^2 F_m LF_m)(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) - (\varphi \varphi' |F_m|^2)(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) \right] \geq \varphi(t_\epsilon)^2 \text{Re} \left[ (LF_m)(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) F_m(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) \right]. \]
Finally, $LF_m(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) = L_p F(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) \to L_p F(\tilde{x}_0)$, and the assertion follows. \hfill \Box

An inspection of the above proof shows the following result which is needed in Section 6.1.

**Corollary 2.21.** Let $L$ be a parabolic local operator on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega \times [S, T])$. Assume that the restriction $L_0$ of $L$ to $\mathcal{O}(\Omega \times [S, T])$ is locally dissipative. Then $L$ is locally dissipative.

Combining Theorem 2.12, Proposition 2.16, 2.18, 2.19, 2.20, and Theorem 2.14, we obtain

**Theorem 2.22.** Let $L$ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ (with $J = [S, T]$) be a parabolic, locally dissipative, local operator satisfying (S). Then there exists the local operator $((\tilde{L}_p)^-)^-$ which is a locally dissipative, parabolic, locally closed, semi-complete extension of $L$ on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. If $L$ is real, then $((\tilde{L}_p)^-)^-$ is real.

**Definition 2.23.** Let $L$ be a local operator defined on $\mathcal{A}$ (with $J = [S, T]$). If the local operator $\tilde{L}_p := ((\tilde{L}_p)^-)^-$ exists, then it is called the closed completed parabolic extension of $L$.

Next, we consider the parabolic extension of an operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. For $I_k \in \mathcal{J}$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, we set $\Omega_k = \Omega \setminus I_k$ and $V_k = V \cap \Omega_k$.
Definition 2.24. Let $L$ be a local operator on $O(\Omega)$, where $J = [S,T[$. The parabolic extension $L_p$ of $L$ is defined by

$$D(L_p, V) = \{ F \in C(V) : \text{there is a partition } \{ s_k, k \in K \} \text{ of } I_V \text{ and } G \in C(V) \text{ such that } F_k = F|V_k \in D(L, V_k) \text{ and } LF_k = G|V_k \text{ for } k \in K \},$$

$$L_p F = G \quad \text{on } V \in O(\Omega).$$

As in the case of Definition 2.17, one checks that $L_p$ is a well-defined local operator extending $L$. Also, one sees that $L_p$ is parabolic if $L$ is parabolic as in Proposition 2.19. The next result relates both definitions of the parabolic extension.

Proposition 2.25. Let $L$ be a parabolic, locally dissipative, local operator on $O(\Omega)$ (with $J = [S,T[$) which satisfies (S) on a base $A_0$, whose intersection with $A$ is still a base of $O(\Omega)$. Then the local operator $(\hat{L}_p)^-$ on $O(\Omega)$ exists and is parabolic, locally dissipative, locally closed, and semi-complete. Further, $(L_0, \hat{p})$ extends $(L_p)^-$, where $L_0$ is the restriction of $L$ to $A$.

Proof. First, notice that $L_0$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.22. Let $V \in A$ and $F \in D(\hat{L}, V)$. We find $V_n \in A$ and $F_n \in D(L, V_n)$ such that $V_n \uparrow V$ and $F_n \to F$, $LF_n \to LF$ u.c. in $C(V)$. Thus, $F \in D(\hat{L}_0, V)$ and $\hat{L}_0 F = LF$ on $V$. Using the definition of the parabolic extension, we then derive

$$\hat{L}_0 \subset (\hat{L}_0)_p \subset (L_0)^\hat{p}.$$

In particular, $\hat{L}_p$ is locally dissipative. So the local closure of $\hat{L}_p$ exists and has the asserted properties. \qed

We will need the concept of a ‘translation invariant’ local operator on space-time in the next chapter. To that purpose, we define the set $V_{-\tau} = \{ (x,t) \in \Omega : (x,t+\tau) \in V \}$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V \in O(\Omega)$ and the continuous function $(T_\tau F)(x,t) = F_\tau(x,t) = F(x, t+\tau)$ for $(x,t) \in V_{-\tau}$ and $F \in C(V)$, where $J = \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.26. Let $L$ be a local operator defined on $A$ (or $O(\Omega)$), where $J = \mathbb{R}$. We say that $L$ is translation invariant if, for $V \in A$ (or $V \in O(\Omega)$), $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and $F \in D(L, V)$, one has $F_{-\tau} \in D(L, V_{-\tau})$ and $LF_{-\tau} = (LF)_{-\tau}$ on $V_{-\tau}$.

A standard example for this notion is furnished by the local operator $L = \Delta_x - \frac{d}{dt}$ on $C^{\infty}(V \times I)$, $V \in O(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note the following permanence properties.

Proposition 2.27. Let $L$ be a translation invariant, local operator defined on $A$ or $O(\Omega)$ (where $J = \mathbb{R}$). Then the local operators $L$, $L_p$ and $\hat{L}_p$ are also translation invariant (if they exist).
Proof. Let \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}, F \in D(L_p, V) \) and \( F_k = F|V_k \), where \( V_k \) are the sets given by the partition \( \{ s_k \} \) for \( F \). We denote by the subscript \( k \) also the \( k \)th subdivision of the translated partition \( \{ s_k + \tau \} \) of \( V \). Then, \( (F-\tau)_k = (F_k-\tau) \in D(L, (V_k)_{\tau}) \) and \( L(F-\tau)_k = (LF_k-\tau) \in (V_k)_{\tau} = (V_{\tau})_k \) by the translation invariance of \( L \).

As a result, \( F-\tau \in D(L_p, V_{\tau}) \) with partition \( \{ s_k + \tau \} \) and \( L_p(F-\tau) = (L_pF)_{-\tau} \); that is, \( L_p \) is translation invariant. The other claims can be verified in the same way. \( \square \)

### 2.3 Maximum principles for parabolic local operators

In this section we prove one of the most important features of parabolic, locally dissipative, local operators: they satisfy a parabolic maximum principle. Among other things, we will use this fact in Chapter 4 to show uniqueness of solutions to Cauchy problems of diffusion type on non-cylindrical domains \( \Omega^* \).

Let \( L \) be a local operator defined on \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega), V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \) and \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). Since \( V \) is not required to be relatively compact, we have to consider the one point compactification \( \Omega^* = \Omega \cup \{ \infty \} \) of \( \Omega \) and the set \( \Omega^* = \Omega^* \times J \). We identify \( V \) (or \( V \)) with a subset \( V^* \) (or \( V^* \)) of \( \Omega^* \) (or \( \Omega^* \)). Further, \( \mathcal{V}^* \) (or \( \mathcal{V}^* \)) is the closure of \( V \) (or \( V \)) in \( \Omega^* \) (or \( \Omega^* \)). The boundaries are given by \( \partial V^* = \mathcal{V}^* \setminus V^* \) and \( \partial V^* = \mathcal{V}^* \setminus V^* \), respectively. Also, for \( F \in C(\mathcal{V}^*) \), we denote the restriction of \( F \) to \( \mathcal{V}^* \) by the same symbol and write \( F \in C(\mathcal{V}^*) \cap D(L, \mathcal{V}) \) if the restriction belongs \( D(L, \mathcal{V}) \). Recall that \( J \in \{ [S, T], [S, T], [S, T] \} \) with possibly infinite \( S < T \) if \( S \notin J \) or \( T \notin J \). Therefore, \( \partial \mathcal{V}^* \) is compact in \( \Omega^* \) if \( S_T \) and \( T_T \) are finite and belong to \( J \).

In order to define a “parabolic boundary,” we always assume that \( S_T \) and \( T_T \) are finite and \( S_T \in J \) for a given \( \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). Set \( \Omega^* \{ t \} = \Omega^* \times \{ t \} \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and let \( Z_{\mathcal{V}} \) be the largest subset of \( \Omega^* \) of \( \partial \mathcal{V}^* \) which is open in \( \partial V^* \). Then we define by

\[
\delta_p \mathcal{V} = (\partial \mathcal{V}^* - Z_{\mathcal{V}}) \cup \mathcal{V}(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_p \mathcal{V} = (\partial \mathcal{V}^* - \mathcal{V}(T_{\mathcal{V}})) \cup \mathcal{V}(S) \quad (2.13)
\]

the (maximal) closed parabolic boundary \( \delta_p \mathcal{V} \) and the (maximal) parabolic boundary \( \partial_p \mathcal{V} \), respectively, where \( \mathcal{V}(S) = \emptyset \) if \( S = -\infty \). Of course, \( Z_{\mathcal{V}} \) or \( \mathcal{V}(S) \) may be empty and the unions in (2.13) need not to be disjoint. However, \( \partial_p \mathcal{V} \notin \emptyset \) since either \( \mathcal{V}(S) = \emptyset \) or there is \( (x, S_T) \in \partial \mathcal{V}^* \) due to \( S_T \in J \). To illustrate the above notions, let \( \mathcal{V} = V \times \{ S_T \} = V_T \) belong to \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega \times [S, T]) \). Then \( \delta_p \mathcal{V} = \delta_p V = (V \times \{ S_T \}) \cup (\partial V^* \times [S, T]) \) and \( \partial_p \mathcal{V} = \partial_p V = (V \times \{ S_T \}) \cup (\partial V^* \times [S, T]) \). Since \( \delta_p \mathcal{V} \) is the closure of \( \partial_p \mathcal{V} \) in \( \partial \mathcal{V}^* \), we have

\[
\sup_{\delta_p \mathcal{V}} |F| = \sup_{\partial_p \mathcal{V}} |F| \quad (2.14)
\]

for \( F \in C(\delta_p \mathcal{V}) \). Observe that \( \delta_p \mathcal{V} \) is compact in \( \Omega^* \) if \( T_T \in J \).

For relatively compact \( \mathcal{V} \), the following results are essentially contained in [41]. There, however, a somewhat smaller ‘parabolic boundary’ is used, namely the set of those \( x \in \partial_p \mathcal{V} \) “which can be reached from below”, cf. [20, §2.1]. The next lemma provides the essential step in the proofs of our main theorems below.
Lemma 2.28. Let \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \) with finite \( S_V, T_V \in J \). Let \( L \) be a locally dissipative, parabolic, local operator defined on \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). Assume that \( F \in C(\bar{V}^* ) \cap D(L, V) \). If \( LF = 0 \) on \( V \), then

\[
\sup_{\delta_V} |F| = \sup_{\delta_V} |F|.
\]

Moreover, if \( F > 0 \) and \( LF \geq 0 \) on \( V \), then

\[
\sup_{\delta_V} F = \sup_{\delta_V} F.
\]

Proof. (1) Let \( F \in C(\bar{V}^* ) \cap D(L, V) \) and \( LF = 0 \) on \( V \). We may assume that \( \|F\| = \sup_{\delta_V} |F| > 0 \). Suppose that (2.15) does not hold. Choose \( \varphi \in C^1[S_V, T_V] \) such that \( 0 < \varphi \leq 1 \), \( \varphi'(S_V) = 0 \), \( \varphi'(s) < 0 \) for \( S_V < s \leq T_V \), and \( \varphi(T_V) \|F\| > \varphi(S_V) \sup_{\delta_V} |F| \). In particular, \( F_1 = \varphi F \in C(\bar{V}^* ) \cap D(L, V) \) also violates (2.15).

Let \( K = (x \in \bar{V}^* : |F_1(x)| = \|F_1\|) \neq \emptyset \). Then \( K \cap \delta_V = \emptyset \), and we have either

(a) \( K \cap (\partial V^* \cup V(S)) = \emptyset \) or \( \emptyset \neq K \cap (\partial V^* \cup V(S)) \subset Z_V \).

First, suppose that (a) holds. For \( \|F_1\| > \alpha > \sup_{\delta_V \cup V(S)} |F_1| \), we define

\[
K_\alpha = \{x \in \bar{V}^* : |F_1(x)| \geq \alpha \} \neq \emptyset.
\]

Observe that \( K_\alpha \subset V \) and \( K_\alpha \) is closed in \( \bar{V}^* \). Therefore, \( K_\alpha \) is compact in \( V \). Using local dissipativity of \( L \), we find \( x_1 = (x_1, t_1) \in K_\alpha \) satisfying \( |F_1(x_1)| = \|F_1\| \) and \( \text{Re}((LF_1)(x_1)) \leq 0 \). Since \( L \) is parabolic and \( LF = 0 \), this implies

\[
0 \geq \text{Re}(-\varphi' F \overline{F_1}(x_1)) = -\varphi'(t_1) \varphi(t_1) |F(x_1)|^2.
\]

Due to \( K_\alpha \cap (\partial V^* \cup V(S)) = \emptyset \), we have \( t_1 > S_V \) and, hence, \( -\varphi'(t_1) \varphi(t_1) > 0 \). Consequently, \( |F(x_1)| = \|F_1\| = 0 \), which is impossible.

Second, suppose that (b) holds. There exists \( \beta > 0 \) with

\[
\|F_1\| > \beta > \sup_{\delta_V} |F_1|.
\]

Define

\[
K_\beta = \{x \in \bar{V}^* : |F_1(x)| \geq \beta \} \neq \emptyset.
\]

Let \( 0 < \varepsilon < \|F_1\| - \beta \). Since \( F_1 \in C(\bar{V}^* ) \) and \( V \) is open, there is \( x_2 = (x_2, t_2) \in V \) (depending on \( \varepsilon \)) such that \( |F_1(x_2)| \geq \|F_1\| - \varepsilon \) and \( S_V < t_2 < T_V \). Take \( t_3 \in ]t_2, T_V[ \) and \( \psi_\varepsilon \in C^1[S_V, T_V] \) satisfying \( 0 \leq \psi_\varepsilon \leq 1, \psi'_\varepsilon \leq 0, \psi_\varepsilon = 1 \) on \( ]S_V, t_2[ \), and \( \psi_\varepsilon = 0 \) on \( ]t_2, T_V[ \). The set

\[
K_\beta' = \{(x, t) \in K_\beta : t \leq t_3\} \neq \emptyset
\]

is closed in \( \bar{V}^* \) and \( K_\beta' \cap Z_V = \emptyset \). Therefore, (2.17) implies that \( K_\beta' \) is a compact subset of \( V \). Also,

\[
\sup_{K_\beta'} |\psi_\varepsilon F_1| \geq |F_1(x_2)| \geq \|F_1\| - \varepsilon > \beta > 0.
\]
On the other hand, $V \setminus K'_\beta = (V \setminus K'_\beta) \cup ((K _\beta \cap V) \setminus K'_\beta)$. On $V \setminus K'_\beta$ we have $|\psi F_1| \leq |F_1| < \beta$, while on $K _\beta \setminus K'_\beta$ the function $\psi \epsilon$ vanishes. As a result,

$$\sup_{V \setminus K'_\beta} |\psi \epsilon F_1| \leq \beta < \sup_{K'_\beta} |\psi \epsilon F_1|. \quad (2.19)$$

By local dissipativity of $L$, there exists $x \epsilon = (x \epsilon, t_\epsilon) \in K'_\beta$ such that $|\psi \epsilon F_1(x \epsilon)| = \|\psi \epsilon F_1\|$ and

$$0 \geq \Re \left[ L(\psi \epsilon F_1)(x \epsilon) \left( \psi \epsilon F_1 \right)(x \epsilon) \right]$$

$$= \Re \left[ (\psi^2 \epsilon F_1 L \psi F_1)(x \epsilon) - (\psi \epsilon \psi \epsilon |F_1|^2)(x \epsilon) \right]$$

$$= -\psi^2 \epsilon (t \epsilon) \psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) \psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) |F_1(x \epsilon)|^2 - \psi^2 \epsilon (t \epsilon) \psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) |F_1(x \epsilon)|^2$$

$$\geq -\psi^2 \epsilon (t \epsilon) \frac{\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon)}{|\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon)|} |F_1(x \epsilon)|^2, \quad (2.20)$$

where we have used that $L$ is parabolic and $LF = 0$. Further, by (2.17), there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $t_\epsilon \geq S_{V} + \gamma$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, $-\frac{\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon)}{|\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon)|} \geq \delta > 0$ for some constant $\delta$. Moreover, we infer from (2.18) that

$$\|F_1\| - \epsilon \leq \|\psi \epsilon F_1\| \leq \|F_1\|, \quad \text{and so}$$

$$1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\|F_1\|} \leq \frac{\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon)}{\|F_1\|} \leq \frac{\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon)}{\|F_1\|} \leq 1.$$}

Thus, $\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) \rightarrow 1$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and (2.20) implies $F_1(x \epsilon) = 0$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. This contradicts $x \epsilon \in K'_\beta$ and so the first assertion is established.

(2) Now assume that $F > 0$ and $LF = 0$ on $V$ and that (2.16) does not hold. Then,

$$\sup_{\delta_{\epsilon}V} F = \sup_{\delta_{\epsilon}V} |F| < \sup_{\delta_{\epsilon}V} |F| = \sup_{\delta_{\epsilon}V} F.$$  

We proceed as in the first part of the proof and consider $F_1 = \varphi F$ and the alternatives (a) and (b). Observe that

$$F_1 LF_1 = -\varphi \varphi F^2 + \varphi^2 F LF \geq -\varphi \varphi F^2 > 0 \quad (2.21)$$

on $V \setminus V(S_U)$ since $L$ is parabolic and $F > 0$, $LF \geq 0$.

In case (a), we define $K'_\beta$ as before and obtain by local dissipativity a point $x \epsilon \in V \setminus V(S_U)$ such that $F_1(x \epsilon) LF(x \epsilon) \leq 0$ which violates (2.21).

In case (b), we consider again $\psi \epsilon$, $K'_\beta$, and $x \epsilon \in V \setminus V(S_U)$ for $0 < \epsilon < \|F_1\| - \beta$.

The same arguments as above yield

$$0 \geq (L(\psi \epsilon F_1)(x \epsilon))(\psi \epsilon F_1)(x \epsilon)$$

$$= \psi^2 \epsilon (t \epsilon)(F_1 LF_1)(x \epsilon) - \psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) \psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) F_1(x \epsilon)^2$$

$$\geq \psi^2 \epsilon (t \epsilon)(F_1 LF_1)(x \epsilon).$$

As in the first part of the proof, we obtain $\psi \epsilon (t \epsilon) > 0$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. This leads to a contradiction with (2.21). \qed
Theorem 2.29. Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with finite $S_V \in J$ and $T_V$. Let $L$ be a locally dissipative, parabolic, local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Assume that $F \in C_b(V^* \cup \partial_p V) \cap D(L,V)$ and $LF = 0$ on $V$. Then,
\[ \sup_{V} |F| = \sup_{\partial_b V} |F|. \]

Proof. Since $V$ is open, for all $\varepsilon \in ]0, T_V - S_V[\setminus \{0\}$ there is $t_\varepsilon \in ]T_V - \varepsilon, T_V[\setminus \{0\}$ such that $V(t_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Let $V = \{ (x, t) \in V : t < t_\varepsilon \}$ and $V = \partial V^* \cap \Omega^*(t_\varepsilon)$. Then, $\partial V^* \subset \partial_p V^* \cup Y_\varepsilon$ and $\partial_p V \subset \partial_p V$. Further, $Y_\varepsilon \cap \Omega^*(t_\varepsilon) = \emptyset$, $Y_\varepsilon \cap V(S) = \emptyset$, and $V^*_\varepsilon \subset V^* \cup \partial_p V \cup Y_\varepsilon \subset V^* \cup \partial_p V$. Hence, $F \in C(V^*)$. As a consequence of Lemma 2.28 and (2.14), we obtain
\[ \sup_{V} |F| = \sup_{\partial_b V} |F| \leq \sup_{\partial_p V} |F| \leq \sup_{V} |F|. \]

The theorem now follows from $\sup_{t > 0} \sup_{V} |F| = \sup_{V} |F|$. \hfill \Box

Theorem 2.30. Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with finite $S_V \in J$ and $T_V$. Let $L$ be a locally dissipative, parabolic, local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Assume that $F \in C_b^b(V^* \cup \partial_p V) \cap D(L,V)$ and $LF \geq 0$ on $V$. Then,
\[ \sup_{V} F \leq \sup_{\partial_p V} F^+. \]

Proof. Consider $t_\varepsilon$ and $V_\varepsilon$ as in the proof of the preceding theorem. Again we have $F \in C(V^*_\varepsilon)$. Suppose that
\[ \sup_{V_\varepsilon} F > \alpha > \sup_{\partial_p V_\varepsilon} F^+ \geq 0 \quad (2.22) \]
for some constant $\alpha$. Then the set $W_\varepsilon = \{ x \in V_\varepsilon : F(x) > \alpha \}$ is open and not empty. Moreover, $F \in C(W^*_\varepsilon)$ and $F > 0$ on $W_\varepsilon$. Since $\partial W^*_\varepsilon \cap \partial_p V_\varepsilon = \emptyset$, we have $F = \alpha$ on $\partial_p W_\varepsilon$. So Lemma 2.28 and (2.14) imply $F \leq \alpha$ on $W_\varepsilon$ which contradicts the definition of $W_\varepsilon$. Therefore, (2.22) is false and
\[ \sup_{V} F = \sup_{t > 0} \sup_{V} F \leq \sup_{t > 0} \sup_{\partial_p V} F^+ = \sup_{\partial_p V} F^+. \]

For parabolic operators $L$ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ we immediately obtain the following result by considering the completion $\hat{L}$ defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$.

Corollary 2.31. Let $L$ be a locally dissipative, parabolic, local operator defined on $\mathcal{A}$ with $J = [S, T]$. Let $V = V \times [s, t]$ for $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $S < s < t < T$. Assume that $F \in C(V^* \times [s, t]) \cap D(L,V)$. If $LF = 0$ on $V$, then
\[ \sup_{V} |F| = \sup_{\partial_b V} |F|. \]
Moreover, if $F$ is real and $LF \geq 0$ on $V$, then
\[ \sup_{V} F \leq \sup_{\partial_b V} F^+. \]
We conclude this chapter with a comparison principle for semilinear equations which is needed in Chapter 5.

**Theorem 2.32.** Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with finite $S_V \in J$ and $T_V$. Let $L$ be a locally dissipative, parabolic, local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be locally Lipschitz. Assume that $F, G \in C^b(\overline{V}^* \cup \partial_pV) \cap D(L, V)$ satisfy

$$LF + \Phi \circ F \leq LG + \Phi \circ G \text{ on } V \quad \text{and} \quad F \geq G \text{ on } \partial_pV.$$

Then $F \geq G$ on $V$.

**Proof.** Define $u = G - F$. Suppose that the open set $V^0 = \{x \in V : u(x) > 0\}$ is not empty. Clearly, $V^0(S) = \emptyset$ and $u \leq 0$ on $\partial_pV^0$. Set $e_\lambda(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $t \in I_{V^0}$. The parabolicity of $L$ yields $e_\lambda u \in D(L, V^0)$ and

$$L(e_\lambda u) = e_\lambda Lu + \lambda e_\lambda u \geq e_\lambda (\lambda u - (\Phi \circ G - \Phi \circ F)).$$

There is a constant $k \geq 0$ (depending on $\max\{\|F\|, \|G\|\}$) such that

$$|\Phi(G(x)) - \Phi(F(x))| \leq k |G(x) - F(x)| = k u(x)$$

for $x \in V^0$. Combining these inequalities, we obtain for fixed $\lambda \geq k$

$$L(e_\lambda u) \geq (\lambda - k)u \geq 0 \quad \text{on } V^0.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.30 implies

$$\sup_{V^0} e_\lambda u \leq \sup_{\partial_pV^0} (e_\lambda u)^+ = 0.$$

This violates the definition of $V^0$. As a result, $F \geq G$ on $V$. 

3 Localization and well-posedness of Cauchy problems

3.1 Introduction

Let $A$ be a real, locally dissipative, local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ which satisfies $(S)$. Then the local closure $\bar{A}$ exists by Theorem 2.12. Given a set $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, we study the Cauchy problem

$$(CP_V) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{d}{dt} u(t) &= \bar{A}_V u(t), \\ u(0) &= f \in D(\bar{A}_V) \end{array} \right. \quad t \geq 0,$$
in $C_0(V)$, where $(\bar{A}_V, D(\bar{A}_V))$ is the part of $\bar{A}$ in $C_0(V)$. The Cauchy problem $(CP_V)$ is called well-posed if for all $f \in D(\bar{A}_V)$ there is a unique $C^1$-function $u = u(\cdot, f)$ such that $u(t) \in D(\bar{A}_V)$ and $u$ satisfies $(CP_V)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and if $u(t, f_n) \to 0$ uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq T$ as $D(\bar{A}_V) \ni f_n \to 0$ in $C_0(V)$. By the closedness of $A_V$, the Cauchy problem is well-posed if and only if $A_V$ generates a semigroup $(e^{tA_V})_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0(V)$; and then $u(t, f) = e^{tA_V}f$. However, much more can be said in our situation since $A_V$ is dissipative and satisfies a positive maximum principle by virtue of Corollary 2.10: $A_V$ generates a Feller semigroup, i.e., $e^{tA_V}$ is a positive contraction on $C_0(V)$ for $t \geq 0$, if and only if $D(\bar{A}_V)$ and $(\lambda - \bar{A}_V)D(\bar{A}_V)$ are both dense in $C_0(V)$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$, see e.g. [8, Thm. 2.2]. The latter condition can be checked for a large class of elliptic operators $\bar{A}$ and sufficiently regular $V$. In fact, in this case one has:

\[ (*) \] There is an exhaustive base $B \subset C_c(\Omega)$ of $O(\Omega)$ such that $D(\bar{A}_V)$ and $(\lambda - \bar{A}_W)D(\bar{A}_W)$ are dense in $C_0(W)$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$ and all $W \in B$.

cf. [33] and [46]. Here “exhaustive” means that for each compact subset $K$ of $V \in O(\Omega)$ there exists $G \in B$ such that $K \subset G \subset G \subset V$. Further, if $(*)$ holds, then the well-posedness of $(CP_V)$ is equivalent to the density of $D(\bar{A}_V)$ and the existence of a ‘Cauchy barrier’ for $V$, [32, Thm. 6] (see also [30], [31], [36], [48], [55], Theorem 3.14 and 3.27 for similar results). Here we use the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $A$ be a local operator defined on $O(\Omega)$. A set $V \in O(\Omega)$ possesses a Cauchy barrier $h$ (with respect to $A$) if there exists a compact subset $K$ of $V$ and a function $h \in D(A, V \setminus K)$ such that $h > 0$ and $(A - \lambda)h \leq 0$ on $V \setminus K$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K_\varepsilon$ with $K \subset K_\varepsilon \subset V$ and $0 \leq h \leq \varepsilon$ on $V \setminus K_\varepsilon$.

We refer to [30, §6], [43, §6.7], [49], and Section 6.1 for examples and applications of this concept, in particular to degenerate problems. The main purpose of this chapter is to characterize well-posedness of $(CP_V)$ by the existence of a Cauchy barrier without assuming $(*)$. Instead, in Theorem 3.25, we will suppose that $\bar{A}_\Omega$ is a generator on $C_0(\Omega)$ and that $\bar{A}$ satisfies some mild additional conditions.

Before proceeding, let us briefly discuss the concept of a Cauchy barrier. First, let $h \in C^0(V) \cap D(A, V)$ satisfy $(A - \lambda)h \leq 0$ for $V \in O_c(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Then $h$ is a ‘barrier’ in the sense that, for $u \in C^0(V) \cap D(A, V)$ with $Au = \lambda u$ and $u \leq h$ on $\partial V$, we have $u \leq h$ on $V$, see [30, Thm. 5.3] or [31, Thm. 2.9]. For later use we state the easy part of the above mentioned characterization of well-posedness.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $B$ be the generator of a bounded, positive semigroup $(P(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0(\Omega)$. Then, for each $\lambda > 0$, there exists $h \in D(B)$ such that $h(x) > 0$ and $(B - \lambda)h(x) < 0$ for $x \in \Omega$.

**Proof.** An application of Urysohn’s lemma (in the version of [15, Cor. VII.4.2]) to the $G_\delta$-set $\{\infty\}$ yields a strictly positive function $f \in C_0(\Omega)$. Let $h = (\lambda - B)^{-1}f$ for a given $\lambda > 0$. Since $h(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}P(t)f(x)\,dt$ and $P(t)f \geq 0$, we have $h(x) > 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, $(B - \lambda)h(x) = -f(x) < 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. \(\square\)
We further want to relate Cauchy barriers with the classical notion of ‘local barriers’ used in partial differential equations and potential theory. To that purpose, we introduce the following concepts.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $A$ be a local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$.

1. $V$ is called **weakly (A-)Dirichlet regular** if for all $f \in C(\partial V)$ there is unique $u \in C(\overline{V}) \cap D(A, V)$ such that $Au = 0$ on $V$ and $u|\partial V = f$ (i.e., $u$ solves the Dirichlet problem).

2. A function $h_x$ is a **local barrier** for $A$ at $x \in \partial V$ if there is a neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ of $x$ such that $h_x \in D(A, V \cap U) \cap C(\overline{V} \cap U)$, $h_x > 0$ on $\overline{V} \cap U \setminus \{x\}$, $h_x(x) = 0$, and $Ah_x \leq \lambda h_x$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$.

For sufficiently regular elliptic problems, $V \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly Dirichlet regular if and only if there exists a local barrier $h_x$ for all $x \in \partial V$, see e.g. [6, Chap. VIII], [11, §II.4], [21], [22]. In [6, Chap. VIII] or [20, §3.4] one can find analogous results for parabolic equations. Moreover, for $A = \Delta$ it is not necessary to require strict positivity of $h_x$ on $\partial(V \cap U) \setminus \{x\}$ due to [22, Thm. 8.18, 8.22], cf. [6, Chap. VII]. Note that a Cauchy barrier is a local barrier in this weakened sense.

In case of unbounded domains this equivalence needs some modifications, compare [22, p.193]. In particular, there can exist a Cauchy barrier (and local barriers) for $A$ and $V$ but the corresponding Dirichlet problem is not solvable for all $f \in C(\partial V^*)$.

This can be seen by the following example taken from [30, p.423]. Let $\Omega = ]-1, \infty[$, $V = [0, \infty[$, and $A$ be the second derivative. Then $h(x) = x$ for $0 < x < 1$ and $h(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x > 1$ is a Cauchy barrier for $V$. But there is no function $u \in C^2[0, \infty[ \cap C[0, \infty[$ such that $u'' = 0$ and $u(0) \neq u(\infty)$.

On the other hand, for uniformly elliptic operators in divergence form the well-posedness of $(CP_V)$ is equivalent to the existence of local barriers for $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ by [1, Thm. 4.1]. Together with Theorem 3.25 or 3.27 this shows, roughly speaking, that the existence of local barriers and Cauchy barriers are equivalent in this case. However, our main interest is directed to parabolic problems in Chapter 6. Moreover, we point out that Cauchy barriers allow to handle degenerate problems, see [30], [43], [49], and Section 6.1.

Finally, we observe that under mild conditions weak Dirichlet regularity implies well-posedness of $(CP_V)$. We denote by $f^# \in C_0(\Omega)$ the extension by 0 of a function $f \in C_0^\ast(V)$.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $A$ be a locally dissipative, local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ satisfying (S). Assume that $A_\Omega$ is a generator on $C_0(\Omega)$. If $V \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ is weakly $(A-1)$-Dirichlet regular and $D(A_\Omega)$ is dense, then $A_\Omega$ is a generator on $C_0(V)$ and, by Proposition 3.2, $V$ possesses a Cauchy barrier.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $1 - A_\Omega$ is surjective. For $f \in C_0(V)$, set $g_1 = (A_\Omega - 1)^{-1} f^#$ and $g = g_1|\overline{V}$. Since $V$ is weakly Dirichlet-regular, there exists $h \in D(A, V) \cap$
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C(\bar{V}) such that (\bar{A} - 1)h = 0 on V and h = g on \partial V. Then, u = h - g \in D(A, V) \cap C(V), u \in C_{0}(V), and (1 - \bar{A})u = f on V.

3.2 Excessive barriers and approximate solutions

Throughout the remainder of this chapter we make use of the following hypothesis.

(H) A is a real, locally dissipative, local operator defined on \( O(\Omega) \) such that (S) holds and \( \bar{A}_\Omega \) generates a (Feller) semigroup \((P(t))_{t \geq 0}\) on \( C_{0}(\Omega) \).

In order to characterize well-posedness of \((CP_{V})\), we will work to a large extend with a ‘barrier’ for \( P(t) \) rather than for \( A \) itself; see [16] for a similar concept in the context of Markov processes.

Definition 3.5. Assume that (H) holds.

1. Let \( K \subset \Omega \) be compact and \( \eta > 0 \). A function \( 0 \leq h \in C_{0}(\Omega) \) is called locally excessive \((K, \eta)\) (with respect to \( P(t) \) or \( A \)) if \( P(t)h(x) \leq h(x) \) for \( x \in K \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq \eta \).

2. Let \( V \in O(\Omega) \). A function \( 0 \leq h \in C_{0}(\Omega) \) is said to be locally excessive in \( V \) (w.r.t. \( P(t) \) or \( A \)) if for all compact \( K \subset V \) there is \( \eta_K > 0 \) such that \( h \) is locally excessive \((K, \eta_K)\).

3. Let \( V \in O(\Omega) \). A function \( h \in C_{0}(V) \) is an excessive barrier for \( V \) (w.r.t. \( P(t) \) or \( A \)) if it is strictly positive on \( V \) and \( h^\# \in C_{0}(\Omega) \) is locally excessive in \( V \) for \( e^{-\lambda t} P(t) = e^{t(A_\Omega - \lambda)} \) and some \( \lambda \geq 0 \). If we can take \( \lambda = 0 \), then \( h \) is a regular excessive barrier for \( V \) (w.r.t. \( P(t) \) or \( A \)).

Let \( A_\lambda = A - \lambda \) for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Notice that \((A_\lambda)_V = \bar{A}_V - \lambda \) for \( V \in O(\Omega) \). Thus, \( V \) has an excessive barrier w.r.t. \( A \) if and only if \( V \) admits a regular excessive barrier w.r.t. \( A_\lambda \) for some \( \lambda \geq 0 \). We will see in Remark 3.20 and Lemma 3.24 that a Cauchy barrier is also an excessive barrier under weak additional conditions.

Given a compact subset \( K \) of \( \Omega \) and a function \( h \) being locally excessive \((K, \eta)\), we define the spaces

\[
\mathcal{C} = \{ f \in C_{0}^{R}(\Omega) : -h \leq f \leq h \},
\mathcal{C}^K = \{ f \in C_{0}^{R}(\Omega) : -h(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x) \text{ for } x \in K \},
\mathcal{N}^K = \{ f \in C_{0}^{R}(\Omega) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in K \},
\]

and the (nonlinear) mappings \( S(t), \Delta(t) : C_{0}^{R}(\Omega) \to C_{0}^{R}(\Omega) \) given by

\[
S(t)f = \sup \{-h, \inf \{h, P(t)f\}\} \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(t) = S(t) - P(t)
\]
for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( f \in C^0_0(\Omega) \). The positivity of \( P(t) \) implies

\[
P(t) : C \rightarrow C^K \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(t) : C \rightarrow N^K \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq \eta_K, \quad \text{and so}
\]

\[
\Delta(t - \lceil \frac{t}{\sigma} \rceil) S^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(\sigma) f \in N^K \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \sigma \leq \eta_K, \quad f \in C^0_0(\Omega), \quad t \geq \sigma. \quad (3.1)
\]

Here, \([\frac{t}{\sigma}]\) is the integer part of \( \frac{t}{\sigma} \). Fix \( \sigma > 0 \) and write \( t = k\sigma + \tau \) for \( t \geq 0 \), where \( k = [\frac{t}{\sigma}] \) and \( 0 \leq \tau < \sigma \). We define a mapping

\[
U_\sigma(t) : C^0_0(\Omega) \rightarrow C \quad \text{by} \quad U_\sigma(t) = S(\tau) S(\sigma)^k. \quad (3.3)
\]

Observe that \( U_\sigma(\cdot) \) is strongly continuous on \([0, \infty[\). This map can be expanded in the following way. We set \( P_+(t) = P(t) \) for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( P_+(t) = 0 \) for \( t < 0 \).

**Lemma 3.6.** For \( U_\sigma(t) \) defined in (3.3), we have

\[
U_\sigma(t) = P(t) + \Delta(t - \lceil \frac{t}{\sigma} \rceil) S^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(\sigma) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_+(t - n\sigma) \Delta(\sigma) S^{n-1}(\sigma) \quad (3.4)
\]

for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( \sigma > 0 \), where we have used the notation introduced above.

**Proof.** For \( 0 \leq t < \sigma \), we have \( P_+(t - n\sigma) = 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), and thus (3.4) follows from \( S(t) = P(t) + \Delta(t) \). Further, from \( S(\sigma) = \Delta(\sigma) + P(\sigma) \) one easily derives by induction that

\[
S(\sigma)^k = P(\sigma)^k + \sum_{l=1}^{k} P(\sigma)^{l-1} \Delta(\sigma) S(\sigma)^{k-l}
\]

for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \). This yields, for \( k\sigma \leq t < (k+1)\sigma \) and \( \tau = t - k\sigma \),

\[
U_\sigma(t) = (\Delta(\tau) + P(\tau)) S(\sigma)^k
\]

\[
= P(\tau + k\sigma) + \Delta(\tau) S(\sigma)^k + \sum_{l=1}^{k} P(\tau + (l-1)\sigma) \Delta(\sigma) S(\sigma)^{k-l},
\]

which is (3.4).

We will use the operators \( U_\sigma(t) \) to construct ‘approximate solutions’ of an ‘extension’ of \((CP_V)\). To that purpose, let \( J = \mathbb{R} \) and define a local operator \( L \) on \( \mathcal{A} \) by setting

\[
D(L, \mathcal{V}) = \{ F \in C(\mathcal{V}) : F(\cdot, t) \in D(\tilde{A}, V) \} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in I, \quad F(x, \cdot) \in C^1(I) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in V,
\]

\[
\mathcal{V} \ni (x, t) \mapsto G(x, t) := (\tilde{A} F(\cdot, t))(x) - (\frac{d}{dt} F(x, \cdot))(t) \text{ is continuous},
\]

\[
L \mathcal{F} = G \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{V} = V \times I \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.5)
\]

**Lemma 3.7.** If (H) holds, then (3.5) defines a real, parabolic, translation invariant, locally dissipative, local operator \( L \) on \( \mathcal{A} \) satisfying (S).
Proof. Clearly, \( L \) is a real, parabolic, translation invariant, local operator. To check (S), let \( \mathcal{E}_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{W} = W \times I \in \mathcal{A} \), where \( I \) is bounded and (S) holds for \( A, h, \) and \( x_0 \in W \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \). Choose \( \varphi \in C^2(I) \) with \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \) and \( \varphi(t_0) = 1 \). It is easy to see that \( F = \varphi h \) satisfies (S) for \( \mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{W} \). So we can use Corollary 2.10 to show local dissipativity of \( L \). Let \( V = V \times I \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( F \in D(L, V) \) be real with \( 0 < F(\mathcal{E}_0) = \sup_V F \) for some \( \mathcal{E}_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{W} \). Since \( t \mapsto F(x_0, t) \) has a maximum at \( t = t_0 \), we have \( \frac{d}{dt} F(x_0, t)(t_0) = 0 \). Similarly, \( \bar{A}F(x_0, t) \leq 0 \) because \( \bar{A} \) is locally dissipative and real. As a result, \( LF(\mathcal{E}_0) \leq 0 \). \( \square \)

By virtue of Theorem 2.22, there exists the closed completed parabolic extension \( M \) of \( L \), i.e.,

\[
M = L_M = ((L)_p)^- \quad \text{defined on } \mathcal{O}(\Omega),
\]

and \( M \) is real. Since \( L \) is translation invariant, the local operator \( M \) is translation invariant by Proposition 2.27. In view of the expansion (3.4), the next result turns out to be useful.

**Lemma 3.8.** Assume that (H) holds. Define the local operator \( M \) as above. Let \( I = [a, b], V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \) and \( f \in C_0(\Omega) \) with \( f|V = 0 \). Set \( F(x, t) = (P_f(t - s)f(x)) \) for \( (x, t) \in V \times I = V \) and some \( s \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, \( F \in D(M, V) \) and \( MF = 0 \) on \( V \). If \( s \notin I \), then the lemma holds for all \( f \in C_0(\Omega) \).

**Proof.** First, let \( s \leq a \) and \( f \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega) \). Then, \( F(\cdot, t) \in D(\bar{A}, V) \) and

\[
(\bar{A}F(\cdot, t))(x) = (\bar{A}_\Omega P(t - s)f)(x) = [\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (P(t - s)f(x))](t) = [\frac{d}{dt} F(x, \cdot)](t)
\]

for all \( x \in V \) and \( t \in I \). Hence, \( F \in D(L, V) \) and \( LF = 0 \) in this case. For \( f \in C_0(\Omega) \) and \( s \leq a \), approximate \( f \) in \( C_0(\Omega) \) by \( f_n \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega) \). Setting \( F_n(x, t) = (P(t - s)f_n(x)) \) on \( V \), we obtain \( F_n \to F \) in \( C_0(V) \) and \( LF_n = 0 \) on \( V \). Therefore, \( F \in D(L, V) \) and \( LF = 0 \) on \( V \). Further, \( F = 0 \) if \( s \geq b \). So we have shown the lemma for the case \( s \notin I \).

Now, let \( s \in I \) and \( f|V = 0 \). For the partition \( \{a, s, b\} \) of \( I \), set \( I_1 = [a, s], I_2 = [s, b], \) and \( V_k = V \times I_k \) for \( k = 1, 2 \). By the first part of the proof, \( F_2 = F|V_2 \in D(L, V_2) \) and \( LF_2 = 0 \). Also, \( F_1 = F|V_1 \in D(L, V_1) \). Since \( f|V = 0 \), we have \( \lim_{s \searrow a} F_2(t, \cdot) = f = 0 \) in \( C_0(V) \), and so \( F \in C(V) \). Consequently, \( F \in D(L, V) \) and \( L_M F = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.9.** Set \( V = V \times I \) for an open interval \( I \subset ]0, \infty[ \) with \( s = \inf I \) and \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). Let \( h \) be locally excessive in \( V \) with respect to \( P(t) \). Take \( f \in C^0(\Omega) \) with \( -h \leq f \leq h, G \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) with \( G \subset \bar{G} \subset V \), and \( 0 < \sigma \leq \eta_G \). Define \( u_\sigma(x, t) = (U_t(t - s)f)(x) \) for \( (x, t) \in V \times I, \) cf. (3.3). Then, \( u_\sigma \in D(M, G) \cap C(V \times I) \) satisfies

\[
\begin{aligned}
M u_\sigma &= 0 \quad &\text{on } G := G \times I, \\
|u_\sigma(x, t)| &\leq h(x) \quad &\text{for } (x, t) \in V \times I, \\
u_\sigma(x, s) &= f(x) \quad &\text{for } x \in V. 
\end{aligned}
\]
Proof. Obviously, \(-h \leq u_\sigma \leq h\) and \(u_\sigma(\cdot, s) = S(0)f = f\). By (3.1) and (3.2), the second term in the expansion (3.4) vanishes on \(G\). Similarly, \(\Delta(\sigma)S^{n-1}(\sigma)f = 0\) on \(G\) for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\). So Lemma 3.8 shows that the summands in (3.4) belong to the kernel of \(M_G\). If \(I\) is bounded, the sum in (3.4) is finite and so \(u_\sigma \in D(M, G)\) and \(Mu_\sigma = 0\) on \(G\). For unbounded \(I\), take bounded intervals \(I_n\) such that \(I_n \uparrow I\) and 
\(s = \inf I_n\). Then \(u_\sigma \in \ker M_G\) by the semi-completeness of \(M\).

We remark that the above computations motivated the construction of the closed completed parabolic extension in Section 2.2. We use the function \(u_n\) to approximate the solutions of the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
Mu &= 0 \quad \text{on } V \times [0, \infty], \\
u(x, t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial V^* \text{ and } t \geq 0, \\
u(x, 0) &= f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in V,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(f \in C_0(V)\) and \(V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\). Here we say that a function \(u\) solves (3.8) if \(u\) belongs to \(C(V^* \times [0, \infty]) \cap D(M, V \times [0, \infty])\) and satisfies (3.8).

**Proposition 3.10.** Let (H) hold and let \(h\) be a regular excessive barrier for \(V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\) with respect to \(P(t)\). Then, for all \(f \in C^\infty_0(V)\), there is unique solution \(u \in C(V^* \times [0, \infty]) \cap D(M, V \times [0, \infty])\) of (3.8) and \(|u| \leq \|f\|\) on \(V \times [0, \infty]\).

**Proof.** (1) First, let \(f \in C^B_0(V)\). After replacing \(h\) by \(ah\) for a suitable constant \(\alpha > 0\), we can assume that \(\alpha \leq f \leq \alpha h\). Choose \(G_n \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)\) with \(\overline{G_n} \subset G_{n+1}\) and \(G_n \cap V\). There are \(\eta_n := \eta_{G_n}\) such that \(h\) is locally excessive \((\overline{G_n}, \eta_n)\). Set \(U_n(t) = U_{\eta_n}(t)\), and 
\(u_n(x, t) = (U_n(t)^\#)(x)\) for \((x, t) \in V = V \times [0, \infty]\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Corollary 3.9 shows that \(u_n \in C(V \times [0, \infty]) \cap D(M, G_n \times [0, \infty])\) satisfies (3.7) for \(G_n = G_n \times [0, \infty]\). Let \(K \subset V\) be compact and \(T > 0\). Then, \(K \subset G_N\) for some \(N\). For \(m, n \geq N\), we have

\[
|u_n - u_m| = 0 \quad \text{on } G_n \times \{0\}, \quad |u_n - u_m| \leq 2\max \partial G_n h \quad \text{on } \partial G_n \times [0, T],
\]

\[
M(u_n - u_m) = 0 \quad \text{on } G_n.
\]

Therefore the parabolic maximum principle, Theorem 2.29, implies

\[
\sup_{G_n \times [0, T]} |u_n - u_m| \leq 2\max_{\partial G_n} h.
\]

Due to \(h \in C_0(V)\), for a given \(\varepsilon > 0\) we can choose a sufficiently large \(\tilde{n}\) such that \(\sup_{K \times [0, \varepsilon]} |u_n - u_m| \leq \varepsilon\) for \(n, m \geq \tilde{n}\). That is, \(u_n\) converges u.c. in \(C(V \times [0, \infty])\) to a function \(u \in C(V \times [0, \infty])\). Since \(Mu_n = 0\) on \(G_n\) and \(M\) is locally closed u.c., we derive \(u \in D(M, V \times [0, \infty])\) and \(Mu = 0\) on \(V \times [0, \infty]\). Further, \(u(\cdot, 0) = f\) and \(-h \leq u(\cdot, t) \leq h\) for \(t \geq 0\). Hence we can extend \(u\) by 0 to a function \(u \in C(V^* \times [0, \infty])\).

Another application of Theorem 2.29 gives \(|u| \leq \|f\|\) on \(V \times [0, \infty]\).

(2) For arbitrary \(f \in C^\infty_0(V)\), take \(f_k \in C^\infty_0(V)\) which converge to \(f\) in \(C_0(V)\). In part (1) we have constructed a solution \(u^k\) of (3.8) with initial value \(f_k\). By Theorem 2.29, \(|u^k - u^l| \leq \|f_k - f_l\|\) on \(V \times [0, \infty]\) and so \((u^k)\) converges in \(C_b(V \times [0, \infty])\) to
a function \( u \). This shows that \( u \in C(\mathbb{V}^\tau \times [0, \infty[) \cap D(M, V \times [0, \infty[) \) solves (3.8) and \( |u| \leq \|f\| \) on \( V \times [0, \infty[ \). Uniqueness is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.29. \( \square \)

We want to prove that the solutions of (3.8) are given by a Feller semigroup on \( C_0(V) \). To construct this semigroup, we suppose that (H) holds and that (3.8) has a solution \( (x, t) \mapsto u(x, t; f) \) for all \( f \in C_0(V) \). The latter condition is satisfied if \( V \) possesses a regular excessive barrier due to Proposition 3.10; but it will be important later that we do not make this assumption.

First, observe that the maximum principle, Theorem 2.29, yields uniqueness of solutions of (3.8). We define a linear operator on \( C_0(V) \) by \( Q(t)f = u(\cdot, t; f) \) for \( t \geq 0 \). Again by Theorem 2.29, \( Q(t) \) is a contraction. An application of the positive maximum principle Theorem 3.30 to \(-u\) yields positivity of \( Q(t) \). Since \( u \in C(\mathbb{V}^\tau \times [0, \infty[) \), \( Q(\cdot) \) is strongly continuous. Also, \( Q(0) = Id \). For \( \tau \geq 0 \) and \( f \in C_0(V) \), define

\[
v(x, t) = u(x, t + \tau; f) = (Q(t + \tau)f) (x) \quad \text{on} \quad V \times [0, \infty[.
\]

Observe that \( v \) is the restriction of \( u_\tau \) to \( V \times [0, \infty[ \). Thus, the translation invariance of \( M \) implies that \( v \) is a solution of (3.8) with initial value \( Q(\tau)f \), i.e., \( v(\cdot, t) = Q(t)Q(\tau)f \). So we have shown

**Proposition 3.11.** Let (H) hold and \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). Assume that there is a solution \( u(\cdot, \cdot; f) \) of (3.8) for all \( f \in C_0(V) \). (The latter holds if \( V \) has a regular excessive barrier by Proposition 3.10.) Then there is a Feller semigroup \( Q(t) = e^{tE_V} \) on \( C_0(V) \) such that \( u(\cdot, t; f) = Q(t)f \) for \( t \geq 0 \).

### 3.3 Spatial parabolic extension

Of course, we now have to determine the generator \( E_V \) of the semigroup obtained in Proposition 3.11. In what follows, we identify \( E_V \) with the ‘spatial parabolic extension’ \( A_{pV} \) of \( A \). Then, in Theorem 3.14 and the next section, we give conditions implying that \( A_V = A_{pV} = E_V \) which yields the well-posedness of (CPV).

To construct the local operator \( A_p \) on \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \), we assume that (H) holds. Let \( M = L_f \) be given by (3.5) and (3.6). Set \( \{ f \otimes 1 \}(x, t) = f(x) \) for \( (x, t) \in V \times V = V \times \mathbb{R}, \quad V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \) and \( f \in C_0(V) \). If \( f \otimes 1 \in D(M, V) \), then we have \( (M(f \otimes 1))_t = M(f \otimes 1) \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) since \( M \) is translation invariant and \( f \otimes 1 = (f \otimes 1)_t \). In particular,

\[
(M(f \otimes 1))(\cdot, t) = (M(f \otimes 1))(\cdot, 0) =: g \in C(V)
\]

for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). So we can define a local operator on \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \) by setting

\[
D(A_p, V) = \{ f \in C(V) : f \otimes 1 \in D(M, V \times \mathbb{R}) \},
\]

\[
A_p f = g, \quad \text{where} \quad M(f \otimes 1) = g \otimes 1.
\]

We call \( A_p \) the spatial parabolic extension of \( A \) and write \( A_{pV} \) for \( (A_p)_V \).
Lemma 3.12. Assume that (H) holds. Then $A_p$ is a real, locally dissipative, locally closed u.c. extension of $A$.

Proof. It is clear that $A_p$ is a real local operator. For $f \in D(\tilde{A}, V)$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, we have $f \otimes 1 \in D(L, V \times \mathbb{R}) \subset D(M, V \times \mathbb{R})$ and $M(f \otimes 1) = \tilde{A}f \otimes 1$ because of (3.5) and $L \subset M$. Hence, $A_p$ extends $\tilde{A}$ and satisfies (S). Local dissipativity of $A_p$ is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.9 and the local dissipativity of $M$. Further, consider $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) \ni V_n \uparrow V$ and $f_n \in D(A_p, V_n)$ such that $f_n \to f$ and $A_pf_n \to g$ u.c. in $C(V)$. Then, $f_n \otimes 1 \to f \otimes 1$ and $M(f_n \otimes 1) \to g \otimes 1$ u.c. in $C(V \times \mathbb{R})$. Since $M$ is locally closed u.c., we infer $f \otimes 1 \in D(M, V \times \mathbb{R})$ and $M(f \otimes 1) = g \otimes 1$; that is, $A_p$ is locally closed u.c..

Now assume that (3.8) has a solution $(x, t) \mapsto u(x, t; f) = (e^{tE_V} f)(x)$ for all $f \in C_0(V)$. To relate $A_{pV}$ and $E_V$, we define on $\mathcal{X} = C_0(\mathbb{R}; C_0(V))$ the operator

$$
D(\mathcal{E}_V) = \{ F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, C_0(V)) \cap \mathcal{X} : F(t) \in D(E_V) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}; \ F', E_V F(\cdot) \in \mathcal{X} \},
$$

and the ‘space-time semigroup’ given by

$$
(Q(t)F)(s) = e^{tE_V} F(s - t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \ F \in \mathcal{X}, \ s \in \mathbb{R}, \ \text{compare Section 4.2 and the references given in the introduction.} \quad \text{Clearly, } (Q(t))_{t \geq 0}
$$

is a Feller semigroup on $\mathcal{X}$ generated by the closure of $\mathcal{E}_V$ (notice that $Q(\cdot)$ is the product of two commuting Feller semigroups on $\mathcal{X}$). Further, set $\bar{U}(t, s) = e^{(t-s)E_V}$ for $t \geq s$ and $\bar{U}(t, s) = I_d$ for $t < s$. We use the space

$$
\mathcal{Z} = \{ F \in \mathcal{X} : F(t) = \varphi(t)\bar{U}(t, s)f \text{ for } t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \ \varphi \in C^1_t(\mathbb{R}), \ \text{supp} \varphi \subset [s, \infty[, \ f \in D(E_V) \}. \quad (3.9)
$$

Then, $\mathcal{Z}$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}$, $Q(t)\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Z} \subset D(\mathcal{E}_V)$ and

$$
(\mathcal{E}_V F)(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi'_k(t)\bar{U}(t, s_k)f_k
$$

for $F \in \mathcal{Z}$, see [50, Thm. 2.3] or [28, Prop. 2.9]. In particular, the generator $\mathcal{E}_V$ is the closure of $(\mathcal{E}_V, \mathcal{Z})$.

On the other hand, let $s, \varphi, f$ be given as in (3.9). Define $u(x, t) = (\bar{U}(t, s)f)(x)$ for $(x, t) \in \mathcal{V} = V \times \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 3.11 and the translation invariance of $M$, we have $u \in D(M, V \times [s, \infty[)$ and $Mu = 0$ on $V \times [s, \infty[$. So we can find $V_n \times [s_n, t_n[ \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u_n \in D((L_p)^m, V_n \times [s_n, t_n[)$ satisfying $V_n \uparrow V$, $s_n \downarrow s$, $t_n \uparrow \infty$, and $u_n \to u$, $((L_p)^m u_n \to 0$ u.c. in $C(V \times [s, \infty[)$. Fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that sup $\varphi \subset [s_n, t_n[ \text{ for } n \geq n_0$. Set $V_n = V_n \times \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
F_n(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(t)u_n(x, t), & (x, t) \in V_n \times [s_n, t_n[ \\
0, & (x, t) \in V_n \times [\sigma, s_n[ \cup [t_n, \infty[.
\end{cases}
$$
Clearly, $F_n \in C(\mathcal{V}_n)$ for $n \geq n_0$. Using parabolicity, we obtain
\[
\varphi u_n \in D(\widehat{L}_p, \mathcal{V}_n \times ]s_n, t_n[) \text{ and } \widehat{L}_p (\varphi u_n) = \varphi \widehat{L}_p u_n - \varphi' u_n \text{ on } \mathcal{V}_n \times ]s_n, t_n[.
\]
Also, $F_n(x, t) = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{V}_n$ and $t \notin \text{supp } \varphi$. Hence, from the completeness of $(\widehat{L}_p)^-$ follows that $F_n \in D((\widehat{L}_p)^-, \mathcal{V}_n)$ and
\[
(\widehat{L}_p F_n)(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(t)(\widehat{L}_p u_n)(x, t) - \varphi'(t)u_n(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathcal{V}_n \times ]s_n, t_n[, \\
0, & x \in \mathcal{V}_n, t \notin \text{supp } \varphi.
\end{cases}
\]
Thus, $F_n \varphi u$ and $MF_n \rightarrow -\varphi' u$ u.c. in $C(\mathcal{V})$. Since $M$ is locally closed u.c., we have $\varphi u \in D(M, \mathcal{V})$ and $M(\varphi u) = -\varphi' u$ on $\mathcal{V}$. After identifying $\mathcal{X}$ with $C_0(\mathcal{V}) \subset C(\mathcal{V})$, we see that $M_L$ extends $(\mathcal{E}_V, \mathcal{Z})$. This implies that
\[
\mathcal{E}_V \subset M_L
\]
since $M_L$ is a closed operator in $C_0(\mathcal{V})$.

Next, let $f \in D(\mathcal{E}_V)$ and $\psi_n \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \psi_n \leq 1$ and $\psi_n = 1$ on $[-n, n]$. Set $(f \otimes \psi_n)(x, t) = f(x)\psi_n(t)$ for $(x, t) \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, $f \otimes \psi_n \in D(\mathcal{E}_V) \subset D(M, \mathcal{V})$ and
\[
M(f \otimes \psi_n) = \mathcal{E}_V(f \otimes \psi_n) = (\mathcal{E}_V f) \otimes \psi_n - f \otimes \psi_n',
\]
due to (3.10). Using that $M$ is locally closed u.c., we obtain $f \otimes 1 \in D(M, \mathcal{V})$ and $M(f \otimes 1) = (\mathcal{E}_V f) \otimes 1$; that is, $\mathcal{E}_V \subset (A_p)_V = A_{pV}$. By Lemma 3.12 and Corollary 2.10, $A_{pV}$ is dissipative in $C_0(\mathcal{V})$, and hence $\mathcal{E}_V = A_{pV}$. Summarizing we have

**Proposition 3.13.** Let (H) hold and $A_p$ be the spatial parabolic extension of $\hat{A}$. If, for some $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, the problem (3.8) has solutions for all $f \in C_0(\mathcal{V})$, then $A_{pV} = (A_p)_V$ coincides with the generator $\mathcal{E}_V$ obtained in Proposition 3.11. In particular, the latter assumption is satisfied if $V$ admits an excessive barrier w.r.t. $F(t)$.

**Proof.** By the above considerations, it remains to show the last assertion. The excessive barrier w.r.t. $\hat{A}$ is a regular excessive barrier w.r.t. to $\hat{A}_\lambda = \hat{A}_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $M_\lambda$ be the closed completed parabolic extension of $L_\lambda = \hat{A} - \lambda - \frac{d}{dt}$, cf. (3.5), and let $e^{t\mathcal{E}_V}$ solve the problem (3.8) corresponding to $M_\lambda$. We have $(A_{\lambda})_V = E_{\lambda V}$ by Proposition 3.11 and the first assertion. Moreover, it is easy to see that $M_\lambda = M - \lambda$, and thus $(A_{\lambda})_V = A_{\lambda} - \lambda$. Since $M$ is parabolic, $e^{t\mathcal{E}_{\lambda V}}$ solves (3.8) corresponding to $M$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{E}_V - \lambda = E_{\lambda V}$ and $E_{\lambda V} = A_{pV}$. \hfill \square

From Lemma 3.12 we know that $\hat{A} \subset A_p$. We can show equality if there is a base of weakly Dirichlet regular sets, cf. Definition 3.3.

**Theorem 3.14.** Assume that (H) holds and that $\hat{A}$ is complete. If there is a base $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ of weakly $A_{\lambda}$-Dirichlet regular sets for some $\lambda > 0$, then $A_p = \hat{A}$. If, in addition, $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ has an excessive barrier, then $A_V = A_{pV} = \mathcal{E}_V$ is a generator on $C_0(\mathcal{V})$ and $(CP_V)$ is well-posed.
Proof. By Lemma 3.12 and Proposition 3.13, it suffices to show that \( D(A_p,V) \subseteq D(A,V) \) for \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). So let \( f \in D(A_p,V) \) for some \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \). Take \( G \in \mathcal{B} \) with \( G \subseteq V \). Choose \( \psi \in C_c(V) \) such that \( 0 \leq \psi \leq 1 \) and \( \psi = 1 \) on \( G \). Set \( g_1 = [\psi(A_p - \lambda)f]^\# \). Due to (H) and \( A \subseteq A_p \), we find \( f_1 \in D(A_\Omega) \) such that \( g_1 = (A - \lambda)f_1 = (A_p - \lambda)f_1 \). Thus, \( (A_p - \lambda)(f - f_1) = 0 \) on \( G \). By assumption, there exists \( u \in D(A,G) \cap C(\overline{G}) \) with \( (A - \lambda)u = 0 \) on \( G \) and \( u|\partial G = (f - f_1)|\partial G \). Hence, \( (A_p - \lambda)(f - f_1 - u) = 0 \) on \( G \) and \( f - f_1 - u = 0 \) on \( \partial G \). Since \( A_pV \) is dissipative in \( C_0(V) \), this implies \( f = f_1 + u \in D(A,G) \). Using completeness of \( \bar{A} \), we can now derive \( f \in D(A,V) \).

\[ \Box \]

### 3.4 Local Feller semigroups

We now give another condition which allows to characterize well-posedness of \( (CP_V) \) by the existence of an excessive barrier, see Theorem 3.19. This will lead to our final result, Theorem 3.25, in the next section. The following concepts were introduced by J.P. Roth, [55]. We remark that Definition 3.15(1) gives a condition of Lindeberg type which is closely related to the continuity of trajectories of associated Markov processes, cf. [18, p.333]. We set

\[
\|f\|_K = \|f|\mathcal{K}\|_{c(K)} = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|
\]

for \( f \in C(\Omega) \) and a compact subset \( K \) of \( \Omega \).

**Definition 3.15.** Let \( (Q(t))_{t \geq 0} \) be a semigroup on \( C_0(\Omega) \) and \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \).

1. \( (Q(t))_{t \geq 0} \) is called **local** if for each compact \( K \subseteq \Omega \) and \( f \in C_0(\Omega) \) vanishing on a neighbourhood of \( K \) we have \( \|Q(t)f\|_K = o(t) \) as \( t \searrow 0 \).

2. A semigroup \( (Q_1(t))_{t \geq 0} \) on \( C_0(V) \) is **tangent** to \( (Q(t))_{t \geq 0} \) if for all \( f \in C_0(V) \) and compact \( K \subseteq V \) we have

\[
\|Q_1(t)f - Q(t)f^\#\|_K = o(t) \quad \text{as} \ t \searrow 0. \quad (3.11)
\]

Observe that if a semigroup \( Q_1(\cdot) \) on \( C_0(V) \) is tangent to a local semigroup \( Q(\cdot) \) on \( C_0(\Omega) \), then \( Q_1(\cdot) \) is local in \( C_0(V) \) since

\[
\|Q_1(t)f\|_K \leq \|Q_1(t)f - Q(t)f^\#\|_K + \|Q(t)f^\#\|_K = o(t)
\]

for \( f \in C_0(V) \) vanishing near \( K \subseteq V \). In Theorem 3.21 and Lemma 3.22 we give conditions for the locality of a Feller semigroup which are rather easy to verify in applications. First, we apply the new concepts to the situation of Proposition 3.11.

**Lemma 3.16.** Let (H) hold and let (3.8) have solutions for some \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \) and each \( f \in C_0(V) \). Suppose that \( P(\cdot) = (e^{tA_H})_{t \geq 0} \) is local. Then the semigroup \( (e^{tE_V})_{t \geq 0} \) is tangent to \( P(\cdot) \) and, hence, local in \( C_0(V) \).
It suffices to verify (3.11) for \((e^{tE_V})_{t \geq 0}, P(\cdot), 0 \leq f \in C_0(V)\), and a compact subset \(K\) of \(V\). Choose \(G \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)\) with \(K \subset G \subset \bar{G} \subset V\). Take \(\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)\) satisfying \(0 \leq \varphi \leq 1\), \(\varphi = 1\) on \(\partial G\), and \(\varphi = 0\) on a neighbourhood of \(K\). Define \(u(x,t) = P(t)f^\#(x)\) and \(u_{t_1}(x,t) = (e^{tE_V}f)(x)\) for \((x,t) \in V \times [0,T]\) and some \(T > 0\). By Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 3.11 both functions belong to the kernel of \(ML\). Then, \(v = u - u_1 \in D(MV) \cap C(V^\ast \times [0,T])\) satisfies \(Mv = 0\) on \(V\), \(v = 0\) on \(V \times \{0\}\), and \(v = u \geq 0\) on \(\partial V^\ast \times [0,T]\). As a consequence of the positive maximum principle, Theorem 2.30, we obtain \(v \geq 0\); that is,

\[
0 \leq (P(t)f^\#(x) - (e^{tE_V}f)(x)) \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in V \times \{0,T\}.
\]

By Lemma 3.8, the function \(w = (P(\cdot)\varphi)\mathcal{V} - v\) belongs to \(D(M_V)\) and \(Mw = 0\). We have \(w = \varphi \geq 0\) on \(G \times \{0\}\). Moreover, \(v(\cdot,t)\) converges to 0 in \(C_b(V)\) and \((P(t)\varphi)(x)\) tends to 1 uniformly for \(x \in \partial G\) as \(t \searrow 0\). Therefore, \(w \geq 0\) on \(\partial G \times [0,T]\) if we choose \(T > 0\) small enough. Another application of Theorem 2.30 gives \(w \geq 0\) on \(G \times [0,T]\). As a result,

\[
0 \leq (P(t)f^\#(x) - (e^{tE_V}f)(x)) \leq (P(t)\varphi)(x) \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in G \times [0,T].
\]

This establishes (3.11) since \(P(\cdot)\) is local.

**Proposition 3.17.** Let (H) hold and let (3.8) have solutions for some \(V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\) and each \(f \in C_0(V)\). Suppose that \(P(\cdot) = (e^{tA_V})_{t \geq 0}\) is local. Then, \(E_V = A_{pV} = A_V\). The Feller semigroup on \(C_0(V)\) generated by this operator is tangent to \(P(\cdot)\) and, hence, local.

**Proof.** In view of Proposition 3.11, Lemma 3.12, Proposition 3.13, and Lemma 3.16, it remains to show that \(A_{pV} \subset A_V\). So let \(f \in D(A_{pV})\). By Proposition 3.13 we have \(A_{pV} = E_V\), and so Lemma 3.16 yields

\[
\lim_{t \searrow 0} \|P(t)f^\# - (e^{tA_V}f - f)\|_K = 0
\]

as \(t \searrow 0\) for compact subsets \(K\) of \(V\). As a consequence,

\[
\lim_{t \searrow 0} \|P(t)f^\# - (e^{tA_V}f - f)\|_K = 0.
\]

Set \(f^\#_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t P(s)f^\# ds \in D(\hat{A}_V)\) for \(t > 0\). Notice that \(f^\#_t\) tends to \(f^\#\) in \(C_0(\Omega)\) as \(t \searrow 0\). Moreover,

\[
\hat{A}_V f^\#_t = \frac{1}{t} (P(t)f^\# - f^\#)
\]

and \((\hat{A}_V f^\#_t)\mid K\) converges to \((A_{pV})\mid K\) in \(C(K)\) as \(t \searrow 0\). Take \(\mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \ni G_n \ni V\) with \(\overline{G_n} \subset V\). Since \(\hat{A}\) is locally closed, the above argument shows that \(f \in D(\hat{A},G_n)\) and \(\hat{A}f = A_{pV}f\) on \(G_n\). Thus, \(f \in D(\hat{A},V)\) and \(\hat{A}f = A_{pV}f\) on \(V\) because \(\hat{A}\) is semi-complete.

In the next corollary we collect some consequences of the above result.
Corollary 3.18. Assume that (H) holds and that \( P(\cdot) = (e^{t\lambda_0})_{t \geq 0} \) is local. Each of the following two conditions implies that \( E_V = A_{pV} = \hat{A}_V \) generates a local Feller semigroup on \( C_0(V) \) being tangent to \( P(\cdot) \).

1. \( V \) admits an excessive barrier with respect to \( P(t) \).
2. \( A_V \) is a generator on \( C_0(V) \).

Proof. The first case was proved in Proposition 3.13 and 3.17. If \( \hat{A}_V \) is a generator, then one shows as in Lemma 3.8 that the function \( (x,t) \mapsto (e^{t\lambda_0} f)(x) \) solves (3.8) on \( V \times [0,\infty) \) for \( f \in C_0(V) \). Again, Proposition 3.17 implies the assertion.

The main result of this section now follows easily.

Theorem 3.19. Assume that (H) holds and that \( P(\cdot) = (e^{t\lambda_0})_{t \geq 0} \) is local. For \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \), the Cauchy problem \( (CP_V) \) is well-posed if and only if \( V \) has an excessive barrier. In this case the solutions of \( (CP_V) \) are given by the Feller semigroup generated by \( A_V \) on \( C_0(V) \).

Proof. The last assertion is clear. Sufficiency follows from Corollary 3.18. Conversely, if \( \hat{A}_V \) is a generator, then there exists a Cauchy barrier \( h \in D(\hat{A}_V) \) for \( V \) satisfying \( h(x) > 0 \) and \( (\hat{A} - 1)h(x) < 0 \) for \( x \in V \), see Proposition 3.2. Set \( P(t) = e^{t(A_V - 1)} \).

Let \( K \subset V \) be compact. Then, \( (\hat{A}_V - 1)h \leq \alpha < 0 \) on \( K \) for a constant \( \alpha \). Hence, there are constants \( -\beta, \eta > 0 \) such that \( P(t)(\hat{A}_V - 1)h \leq \beta < 0 \) on \( K \) for \( 0 \leq s \leq \eta \).

This implies
\[
P(t)h(x) - h(x) = \int_0^t P(s)(\hat{A}_V - 1)h(x) \, ds \leq t\beta \quad \text{for } x \in K \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq \eta.
\]

Moreover, by Corollary 3.18 the semigroups \( (e^{-t}P(t))_{t \geq 0} \) and \( P(t) \) are tangent. Therefore,
\[
(e^{-t}P(t)h^# - h^#)(x) \leq \| e^{-t}P(t)h^# - P(t)h \|_K + \beta t = (\varepsilon_K(t) + \beta) t
\]
for \( x \in K \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq \eta \), where \( \varepsilon_K(t) \to 0 \) as \( t \searrow 0 \). Since \( \beta < 0 \), we can find \( \eta_1 = \eta_1(K) > 0 \) such that \( e^{-t}P(t)h^# \leq h^# \) on \( K \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq \eta_1 \).

Remark 3.20. In the above proof we have shown that a Cauchy barrier with \( K = \emptyset \) is an excessive barrier provided that (H) holds and \( P(\cdot) \) is local.

Concluding this section, we give a sufficient condition for locality of \( P(\cdot) \) in terms of \( D(\hat{A}_0) \), see [56].

For all compact \( K_1, K_2 \subset \Omega \) with \( K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset \) there is \( 0 \leq \varphi \in D(\hat{A}_0) \) such that \( \varphi|K_1 > 0 \) and \( \varphi|K_2 = 0 \).

(3.12)

Theorem 3.21. If (H) and (3.12) hold, then \( P(\cdot) \) is local.
Proof. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\Omega$ and let $0 \leq f \in C_0(\Omega)$ vanish on a set $G \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ containing $K$. By (3.12), there is $0 \leq \varphi \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ such that $\varphi > 0$ on $\partial G$ and $\varphi = 0$ on a neighbourhood of $K$. Define $u(x,t) = (P(t)\varphi)(x) - (P(t)f)(x)$ for $(x,t) \in \overline{G} \times [0,T]$. Let $M$ be given by (3.6). Then, by Lemma 3.8, we have $u \in D(M,G \times [0,T])$ and $Mu = 0$ on $G \times [0,T]$ for $T > 0$. Further, $u(\cdot,0) \geq 0$ on $G$ and $u \geq 0$ on $\partial G \times [0,\eta]$ for $\eta > 0$ small enough, see the proof of Lemma 3.16. As a result, $u \geq 0$ on $G \times [0,\eta]$ by Theorem 2.30. This implies

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{t}(P(t)f)(x) \leq \frac{1}{t}(P(t)\varphi)(x) \to (\bar{A}_\Omega \varphi)(x) = 0$$

uniformly for $x \in K$. 

\[ \square \]

### 3.5 Cauchy barriers and well-posedness of Cauchy problems

In order to verify (3.12), we strengthen our standing hypothesis.

- **(OE)** For $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $f \in C_c(V) \cap D(A,V)$, we have $f^\# \in D(A,\Omega)$ and $A^\Omega f^\# = (A^V f)^\#$.

- **(LS)** For $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $x \in V$, and $0 \leq f \in D(A,V)$, there exists $0 \leq f_1 \in D(A,V) \cap C_c(V)$ such that $f = f_1$ on a neighbourhood of $x$ in $V$.

- **(H1)** In addition to (H), assume that $\bar{A}$ satisfies (OE) and (LS).

These assumptions of ‘0-extendability’ and ‘localization of support’ are often quite easy to check in applications, see Chapter 6.

**Lemma 3.22.** Assumption (H1) implies (3.12) with $\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)$.

**Proof.** Let $K_1, K_2 \subset \Omega$ be compact and disjoint. For $x \in K_1$, take $V_x \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ with $x \in V_x$ and $V_x \cap K_2 = \emptyset$. By Proposition 3.2, there exists $0 < \psi \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$. Using (LS), we can find a function $0 \leq \varphi_x \in D(A, V_x) \cap C_c(V_x)$ such that $\varphi_x = \psi > 0$ on a neighbourhood $W_x \subset V_x$ of $x$. Moreover, the extension $\varphi_x^\#$ belongs to $D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ by (OE). We can cover $K$ by $W_{x_1}, \cdots, W_{x_n}$. Then $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{x_k}^\#$ satisfies (3.12). \[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.23.** Let (H1) hold. Then, for $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $x \in V$, and $f \in C_c^\#(\Omega) \cap D(\bar{A},V)$, there exists $g \in C_c^\#(\Omega) \cap D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ such that $g \geq f$ on $\Omega$ and $g = f$ on a neighbourhood $W \in \mathcal{O}_c(V)$ of $x$.

**Proof.** Let $V, x, f$ be as in the statement of the lemma. We have $0 < \psi \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ by Proposition 3.2. There exists a constant $\alpha$ such that $f + \alpha \psi > 0$ on $V$. Using (LS) and (OE), we construct positive functions $\psi_1, f_1 \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ with compact support in $V$ satisfying $\psi_1 = \psi$ and $f_1 = f + \alpha \psi$ on a neighbourhood $G \in \mathcal{O}_c(V)$ of $x$. The function $f_2 = f_1 - \alpha \psi_1 \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ has compact support in $V$ and $f_2 = f$ on $G$. Let $K$ be a compact set containing $G$ and the supports of $f$ and $f_2$. Set $K_1 = K \setminus G$ and $K_2 = W$ for an open neighbourhood $W$ of $x$ with $K \subset G$. Due to Lemma 3.22, there
is a function $0 \leq \varphi \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega) \cap C_c(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi|K_1 \geq 1$ and $\varphi|K_2 = 0$. Finally, let $c = \|f_2\| + \|f\|$ and define $g = f_2 + c\varphi \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega) \cap C_c(\Omega)$. Then, $g = f$ on $W$ and $g = f + c\varphi \geq f$ on $G$. On $K \setminus G$, we have

$$g \geq c\varphi - \|f_2\| \geq c - \|f_2\| = \|f\| \geq f.$$  

Also, $g = c\varphi \geq 0 = f$ off $K$. \hfill $\square$

The following lemma improves Remark 3.20 and provides the essential step of the proof of Theorem 3.25.

**Lemma 3.24.** Assume that (H1) holds. Let $W \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$, $K_0$ be a compact subset of $W$, $G \in \mathcal{C}_c(\Omega)$ with $K_0 \subset G \subset \bar{G} \subset W$, and let $h \in D(\bar{A}, W \setminus K_0) \cap C(W \setminus K_0)$ satisfy $h > 0$ and $\bar{A}\lambda h < 0$ on $W \setminus K_0$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Then there exists a positive function $h_W \in C_c(\Omega)$ such that $h_W > 0$ on $W$ and $h_W \leq h$ on $W \setminus \bar{G}$ which is locally excessive in $W$ w.r.t. $\bar{A}\lambda$. Moreover, if $h$ vanishes on $\partial W$, then $h_W|\bar{W}$ is an excessive barrier for $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{W}$.

**Proof.** Let $W$, $K_0$, $h$, and $G = G_1$ be as in the statement.

1. Choose $G_0, G_2 \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ with

$$K_0 \subset G_0 \subset \overline{G_0} \subset G_1 \subset \overline{G_1} \subset G_2 \subset \overline{G_2} \subset W.$$  

Take a function $0 \leq h_1 \in C_c(\Omega)$ satisfying $h_1 = h$ on $W \setminus G_0$, where $h_1 = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus W$ if $h = 0$ on $\partial W$. There exists $0 < \psi \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ with $(\bar{A}_\Omega - \lambda)\psi < 0$ by Proposition 3.2. Since $h > 0$ on the compact set $\overline{G_2} \setminus G_1$, there is a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $h \geq \beta\psi =: \psi_1$ on $\overline{G_2} \setminus G_1$. We now define

$$h_W(x) = \begin{cases} 
\inf\{h_1(x), \psi_1(x)\}, & x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{G_1}, \\
\psi_1(x), & x \in G_2. 
\end{cases}$$

On the open set $G_2 \setminus \overline{G_1}$ we have $h_1 = h \geq \psi_1$ and so $h_W$ is a well-defined positive element of $C_c(\Omega)$.

2. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $W$. For $x \in K$, we find a neighbourhood $W_x \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ of $x$ such that either

(a) $h_W = \inf\{\psi_1, h_1\}$ on $W_x$ and $\overline{W_x} \subset W \setminus \overline{G_1}$ or

(b) $h_W = \psi_1$ on $W_x$ and $\overline{W_x} \subset G_2$.

(2a) In case (a), we have $h = h_1 \geq h_W$ on $\overline{W_x}$. The positive function $(h - h_W)|\overline{W_x}$ can be extended to a function $0 \leq k_x \in C_c(\Omega)$. Hence, $h_x = k_x + h_W \in C_c(\Omega)$ coincides with $h$ on $\overline{W_x}$ and dominates $h_W$ on $\Omega$. In particular, $h_x \in D(\bar{A}, W_x)$. Due to Lemma 3.23, there exist $W'_x \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ and $g_x \in D(\bar{A}_\Omega)$ satisfying

$$x \in W'_x \subset \overline{W'_x} \subset W_x \subset \overline{W_x} \subset W \setminus \overline{G_1}, \quad g_x \geq h_x \geq h_W \text{ on } \Omega, \quad g_x = h_x = h \text{ on } \overline{W'_x}.$$
In the same way, we construct a function \( \hat{g}_x \in D(\hat{A}_\Omega) \) such that
\[
\hat{g}_x \geq h_W \text{ on } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{g}_x = \psi_1 \text{ on } \overline{W}_x
\]
(where we have replaced \( W_x' \) by an open subset containing \( x \) if necessary). Set \( P_\lambda(t) = e^{-\lambda t}P(t) \). Notice that
\[
P_\lambda(t)g_x - g_x = \int_0^t P_\lambda(s) \hat{A}_\lambda g_x \, ds.
\]
Since \( g_x = h \) on \( \overline{W}_x \) and \( \hat{A}_\lambda h < 0 \), there is a constant \( \eta_x > 0 \) such that \( P_\lambda(s)\hat{A}_\lambda g_x \leq 0 \) on \( \overline{W}_x \) for \( 0 \leq s \leq \eta_x \). Hence,
\[
P_\lambda(t)h_W \leq P_\lambda(t)g_x \leq g_x = h \quad \text{on } \overline{W}_x \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \eta_x.
\]
The same argument yields (after replacing \( \eta_x \) if necessary)
\[
P_\lambda(t)h_W \leq P_\lambda(t)\hat{g}_x \leq \hat{g}_x = \psi_1 \quad \text{on } \overline{W}_x \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \eta_x.
\]
As a result, for case (a) we have shown
\[
P_\lambda(t)h_W \leq \inf\{h, \psi_1\} = h_W \quad \text{on } \overline{W}_x \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \eta_x. \tag{3.13}
\]
(2b) In the case (b), we have \( h_W = \psi_1 \text{ on } \overline{W}_x \). By Lemma 3.23, there exist a neighbourhood \( W'_x \in C_\Omega(\Omega) \) of \( x \) with \( \overline{W}_x \subset W'_x \) and a function \( g_x \in D(\hat{A}_\Omega) \) satisfying \( g_x \geq h_W \) on \( \Omega \) and \( g_x = \psi_1 \text{ on } \overline{W}_x \). (Note that we have used the same symbols as in step (2a) although the designated objects may differ.) As in (2a), we derive
\[
P_\lambda(t)h_W \leq P_\lambda(t)g_x \leq g_x = \psi_1 = h_W \quad \text{on } \overline{W}_x \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \eta_x. \tag{3.14}
\]
for a constant \( \eta_x > 0 \).

(3) By (3.13) and (3.14), for all \( x \in K \) we have found \( \eta_x > 0 \) and a relatively compact neighbourhood \( W'_x \) such that \( P_\lambda(t)h_W \leq h_W \text{ on } W'_x \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq \eta_x \). Now cover \( K \) by finitely many \( W'_x \), and set \( \eta_K = \min_x \eta_x > 0 \). Thus, \( P_\lambda(t)h_W \leq h_W \text{ on } K \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq \eta_K \); that is, \( h_W \) is locally excessive in \( W \). Moreover, \( h_W \) is strictly positive on \( \Omega \) by \( \psi_1 > 0 \text{ on } \Omega \) and \( h_1 = h > 0 \text{ on } W \setminus G_0 \). Further, on \( G_2 \setminus G_1 \) we have \( h_W \leq \psi_1 \leq h \), while \( h_W \leq h_1 = h \text{ on } W \setminus G_2 \). That is, \( h_W \leq h \) on \( W \setminus G \). Finally, \( h_W = 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus W \) if \( h \) is a Cauchy barrier, and \( h_W \) is an excessive barrier in this case.

We now come to main theorem of this chapter. Similar results can be found in [30], [31], [32], [48], and [55]. In these papers, it was always assumed that there exists a base of ‘regular’ sets in \( C_\Omega(\Omega) \). Below we replace this type of condition by supposing that \( A_\Omega \) is a generator in \( C_0(\Omega) \) (besides the mild hypotheses (OE) and (LS)).

**Theorem 3.25.** Assume that (H1) holds. The following assertions are equivalent for \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \).
Therefore the parabolic maximum principle, Theorem 2.29, implies
\[ \text{of (3.7)}; \quad \text{that is,} \quad u \text{ excessive in } W \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \]
for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( h_{W_n} := h_n \) be a function satisfying the assertions of Lemma 3.24 for \( G := G_1 \) and \( W := W_n \). Notice that for the construction of \( h_{W_n} \) in the proof of Lemma 3.24 we can use the same sets \( K_0, G_0, G_1, G_2 \) and the same function \( \psi_1 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Further, the functions \( h_1 = h_1(n) \) defined in the proof of Lemma 3.24 are equal to \( h \) on \( W_n \setminus G_0 \). Therefore,
\[
h_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
\inf \{ h(x), \psi_1(x) \}, & x \in W_n \setminus \overline{G_1}, \\
\psi_1(x), & x \in G_2.
\end{cases}
\]

We now solve (3.8) for \( M_\lambda = M - \lambda \), compare the proof of Proposition 3.13. First, let \( f \in C^\infty_c(V) \) and \( \text{supp} f \subset W_n \) for \( n \geq n_0 \). Then there is a constant \( \alpha > 0 \) (depending on \( f \)) such that \( -\alpha h_n \leq f \leq \alpha h_n \) on \( V \) for \( n \geq n_0 \). So the assumptions of Corollary 3.9 hold for \( W_n \subset W_n \subset W_{n+1} \) and the function \( \alpha h_{n+1} \) being locally excessive in \( W_{n+1} \) for \( A_\lambda \). As a result, there exists an approximate solution \( u_n := u_{\sigma_n} \) of (3.7); that is, \( u_n \in D(M,W_n \times ]0,\infty[) \cap C(W_{n+1} \times ]0,\infty[) \) satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
M_\lambda u_n &= 0 \quad \text{on } W_n \times ]0,\infty[, \\
|u_n(x,t)| &\leq \alpha h_{n+1}(x) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in W_{n+1} \times ]0,\infty[, \\
u_n(x,0) &= f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in W_{n+1}.
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore the parabolic maximum principle, Theorem 2.29, implies
\[
\| u_k - u_l \|_{C(\overline{W_n} \times ]0,T[)} \leq \alpha \max_{\partial W_m} (h_{k+1} + h_{l+1}) \leq 2\alpha \max_{\partial W_m} h \quad (3.15)
\]
for \( k,l \geq m \) and \( T \geq 0 \). Hence, \( u_n \) converges u.c. to a function \( u \) in \( C(V \times ]0,\infty[) \). Consequently, \( u \in D(M,V \times ]0,\infty[) \) and \( M_\lambda u = 0 \) since \( M \) is locally closed u.c.. Also,
Define $h$ that $0 \leq h \leq 1$. By assumption there exist $0 \leq h \leq 1$ on $\partial(V \setminus \bar{G})$. Thus, $u(\cdot, t) \in C_0(V)$ and $u \in C(\overline{V}^c \times [0, \infty])$. So we have solved problem (3.8) for $f \in C_0(V)$ and $M_\lambda$.

If $f \in C_0(V)$, take $f_k \in C_c(V)$ converging to $f$ in $C_0(V)$. For the solutions $u^k$ of (3.8) with initial value $f_k$ we obtain the estimate

$$\sup_{V \times [0,T]} |u^k - u^j| \leq \|f_k - f_j\|$$

by Theorem 2.29. It is now easy to see that $u = \lim_k u^k$ solves (3.8) for $f$ and $M_\lambda$.

Finally, Proposition 3.17, Lemma 3.22, and Theorem 3.21 imply that $(\bar{A}_\lambda)_V = \bar{A}_V - \lambda$ is a generator in $C_0(V)$ and, hence, $(CP_V)$ is well-posed.

**Corollary 3.26.** Assume that (H1) holds. Let $V, V_k \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $V = \bigcap_{k=1}^n V_k$ and $V_k$ admits a Cauchy barrier w.r.t. $\bar{A}$. Then the Cauchy problem $(CP_V)$ is well-posed.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.25 each $V_k$ has an excessive barrier $h_k$. It is easy to see that $h = \inf_k h_k|_V$ is an excessive barrier for $V$. \hfill \square

A result similar to Corollary 3.26 was shown in [31, Thm. 3.3] using a weaker notion of a Cauchy barrier. There it was also noted that a Cauchy problem need not be well-posed on $V \cup W$ if it is well-posed on $V$ and $W$.

We conclude with a characterization of well-posedness in the case that $V$ is the union of regular sets $V_n$ without assuming that $\bar{A}_\Omega$ is a generator. In particular, we do not suppose (H1). This result is useful for problems with degenerate coefficients, see [30, §6] and Section 6.1. Our argument is an adoption of the proof of [30, Thm. 5.4].

**Theorem 3.27.** Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $A$ be a real, locally dissipative, local operator on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ satisfying (S). Assume there exist $V_n \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ such that $V_n \uparrow V$ and $\bar{A}_{V_n}$ is a generator on $C_0(V_n)$. Then $(CP_V)$ is well-posed if and only if $D(\bar{A}_V)$ is dense and $V$ has a Cauchy barrier w.r.t. $\bar{A}$.

**Proof.** Necessity is clear due to Proposition 3.2. For sufficiency, we have to find for a given $0 \leq g \in C_c(V)$ a function $f \in D(\bar{A}_V)$ with $(1 - \bar{A})f = g$. Let $\bar{K} = \supp g$ and let the Cauchy barrier $h$ be defined outside the compact set $\bar{K}$. We may assume that

$$(K \cup \bar{K}) \subset V_1 \subset \overline{V_1} \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V.$$

By assumption there exist $0 \leq f_n \in D(\bar{A}_{V_n})$ with

$$(1 - \bar{A})f_n = g \quad \text{on } V_n. \quad (3.16)$$

Define $h_1 = \|g\| (\inf_{\partial V_n} h)^{-1} h$ on $V \setminus K$. Then we obtain $0 \leq f_n \leq h_1$ on $\partial(V_n \setminus \overline{V_1}) = \partial V_n \cup \partial V_1$. Using $(1 - \bar{A})f_n = g = 0$ on $V_n \setminus \overline{V_1}$, we now derive from [30, Thm. 5.3] that $0 \leq f_n \leq h_1$ on $V_n \setminus \overline{V_1}$ and thus

$$0 \leq f_n^\# \leq h_1 \quad \text{on } V \setminus \overline{V_1}. \quad (3.17)$$
We further have \((1 - \bar{A})(f_n - f_m) = 0\) on \(V_k\) for \(n, m \geq k\). So the maximum principle [30, Thm. 5.3'] shows that
\[
\sup_{V_k}|f_n - f_m| \leq \max_{\partial V_k}|f_n - f_m| \leq 2 \max_{\partial V_k} h_1.
\]
Hence, \(f_n\) converge u.c. in \(C(V)\) to a function \(f \in C(V)\) since \(h_1 \in C_0(V \setminus V_1)\). Moreover, \(f \in C_0(V)\) due to (3.17). The assertion now follows from (3.16) and the local closedness u.c. of \(\bar{A}\).

4 Time dependent parabolic problems on non-cylindrical domains

4.1 Introduction

The results of the previous chapter are now applied to parabolic problems of the type
\[
\begin{aligned}
\bar{L}u &= F & \text{on } V_s, \\
 u(x,t) &= 0 & \text{on the ‘lateral boundary’ of } V_s, \\
 u(x,s) &= f(x) & \text{for } x \in V(s),
\end{aligned}
\]  
(4.1) 
where \(V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\) may be non-cylindrical (i.e., not of the form \(V \times I\)), \(F\) is continuous, and \(\bar{L}\) is a parabolic, real, locally dissipative, local operator. In our applications to partial differential equations in Chapter 6, \(\bar{L}\) can be calculated explicitly and so we obtain that the solution \(u\) belongs to appropriate Sobolev spaces.

At first, we specify the setting of the problem (4.1) more precisely. In this chapter, we consider \(V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\) with finite \(S \leq S_V \leq T\) and \(T_V = T \in J\). So we have the possibilities

\((I)\) \quad \(J_0 = [S,T]\) and \(\Omega_0 = \Omega \times J_0\) or
\((II)\) \quad \(J = [S,T]\) and \(\Omega = \Omega \times J\) with \(V(S) \neq \emptyset\).

To avoid trivial situations, we always assume that \(V(s) \neq \emptyset\) for \(S_V < s \leq T_V\). (In later sections we will further assume that \(S = S_V\).) We set \(V_0 = V \cap \Omega_0\) and use the function spaces \(X = C_0(V)\) and \(\bar{X}_0 = C_0(V_0)\). The space \(\bar{X}_0\) is identified with the subspace \(\tilde{X}_0\) of \(X\) which consists of functions vanishing on \(V(S)\). A linear operator \(E\) on \(\bar{X}_0\) induces a linear operator \(\tilde{E}\) on \(\tilde{X}_0\) in an obvious way. Let \(F(t) = F(t, \cdot)\) for \(F \in \bar{X}\) or \(\bar{X}_0\) and \(t \in J\) or \(J_0\). Notice that \(F(t) \in C_0(V(t)) =: X(t)\). Given \(F \in \bar{X}\), we define by
\[
\tilde{F}(t) = \begin{cases} 
F(t), & S \leq t \leq T, \\
F(S), & t < S,
\end{cases}
\]
a function on \(J = ]- \infty, T]\), and analogously for \(X_0 \cong \tilde{X}_0\). Also,
\[
\tilde{X}(t) = \begin{cases} 
X(t), & S \leq t \leq T, \\
X(S), & t < S,
\end{cases}
\]
where $X(S) := \{0\}$ in the case $J_0$.

For a function $f$ in $C_0(V)$, $X$, or $X_0$ we write $f^*$ for the canonical extension to a function in $C_0(V^*)$, $C_0(V_0^*) =: X^*$, or $C_0(V_0^*) =: X_0^*$, respectively. Also, for these functions the superscript $\#$ designates the extension by 0 to functions defined on $\Omega$, $\Omega^*$, $\Omega^* := \Omega^* \times [S, T]$, $\Omega_0$, $\Omega_0^* := \Omega^* \times [S, T]$. In this way, $C_0(V)$, $X$, $X_0$ are isometrically identified with subspaces $X^*$, $X^*\#$, $X_0^*$, $X_0^*\#$ of $C_0(\Omega)$, $C(\Omega^*)$, $C(\Omega_0^*)$, $C(\Omega_0^*)$, respectively. The canonical extension of an operator $Q$ defined on one of these function spaces is denoted accordingly, e.g., $Q^*F^* := (QF)^*$. In particular, a semigroup $Q(\cdot)$ on $\Omega$ induces semigroups $Q^*(\cdot)$ and $Q^*\#(\cdot)$ on $X^*$ and $X^*\#$, respectively.

Next, we examine various parts of the boundary of $V$ which are relevant to problem (4.1). For $S \leq S_V \leq s < T_V = T$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ or $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$, we set

$$
\Gamma = \partial V^*, \quad \Gamma^* = \partial V^*_0 \setminus V(s), \quad \Gamma_s = \{(x, t) \in \Gamma : t \geq s\}, \\
\Gamma_s = \{(x, t) \in \Gamma : t > s\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(s + 0) = \Gamma(s) \cap V_s^*,
$$

where $V_s$ was defined on p.69 and the closures and boundaries are understood in the topology of $\Omega^*$ and $\Omega_0^*$, respectively. Observe that for relatively compact $V$ the above sets coincide with the analogous sets defined in $\Omega$ and $\Omega_0$, respectively. Since $T_V = T$, the set $\Gamma$ can be interpreted as the lateral boundary of $V$.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ or $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ and $S \leq S_V \leq s < T_V$. Then the following assertions hold (with disjoint unions).

1. $\partial V^*_s = V(s) \cup (\Gamma_s \cap V_s^*) = V(s) \cup \Gamma_s \cup \Gamma(s + 0)$.
2. $\Gamma^* = \Gamma^*_s \cap V_s^* = \Gamma_s \cup \Gamma(s + 0)$.
3. $\partial V^*_s = V(s) \cup \Gamma$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma^S = \Gamma^*_s$ if $s = S = S_V \in J$ and $\partial V^*_s \cap V_s = \Gamma = \Gamma^S \cap \Gamma^*_s$, if $s = S_V > S$.
4. $\partial_p V_s \subset \Gamma^* \cup V(s)$, where $\partial_p V_s = \partial_p V$ if $s = S_V \in J$.

**Proof.** (a) Let $S_V < s < T_V$. For $(x, s) \in V(s)$ there is an open neighbourhood in $V$ of the form $W \times [s - \delta, s + \delta]$. Thus $V(s) \subset \partial V^*_s$. Further,

$$
\Gamma_s \cap V_s^* \subset \Gamma \cap V^*_s \subset \partial V^*_s,
$$

since $\Gamma \cap V_s \subset \Gamma \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence,

$$
V(s) \cup (\Gamma_s \cap V_s^*) \subset \partial V^*_s.
$$

Conversely, if $(x, t) \in \partial V^*_s$ with $t > s$, then $(x, t) \in \partial V^*_s = \Gamma$ and so $(x, t) \in \Gamma_s \cap V_s^*$. So let $(x, s) \in \partial V^*_s$. In each neighbourhood of $(x, s)$ there is a point $(y, r) \notin V_s$. If we can always find $(y, r) \notin V_s$, then $(x, s) \in \Gamma$ and, hence, $(x, s) \in \Gamma_s \cap V_s^*$. Otherwise,


\((x,s)\) belongs to \(V(s)\). This yields the first equality in the first assertion for \(s > S_V\).
Since \(\Gamma'_s \cap V(s) = \emptyset\), we have
\[
\Gamma^s = \Gamma'_s \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset.
\]
To show 1. and 2. in the case \(s > S_V\), it remains to verify
\[
\Gamma^s = \Gamma_s \cup (s + 0).
\]
If \((x,t) \in \Gamma^s\) with \(t > s\), then \((x,t) \in \Gamma\), and so \((x,t) \in \Gamma_s\).
Clearly, \(\Gamma_s \subset S^s \cap \Omega^s\). Hence, \(\Gamma_s = \Gamma^s \cap \Omega^s\). Moreover,
\[
\Gamma(s + 0) = \Gamma'_s \cap \Omega^s(s) \cap \overline{V} = \Gamma^s \cap \Omega^s(s)
\]
by (4.2). As a consequence,
\[
\Gamma^s = (\Gamma^s \cap \Omega^s) \cup (\Gamma^s \cap \Omega^s(s)) = \Gamma_s \cup \Gamma(s + 0).
\]

(b) We now let \(s = S_V\) \(> S\). Then, \(V_s = V\) and \(V(s) = \emptyset\). Hence, \(\Gamma = \partial V^s = \Gamma^s = \Gamma'_s\) and the equations (4.2) and (4.3) hold in this case, too.
This yields Assertions 1. and 2. for \(s = S_V > S\) and the second part of 3.
Finally, let \(s = S_V = S \in J\). Obviously, \(\Gamma = \Gamma'_s\). For points \((x,t)\) with \(t > S\) it is clear that \((x,t) \in \Gamma\) if and only if \((x,t) \in \partial V^s\). So consider \((x,S) \in \Gamma\).
There are points \((y,t) \in V\) with \(t > S\) in each neighbourhood of \((x,S)\) in \(\Omega^s\) that is,
\((x,S) \in \partial V^s\). Conversely, if \((x,S) \in \partial V^s \setminus V(S)\), then \((x,S) \notin V\) and so \((x,S) \in \Gamma\).
This establishes \(\Gamma^S = \Gamma\) and, since \(V(S) \subset \partial V^S\), the third assertion. Further, (4.2) and (4.3), and thus 1., also hold in this case.

(c) The last assertion follows from the previous ones and the definition of \(\partial p V\).

\[\square\]

### 4.2 Parabolic operators and space-time semigroups

Theorem 3.25 provides us with a characterization of well-posedness of (4.1) for \(F = 0\) in the space \(C_0(V)\). In particular, then the solutions are given by the semigroup \(Q(\cdot)\) generated by \(\hat{L}_V\) in \(C_0(V)\). We will now represent \(Q(\sigma)\) in terms of a 'variable space propagator' \(U(t,s) \in E(C_0(V(s)), C_0(V(t)))\). By means of this representation, we can solve the inhomogeneous problem (4.1) in the next section and a class of related semilinear equations in Chapter 5.

As in the cases (I) and (II) introduced on p. 96, we throughout consider \(V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\), resp. \(V_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)\), such that \(I_V = [S,T] = J\), resp. \(I_{V_0} = [S,T] = J_0\), for finite \(S,T\). First, we recall the following definition from [38], [42], [47], or [50].

**Definition 4.2.** A linear operator \(E\) on \(X = C_0(V)\) is called **parabolic** if, for \(F \in D(E)\) and \(\varphi \in C^1(J)\) with \(\varphi'(S) = 0\), we have \(\varphi F \in D(E)\) and \(E(\varphi F) = \varphi EF - \varphi' F\).

If, in addition, \(EF = 0\) on \(V(S)\) for all \(F \in D(E)\), then we say that \(L\) is **standard parabolic**.

A linear operator \(E\) on \(X_0 = C_0(V_0)\) is **parabolic** if the induced operator \(E\) on \(X_0\) is...
parabolic.
If a parabolic operator $E$ generates a semigroup $Q(\cdot)$, then $Q(\cdot)$ is called space-time semigroup.

Observe that $E$ on $X$, is parabolic if and only if, for $F \in D(E)$ and $\varphi \in C^1_0(J) = \{ \varphi \in C^1(J) : \varphi(S) = \varphi'(S) = 0 \}$, we have $\varphi F \in D(E)$ and $E(\varphi F) = \varphi EF - \varphi' F$.

Some preliminary facts are stated in the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.** The following assertions hold for $F \in X$.

1. The mapping $J \to C_0(\Omega) ; t \mapsto F^#(t) = F(t)^#$ is continuous.

2. If $F(t) = 0$ for $t \in J$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\varphi \in C^1(J)$ such that $\varphi'(S) = 0$, $\varphi = 0$ on a neighbourhood of $t$ in $J$, and $\|F - \varphi F\| = \|F^# - \varphi F^#\| \leq \varepsilon$.

**Proof.** 1. If the first assertion were false, there would exist $\delta > 0$ and $J \ni t_n \to t$ with

$$|F^#(x_n, t_n) - F^#(x_n, t)| \geq \delta$$

for some $x_n \in \Omega$. There is a compact subset $K$ of $V$ such that $|F(\varphi) - \delta/4$ for $\varphi \not\in K$.

Consequently, for each $n$, at least one of the points $(x_n, t_n)$ and $(x_n, t)$ is contained in $K$. So we obtain a subsequence $(x_k, t_k)$ or $(x_k, t)$ converging in $K$. Hence, $x_k \to x$ for some $(x, t) \in K$. On the other hand, (4.4) gives

$$|F^#(x, t_k) - F^#(x, t)| \geq \delta,$$

contradicting the continuity of $F^#$ at $(x, t)$.

2. Let $F(t) = 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By the first part, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|F^#(s)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in J$ with $|t - s| \leq \delta$ where we can choose $\delta < t - S$ if $t \neq S$.

Take $\varphi \in C^1(J)$ satisfying $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ on $J \cap (\mathbb{R}[]t - \delta, t + \delta[])$, and $\varphi = 0$ on $J \cap [t - \delta_0, t + \delta_0]$ for some $0 < \delta_0 < \delta$. It is easy to see that $\varphi$ has the properties asserted in 2.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $t \in J$ and $f \in C_c(V(t))$ for $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $F \in X$ such that $F(t) = f$ and $\|F\| = \|f\|$. If $t > S$, then we can take $F \in \overline{X}$. Moreover, $F$ can be chosen positive if $f$ is positive.

**Proof.** Let $K = \operatorname{supp} f \subset V(t)$. Since $K \times \{t\}$ is compact in $V$, we find sets $W_k \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$ and $I_k = J \cap [t - \delta_k, t + \delta_k]$ for $\delta_k > 0$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n$ such that

$$K \times \{t\} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (W_k \times I_k) \subset V$$

Take $0 < \delta \leq \min \delta_k$ with $\delta < t - S$ if $t \neq S$. Set $W = \bigcup_k W_k$ and $I = J \cap [t - \delta, t + \delta]$. Choose $\varphi \in C^1(J)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi(t) = 1$, and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset I$. Define $F(x, s) = \varphi(s)f(x)$ for $s \in I$ and $x \in W$ and $F(x, s) = 0$ on $V \setminus (W \times I)$. Clearly, $F \in X$ meets the requirements.
In the proof of the next result we use an idea from [50, Thm. 1.11]. We set \( \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma = \varphi(\cdot + \sigma)J \) and \( \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma = \varphi(\cdot + \sigma)J_0 \), respectively, for \( \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \( Q(\cdot) \) be a semigroup on \( X \) generated by a parabolic operator \( E \). The following assertions hold for \( F \in X, \ t \in J, \) and \( \sigma \geq 0 \).

1. For \( \varphi \in C(J) \) we have
   \[
   Q(\sigma)(\varphi F) = \tilde{\varphi}_{-\sigma} Q(\sigma)F
   \]
   (4.5)
2. If \( \tilde{F}(t - \sigma) = 0 \), then \( (Q(\sigma)F)(t) = 0 \).
3. If, in addition, \( E \) is standard parabolic, then
   \[
   (Q(\sigma)F)(t) = (Q(t - S)F)(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t - \sigma \leq S.
   \]
   (4.6)

Moreover, Assertions 1. and 2. still hold if we replace \( X \) by \( X_0 \) and consider \( \varphi \) with \( \varphi(S) = 0 \) in 1. In particular, \( (Q(\sigma)F)(t) = 0 \) for \( t - \sigma \leq S \) and \( F \in X_0 \).

**Proof.** We only consider the interval \( J \) since the proof for \( J_0 \) follows the same lines.

1. Let \( \varphi \in C^1(J) \) with \( \varphi'(S) = 0 \) and \( F \in D(E) \). Define
   \[
   u(\sigma) = Q(\sigma)(\varphi F) - \tilde{\varphi}_{-\sigma} Q(\sigma)F \in X
   \]
   for \( \sigma \geq 0 \). Then \( u(0) = 0 \) and, by parabolicity, \( u(\sigma) \in D(E) \) and
   \[
   Eu(\sigma) = EQ(\sigma)(\varphi F) - \tilde{\varphi}_{-\sigma} EQ(\sigma)F + \tilde{\varphi}'_{-\sigma} Q(\sigma)F = \frac{d}{d\sigma} u(\sigma).
   \]
   Therefore, \( u(\sigma) = 0 \) for \( \sigma \geq 0 \) since \( E \) is a generator. The first assertion now follows by an obvious approximation argument.

2. If \( \tilde{F}(t - \sigma) = 0 \), then either \( t - \sigma > S \) and \( F(t - \sigma) = \tilde{F}(t - \sigma) = 0 \) or \( t - \sigma \leq S \) and \( F(S) = \tilde{F}(t - \sigma) = 0 \). In both cases, by Lemma 4.3 there is \( \varphi \in C(J) \) such that \( F_1 = \varphi F \in X_0 \), \( \varphi(t - \sigma) = 0 \), and \( \|F - F_1\| \leq \varepsilon \) for each given \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Moreover, the first assertion implies \( (Q(\sigma)F_1)(t) = 0 \), and so
   \[
   \|(Q(\sigma)F)(t)\| = \|(Q(\sigma)(F)(t) - (Q(\sigma)F_1)(t))\| \leq \|Q(\sigma)\| \|F - F_1\| \leq \varepsilon \|Q(\sigma)\|.
   \]

This establishes 2.

3. Let \( E \) be standard parabolic. For \( F \in D(E) \) and \( t \in J \), we have
   \[
   (Q(\sigma)F)(t) = F(t) + \int_0^\sigma (Q(\tau)EF)(t) \, d\tau.
   \]
Since \( (EF)(S) = 0 \), we obtain \( (Q(\tau)EF)(t) = 0 \) for \( t - \tau \leq S \) by the second assertion. So we conclude that
   \[
   (Q(\sigma)F)(t) = F(t) + \int_0^{t-S} (Q(\tau)EF)(t) \, d\tau = (Q(t - S)F)(t)
   \]
for \( 0 \leq t - S \leq \sigma \), and obtain the third assertion by the density of \( D(E) \). \( \square \)
Lemma 4.6. Next, we study the continuity of the operators $U(t, s)$ and construct operators $F$ and $G$ with $F(s) \in \mathcal{X}$ with $F(s) = f$ and $G(s) \in \mathcal{X}$ with $G(s) = g$.

The linear operator $U(t, s) : D(s) \to X(t)$ is well-defined by virtue of Theorem 4.5. Due to Lemma 4.4, $C_c(V(s))$ is contained in $D(s)$ and for $f \in C_c(V(s))$ there is $F \in \mathcal{X}$ with $F(s) = f$ and

$$
\|U(t, s)f\| \leq \|Q(t - s)\| \|F\| = \|Q(t - s)\| \|f\| \leq M \|f\|
$$

for $(t, s) \in \Delta_J$ and $M := \sup\{\|Q(\sigma)\| : 0 \leq \sigma \leq T - S\}$. Therefore $U(t, s)$ can be extended to a bounded operator $U(t, s) : X(s) \to X(t)$. For $f \in D(s)$ and $F \in \mathcal{X}$ with $F(s) = f$, we have $(Q(r - s)F)(\cdot, r) = U(r, s)f$, i.e., $U(r, s)f \in D(r)$, and

$$
U(t, r)U(r, s)f = (Q(t - r)Q(r - s)F)(\cdot, t) = U(t, s)f.
$$

This identity also holds on $X(s)$ since $D(s)$ is dense. As a result,

$$
U(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X(s), X(t)), \quad \|U(t, s)\| \leq M, \quad (4.7)
$$

$$
U(t, s) = U(t, r)U(r, s), \quad U(s, s) = Id_{X(s)} \quad (4.8)
$$

for $S \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq T$. If we consider the induced semigroup $Q^\#(\sigma)$ on $X^\# \subset C_0(\Omega)$ and construct operators $U^\#(t, s)$ by the same procedure, then it is immediate that

$$
U^\#(t, s)f^\# = (U(t, s)f)^\#
$$

and that (4.7) and (4.8) hold on $X^\# \subset X(s)^\#$. Moreover, for a parabolic operator $E$ on $X^\#_0$, we define $U(t, s)$ and $U^\#(t, s)$ in the same way and derive (4.7) and (4.8) on $\Delta_{3\delta}$. Finally, we obtain the analogous results for the operators $U^\#(t, s)$ on $X^\#(s) := X(s)^\#$ by considering the induced semigroup $Q^\#(\cdot)$ on $X^\#_0$ and $X^\#_{0\delta}$. Next, we study the continuity of the operators $U(t, s)$ with respect to $t$ and $s$.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $U(t, s), (t, s) \in \Delta_J$, be as constructed above and $f \in X(s)$ for $s \geq S$. Then the functions

$$
u : (x, t) \mapsto (U(t, s)f)(x) \quad \text{and} \quad u^\# : (x, t) \mapsto (U^\#(t, s)f^\#)(x)
$$

belong to $C_0(\mathcal{V}_s \cup \mathcal{V}(s))$ and $C(\Omega^* \times [s, T])$, respectively. If $s > S$, the same is true with $J$ replaced by $J_0$.

**Proof.** Let $s \geq S$, $f \in D(s)$, and $F \in \mathcal{X}$ with $F(s) = f$. Then

$$
u^\#(x, t) = (Q^\#(t - s)F^\#)(x, t) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega^* \times [s, T].$$
For \((x,t), (x', t') \in \Omega^*\) with \(t, t' \geq s\), we estimate
\[
|u^*#(x', t') - u^*#(x,t)| \leq \|Q^#(t' - s)F^# - Q^#(t - s)F^#\|
+ |Q^#(t - s)F^#(x', t') - Q^#(t - s)F^#(x, t)|.
\]
Since \(Q^#(\cdot)\) is strongly continuous on \(X^*\) and \(Q^#(t-s)F^# \in X^*\), both terms on the right hand side tend to 0 as \((x', t') \to (x, t)\). Further, \(u^*\) vanishes off \(V_\omega \cup V(s)\). Thus the assertions concerning \(J\) are isomorphic to subspaces \(Y\). The same is true with \(J\) and \(X\) replaced by \(J_0\) and \(X_0\).

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \(U(t, s), (t, s) \in \Delta_J\), be as constructed above and \(F \in X\). Then the functions
\[
\Delta_J \ni (t, s) \mapsto U^#(t, s)F^#(s) \in C_0(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_J \ni (t, s) \mapsto U^#(t, s)F^#(s) \in C(\Omega^*)
\]
are continuous. The same is true with \(J\) and \(X\) replaced by \(J_0\) and \(X_0\).

**Proof.** Let \((t, s), (t', s') \in \Delta_J\) and \(F \in X\). Then the estimate
\[
\|U^#(t, s)F^#(s) - U^#(t', s')F^#(s')\|
= \|(Q^#(t-s)F^#)(t) - (Q^#(t'-s')F^#)(t')\|
\leq \|(Q^#(t-s)F^#)(t) - (Q^#(t-s)F^#)(t')\| + \|Q^#(t-s)F^# - Q^#(t'-s')F^#\|
\]
yields the first claim. The other ones can be proved analogously.

We collect the above properties in the following definition.

**Definition 4.8.** Let \(I\) be an interval in \(\mathbb{R}\) and \(Y(t), t \in I\), be Banach spaces which are isomorphic to subspaces \(Y^#(t)\) of a fixed Banach space \(Y^#\). A family of operators \(U(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(Y(s), Y(t)), (t, s) \in \Delta_I\), is called variable space propagator if
\[
U(s, s) = \text{id}_{Y(s)}, \quad U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s), \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_I \ni (t, s) \mapsto (U(t, s)F(s))^# \quad \text{is continuous in } Y^#
\]
for \(t \geq r \geq s\) in \(I\) and all functions \(t \mapsto F(t) \in Y(t)\) such that \(I \ni t \mapsto F^#(t) \in Y^#\) is continuous. If \(\|U(t, s)\| \leq M\), then the propagator is called bounded.

We further extend a given variable space propagator \(U\) with parameter set \(\Delta_J\) or \(\Delta_{J_0}\) to the index set \(\Delta_J\) by setting
\[
\hat{U}(t, s) = \begin{cases} U(t, s), & S \leq s \leq t \leq T, \\ U(t, S), & s < S \leq t \leq T, \\ \text{id}_{X(s)}, & s \leq S, \end{cases}
\]
on \(\hat{X}(s)\) for \(J\) and \(J_0\), respectively. It is easy to see that \(\hat{U}(t, s)\) is a variable space propagator on \(\hat{X}(s)\). One defines the propagators \(\hat{U}^#(t, s)\) and \(\hat{U}^#(t, s)\) in the same way. The main theorem of this section is now an immediate consequence of the previous results.
Theorem 4.9. Let \( Q(\cdot) \) be a semigroup on \( X \) (on \( X_0 \)) generated by a standard parabolic (parabolic) operator \( E \). Then there is a bounded variable space propagator \( U(t,s) \) such that

\[
(Q(\sigma)F)(t) = \tilde{U}(t,t-\sigma)\tilde{F}(t-\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad (Q^*(\sigma)F^*(\cdot))(t) = \tilde{U}^*(t,t-\sigma)\tilde{F}^*(t-\sigma)
\]

for \( t \in J \) and \( F \in X_0 (t \in J_0 \) and \( F \in X_0 \)) and \( \sigma \geq 0 \).

Remark 4.10. 1. In this section we have extended results from [42] to sets \( V \) which are not relatively compact. For cylindrical domains \( V = V \times I \) and the intervals \( J = [S,T], [S,\infty[ \), analogous results can be found in [17], [44], [47], and [50]. In [17], [27], [44], [51], and [52] the same problem was investigated in an \( L^p \)-context. In these papers, as well as in [38] and [39], applications to perturbation theory and well-posedness of non-autonomous Cauchy problems were given, see also the next section.

2. Clearly, (4.9) implies (4.5) (and (4.6) in the standard parabolic case). Further it is easy to see that (4.5) (and (4.6)) imply (standard) parabolicity of the generator \( E \). See also the references cited in the first item for similar characterizations.

4.3 Well-posedness of parabolic problems on non-cylindrical domains

At first, we apply the results of the preceding section to solve Cauchy problems of the type (4.1) supposing that \( \bar{L}_V \) is a generator. The latter assumption can then be characterized by means of the results presented in Chapter 3. For relatively compact \( V \), Theorems 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 below are contained in [42], see also [47], [48], [50] for the cylindrical case.

We start with the standard parabolic case \( J = [S,T] \), i.e., case (II) from p.96. For \( V \in O(\Omega) \) and a parabolic, locally dissipative, local operator \( L \) on \( O(\Omega) \), we define a standard parabolic operator \( E \) on \( X = C_0(V) \) by setting

\[
EF = LF \quad \text{for} \quad F \in D(E) = \{ F \in D(L_V) : (LF)(S) = 0 \}.
\]

Therefore, if \( E \) is generator, then \( Q(\cdot) = e^{\sigma E} \) is given by a variable space propagator \( U(t,s) \) by virtue of Theorem 4.9. In the following two results we only consider the initial time \( s = S \) as the case \( s > S \) can be treated using Theorem 4.13 and 4.14.

Theorem 4.11. Let \( V \in O(\Omega) \) with \( V(s) \neq \emptyset \) for \( s \in J = [S,T] \), \( f \in C_0(V(S)) \), and \( L \) a parabolic, locally dissipative, local operator on \( O(\Omega) \). Assume that the operator \( E \) defined in (4.10) is a generator on \( X = C_0(V) \). Then there is a unique solution \( u \in D(E) \cap C(V^\ast) \) of the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
Eu &= 0 \quad \text{on} \ V, \\
u(\cdot,S) &= f \quad \text{on} \ V(S), \\
u|\Gamma &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(4.11)

Therefore, if \( E \) is generator, then \( Q(\sigma) = e^{\sigma E} \) is given by a variable space propagator \( U(t,s) \) by virtue of Theorem 4.9. In the following two results we only consider the initial time \( s = S \) as the case \( s > S \) can be treated using Theorem 4.13 and 4.14.

Theorem 4.11. Let \( V \in O(\Omega) \) with \( V(s) \neq \emptyset \) for \( s \in J = [S,T] \), \( f \in C_0(V(S)) \), and \( L \) a parabolic, locally dissipative, local operator on \( O(\Omega) \). Assume that the operator \( E \) defined in (4.10) is a generator on \( X = C_0(V) \). Then there is a unique solution \( u \in D(E) \cap C(V^\ast) \) of the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
Eu &= 0 \quad \text{on} \ V, \\
u(\cdot,S) &= f \quad \text{on} \ V(S), \\
u|\Gamma &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(4.11)
where \( \Gamma = \Gamma^S \). Further, \( u \) is given by \( u(x,t) = (U(t,S)f)(x) \) on \( \overline{V} \) for the variable space propagator obtained in Theorem 4.9, and \( |u| \leq \|f\| \).

Proof. The function \( u : (x,t) \mapsto (U(t,S)f)(x) \) belongs to \( X \) by Lemma 4.6. Clearly, \( u(\cdot,S) = f \). Moreover, \( \partial\overline{V}^* = \Gamma = \Gamma^S \) by Proposition 4.1. So \( u \) extends continuously to \( \overline{V}^* \) with \( u|\Gamma = 0 \). Using the representation of the semigroup \( Q(\sigma) = e^{\sigma E} \) given in Theorem 4.9, we derive

\[
(Q(\sigma)u)(t) = \tilde{U}(t,t-\sigma)\tilde{u}(t-\sigma) = \begin{cases} U(t,t-\sigma)U(t-\sigma,S)f, & t-\sigma \geq S, \\ U(t,S)f, & t-\sigma < S, \end{cases}
\]

for \( t \in J \) and \( \sigma \geq 0 \). This implies \( u \in D(E) \) and \( Eu = 0 \). Finally, uniqueness and the asserted estimate are immediate consequences of the parabolic maximum principle Theorem 2.29 since \( \partial_p \overline{V} \subset \Gamma \cup \overline{V}(S) \) by Proposition 4.1.

Notice that the condition \( F(S) = 0 \) is necessary for the solvability of (4.12) in the next result.

**Theorem 4.12.** Let \( \overline{V}, f, L, E \) be as in Theorem 4.11 and \( F \in C_0(\overline{V}_0) \). Then there is a unique solution \( u \in D(E) \cap C(\overline{V}^*) \) of the problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
Eu &= F & \text{on } \overline{V}, \\
\n(4.12)
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \Gamma = \Gamma^S \). Further, the solution \( u \) and its extension \( u^* \in C(\Omega^*) \) are given by

\[
u(x,t) = (U(t,S)f)(x) - \int_S^t (Q(t-\tau)F)(x,t)\,d\tau \quad \text{on } \overline{V} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
u^*(t) = U^*(t,S)f^* - \int_S^t U^*(t,\tau)F^*(\tau)\,d\tau \quad \text{for } t \in [S,T]
\]

and the variable space propagator obtained in Theorem 4.9.

Proof. By Lemma 4.7 the function

\[
\Delta J \ni (t,s) \mapsto U^*(t,s)F^*(s) = (U(t,s)F(s))^* \in C(\Omega^*)
\]

is continuous. So we can define

\[
G^*(t) = \int_S^t U^*(t,\tau)F^*(\tau)\,d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad G^*(x,t) = (G^*(t))(x)
\]
for \((x,t) \in \Omega^*\). Clearly, \(G^* \in X^*\) and \(G := G^*|_{V} \in X^*\). We obtain
\[
(Q^*(\sigma)G^*)(t) = \tilde{U}^*(t, t-\sigma)G^*(t-\sigma)
\]

because \(\tilde{G}^*(t) = 0\) for \(t \leq S\). Since also \(\tilde{F}^*(t) = 0\) for \(t \leq S\), this yields
\[
\frac{1}{\sigma} (Q^*(\sigma)G^* - G^*)(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma}^{t} U^*(t, \tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau, & t - \sigma \geq S, \\
-\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{S}^{t} U^*(t, \tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau, & t - \sigma < S,
\end{array} \right.
\]

for \(t \in J\). So Lemma 4.7 implies
\[
\frac{1}{\sigma} (Q^*(\sigma)G^* - G^*)(t) \to -\tilde{F}^*(t) = -F^*(t) \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \to 0
\]
in \(X(t)^*\) uniformly for \(t \in J\). As a consequence, \(G \in D(E)\) and \(EG = -F\). Thus, by Theorem 4.11, the function
\[
u : V \ni (x,t) \mapsto (U(t,S)f)(x) - G(x,t)
\]
belong \(s D(E)\) and \(E u = F\). Clearly, \(u(S) = f\) and \(u\) extends continuously to \(\overline{V} = \overline{V} \cup \Gamma\) with \(u\Gamma = 0\). Uniqueness follows again from Theorem 2.29 and Proposition 4.1.

Finally, by Theorem 4.9 we have
\[
U^*(t, \tau) F^*(\tau) = (Q(t - \tau) F^*)^*(t) \in X(t)^*
\]
for \(S \leq \tau \leq t \leq T\). This easily implies the asserted representations of \(u\).

We now study the case \(J_0 = [S,T]\), cf. (I) from p.96. Notice that the local operator \(L\) on \(O(\Omega_0)\) in the two following theorems could be the restriction of a local operator \(L'\) defined on \(O(\Omega)\).

**Theorem 4.13.** Let \(V_0 \in O(\Omega_0)\) with \(V(t) \neq \emptyset\) for \(t \in J_0, S < s < T\), and \(f \in C_0(V(s))\). Let \(L\) be a semi-complete, locally dissipative, parabolic local operator on \(O(\Omega_0)\). Assume that the part \(L_{V_0}\) of \(L\) in \(X_0 = C_0(V_0)\) is a generator. Then there is a unique solution \(u \in D(L, V_0) \cap C(V_0)\) of the problem
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
L u = 0 & \text{on } V_0, \\
u(\cdot, s) = f & \text{on } V(s), \\
u|\Gamma_0 = 0.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Further, \(u\) is given by \(u(x,t) = (U(t,s)f)(x)\) on \(V_0 \cup V(s)\) for the variable space propagator obtained in Theorem 4.9, and \(|u| \leq ||f||\).
Proof. For the induced propagator $U^{**}(t,s) : X^{**}(s) \to X^{**}(t)$, we define

$$
U^{**}(t,s) = \begin{cases} 
U^{**}(t,s), & S < s \leq t \leq T, \\
U^{**}(s,s), & -\infty < t \leq s \leq T.
\end{cases}
$$

Take $\varphi \in C^1[-\infty,T]$ with support in $[s,T]$. Then the function

$$
u^{**}_\varphi : [-\infty,T] \ni t \mapsto \varphi(t)\tilde{U}^{**}(t,s)f^{**} \in C(\Omega^*)$$

is continuous by Lemma 4.7. The restriction to $J_0$ is denoted by the same symbol.

We set $u_\varphi(x,t) = (u^{**}_\varphi(t))(x)$ for $(x,t) \in V_0$. Notice that $u_\varphi \in X_0$. Theorem 4.9 yields

$$
(Q^{**}(\sigma)u^{**}_\varphi)(t) = \tilde{U}^{**}(t,t-\sigma)u^{**}_\varphi(t-\sigma) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(t-\sigma)U^{**}(t,t-\sigma)U^{**}(t-\sigma,s)f^{**}, & t-\sigma \geq s, \\
0, & t-\sigma < s,
\end{cases}
$$

for $-\infty < t \leq T$ and $\sigma \geq 0$. Since $U^{**}(t,s)$ is uniformly bounded, we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma} (Q^{**}(\sigma)u^{**}_\varphi - u^{**}_\varphi)(t) \to -\varphi'(t)\tilde{U}^{**}(t,s)f^{**} \quad \text{as } \sigma \to 0
$$

in $C(\Omega^*)$ uniformly for $t \in J_0$. As a consequence,

$$
u_\varphi \in D(L_{v_{\varphi}}) \quad \text{and} \quad Lu_\varphi(x,t) = -\varphi'(t)(U(t,s)f)(x) \text{ on } V_s.
$$

On the other hand, we define the function

$$
\overline{u^{**}} : (x,t) \mapsto u^{**}(x,t) = (U^{**}(t,s)f^{**})(x) = (U(t,s)f)^{**}(x).
$$

By Lemma 4.6, $u^{**}$ is continuous and vanishes off $V_s \cup \overline{V_s}$. In particular, the restriction $u$ to $\overline{V_s}$ is continuous and $u|\Gamma^s = 0$. Clearly, $u(s) = f$. For small $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\varphi \in C^1[-\infty,T]$ with support in $[s,T]$ and $\varphi = 1$ on $[s+\varepsilon,T]$. Then, $u|\overline{V_{s+\varepsilon}} = u_\varphi|\overline{V_{s+\varepsilon}} \in D(L_{\overline{V_{s+\varepsilon}}})$ and $Lu = 0$ on $\overline{V_{s+\varepsilon}}$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain $u \in D(L,\overline{V_s})$ and $Lu = 0$ on $\overline{V_s}$ by the semi-completeness of $L$. Finally, uniqueness and the asserted estimate are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.29 and Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 4.14. Let $V_0$, $s$, $f$, and $L$ be as in Theorem 4.13 and $F \in C(\overline{V^s})$ with $F|\Gamma^s = 0$. In addition, assume that $L$ is locally closed u.c.. Then there is a unique solution $u \in D(L,\overline{V_s}) \cap C(\overline{V^s})$ of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
Lu &= F \quad \text{on } \overline{V_s}, \\
u'(s) &= f \quad \text{on } V(s), \\
u|\Gamma^s &= 0.
\end{align*}
\tag{4.14}
$$
Further, \( u \) is the restriction of the continuous function
\[
u^*(s, t) = \left. U^*\right|_{\Omega}(s, t) f^* + \int_s^t \left. U^*\right|_{\Omega}(t, \tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{on} \quad \overline{\Omega}.
\]

If \( F_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{V}_0) \) is an extension of \( F \) to \( \mathbb{V}_0 \), then
\[
u(x, t) = (U(t, s)f)(x) - \int_s^t (Q(t - \tau)F_0)(x, t) d\tau \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{V}_0,
\]
where \( Q(\sigma) \) and \( U(t, s) \) are given by Theorem 4.9.

**Proof.** The set
\[
\mathbb{W}_0^* = (\Omega_s^* \setminus \mathbb{V}_s^*) \cup \mathbb{V}_0^* = (\Omega_s^* \setminus \mathbb{V}_s^*) \cup \partial \mathbb{V}_0^* \cup \mathbb{V}_0^*
\]
is closed in \( \Omega_s^* \). Extend \( F \) to a function \( F_1 \) on \( \mathbb{W}_0^* \) by setting \( F_1(x) = 0 \) for \( x \in \partial \mathbb{V}_0^* \setminus \mathbb{V}_0^* \) and \( x \in \Omega_s^* \setminus \mathbb{V}_s^* \). Using Proposition 4.1, it is easy to see that \( F_1 \) is continuous on \( \mathbb{W}_0^* \). So we can extend \( F_1 \) to a continuous function \( F_0^* \) on \( \Omega_s^* \) with support in \( \Omega_s^* \) for some \( S < r < s \). Clearly, the restriction \( F_0 \) to \( \mathbb{V}_0 \) belongs to \( C_0(\mathbb{V}_0) \). The function
\[
(t, \tau) \mapsto (Q^*(t - \tau)F_0^*)(t) = U^*(t, \tau) F^*(\tau) \in C(\Omega^*)
\]
is continuous for \( s \leq \tau \leq t \leq T \) by Lemma 4.7. So we can define
\[
G^*(s) = \int_s^t U^*(t, \tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau = \int_s^t (Q^*(t - \tau)F_0^*)(t) d\tau = X(t)^*
\]
for \( s \leq t \leq T \) and \( G^*(s, t) = (G^*(s))(t) \) for \( (s, t) \in \Omega^* \times [s, T] \). Let \( G \) be the restriction to \( \overline{\Omega}^* \). Notice that \( G^* \) and \( G \) are continuous, \( G(s) = 0 \), and \( G|T^* = 0 \).

For small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), choose \( \varphi \in C^1[\varepsilon, \infty, T] \) with support in \([s, T]\) and \( \varphi = 1 \) on \([s + \varepsilon, T]\), and set
\[
G^*_{\varphi}(s) = \int_s^t U^*(t, \tau) \varphi(\tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for} \quad s < t \leq T
\]
and \( G^*_{\varphi}(t) = 0 \) for \( S < t \leq s \). Define \( G^*_{\varphi}(s, t) = (G^*_{\varphi}(s))(t) \) on \( \Omega^*_s \). Then \( G^*_{\varphi} \in X^* \) and
\[
\{(Q^*(\sigma)G^*_{\varphi})(t) = \int_s^t U^*(t, \tau) \varphi(\tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau, \quad t - \sigma \geq s,
\]
\[
= \left\{ 0, \quad t - \sigma < s, \right. \]

and
\[
\{(Q^*(\sigma)G^*_{\varphi})(t) = \int_s^t U^*(t, \tau) \varphi(\tau) F^*(\tau) d\tau, \quad t - \sigma \geq s,
\]
\[
= \left\{ 0, \quad t - \sigma < s, \right. \]

and
for $t \in J_0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$. Subsequently,
\[
\frac{1}{\sigma} (Q^* (\sigma) G_{\varphi}^* - G_{\varphi}^* (t) = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma}^{t} \tilde{U}^* (t, \tau) \varphi (\tau) \tilde{F}_{0}^* (\tau) d\tau \rightarrow -\varphi (t) F_{0}^* (t) \quad \text{as} \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0
\]
uniformly in $t \in J_0$ by Lemma 4.7. Hence, the restriction $G_{\varphi}$ belongs to $D(L, V_s)$ and $LG_{\varphi} = -\varphi F$ on $V_s$. So we obtain $G \in D(L, V_s)$ and $LG = -F$ on $V_s$ since $L$ is locally closed u.c.. Finally, set
\[
u(x, t) = (U(t, s)f)(x) - G(x, t) \quad \text{on} \quad V_s \cup V(s) \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
u^* (x, t) = (U^* (t, s)f^*)(x) - G^* (x, t) \quad \text{on} \quad \overline{V_s}.
\]

By the previous results and Theorem 4.13, we have $\nu^*|\overline{V_s} = u$, $\nu^*$ is continuous, and $u \in D(L, V_s) \cap C(\overline{V_s})$ satisfies (4.14). Equalities (4.15) and (4.16) follow from (4.17). Theorem 2.29 and Proposition 4.1 yield uniqueness.

We now combine the above results with Corollary 3.26 using the following assumption.

(H2) Let $L$ be a real, locally dissipative, (standard) parabolic, local operator defined on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ (on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$) such that (S) holds and $\tilde{L}$ satisfies (OE) and (LS). Assume that $L_{\Omega_0}$ $\tilde{L}_{\Omega}$ is a generator on $X_0$ (on $X$).

Recall that $L$ exists and is real, (standard) parabolic, locally dissipative, and locally closed u.c.. In the standard parabolic case, $L_{\Omega}$ coincides with the operator $E$ given by (4.10). It is easy to see that (H2) for $\Omega$ implies (H2) for $\Omega_0$. In particular, $L_{\Omega_0}$ is a generator on $X_0$ if $L_{\Omega}$ is a generator on $X$ for some $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. In fact, in this case there exists $G \in D(L_{\Omega})$ with $(1 - L)G = F$ for a given $F \in X_0 \cong \tilde{X}_0$. Hence, $G(S) = F(S) + (LG)(S) = 0$ and $G \in D(L_{\Omega_0})$. Moreover, for $F \in X_0$ there are $F_n \in D(L_{\Omega_0})$ converging to $F$. Choosing suitable functions $\varphi_n \in C^1([S, T])$ with $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ and $\varphi_n = 1$ for $t \geq S + \delta_n$, one sees that $\varphi_n F_n \in D(L_{\Omega_0})$ and $\varphi_n F_n \rightharpoonup F$ in $X_0$.

**Theorem 4.15.** Assume that (H2) holds for $J_0 = [S, T]$. Let $s \in [S, T]$, $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ with $V(t) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in J_0$, $f \in C_0(V(s))$, and $F \in C(\overline{V_s})$ with $F|_{\overline{V_s}} = 0$. Suppose that $\nu_0 = \bigcap_{b=1}^n W_b$ for sets $W_b \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to $\tilde{L}$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in C(\overline{V_s})$ satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 4.14 with $L$ replaced by $\tilde{L}$. If $f$ and $-F$ are positive, then $u$ is positive.

**Proof.** By virtue of Corollary 3.26 and Theorem 4.14, we only have to show the last assertion which is verified by an application of Theorem 2.30 to the function $-u$. \[\Box\]

A similar result was shown in [48] for cylindrical $\nu$. Further Corollary 3.26, Theorem 4.12, Theorem 4.14, and Theorem 2.30 yield
Theorem 4.16. Assume that (H2) holds for $J = [S,T]$. Let $s \in [S,T]$, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with $V(t) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in J$, and $f \in C_0(V(s))$. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} = \bigcap_{k=1}^n W_k$ for sets $W_k \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to $L$. If $S < s < T$, let $F \in C(\mathcal{V}^S)$ with $F|S^s = 0$; if $s = S$, let $F \in C_0(V_0)$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in C(\mathcal{V})$ belonging to $D(L,\mathcal{V})$ and, if $s = S$, to $D(L,\mathcal{V})$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
Lu = F & \text{on } \mathcal{V}, \quad (\text{on } \mathcal{V} \text{ if } s = S), \\
u(s) = f & \text{on } \mathcal{V}(s), \\
u|S^s = 0 & (\Gamma = \Gamma^S \text{ if } s = S).
\end{cases}
$$

Further, $u$ is given as in Theorem 4.12 if $s = S$ and as in Theorem 4.14 if $s > S$. If $f$ and $-F$ are positive, then $u$ is positive.

We conclude this section with a characterization of ‘well-posedness’ of the homogeneous Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{cases}
Lu = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{V}, \quad (\text{on } \mathcal{V} \text{ if } s = S), \\
u(s) = f & \text{on } \mathcal{V}(s), \\
u|\Gamma^S = 0 & (\Gamma = \Gamma^S \text{ if } s = S),
\end{cases}
$$

where we require that the solution $u = u(\cdot; s, f)$ is contained in $C(\mathcal{V}) \cap D(L,\mathcal{V})$ if $S < s < T$, resp. in $C(\mathcal{V}^S) \cap D(L,\mathcal{V})$ if $s = S$. Throughout, we assume that $L$ satisfies (H2) and consider $f \in C_0(V(s))$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ or $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ with $V(t) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in J$ or $t \in J_0$, respectively.

Definition 4.17. The Cauchy problem (4.19) is called uniformly well-posed on $\mathcal{V}_0$ (or $\mathcal{V}$) if, for $I = J_0$ or $J$,

1. for all $s \in I \setminus \{T\}$ and $f \in C_0(V(s))$ there exists a unique solution $u : (x,t) \mapsto u(x,t; s, f)$ of (4.19);
2. $\|u(\cdot; t; s, f)\| \leq M \|f\|$ for $(t,s) \in \Delta_I$ and $f \in C_0(V(s))$;
3. $\Delta_I \ni (t, s) \mapsto u(\cdot; t; s, F(s)) \in C_0(\Omega)$ is continuous for $F \in X_0$ (or $F \in X$).

If $L_{\mathcal{V}_0}$ (or $L_\mathcal{V}$) is a generator, then Theorem 4.13 (or 4.11) in combination with Lemma 4.7 yield uniform well-posedness of (4.19), where $M = 1$ and $u(\cdot; t; s, f) = U(t,s)f$. Conversely, if (4.19) is uniformly well-posed, set $U(t,s)f = u(\cdot; t; s, f)$ for $f \in X(s)$ and $(t,s) \in \Delta_{J_0}$ (or $(t,s) \in \Delta_J$), where $U(T,T) := Id_{X(T)}$. Then we have

Proposition 4.18. Assume that (4.19) is well-posed on $\mathcal{V}_0$ (or $\mathcal{V}$). Let (H2) hold and $L$ be complete. Then the operators $U(t,s)$ defined above yield a variable space propagator on $X(s)$ and the space-time semigroup given by (4.9) on $X_0$ (or $X$) is generated by $L_{\mathcal{V}_0}$ (or $L_{\mathcal{V}}$).
Proof. We only consider $V$ since $V_0$ can be treated in the same way. It is straightforward to see that uniform well-posedness implies that $U(t,s) \in \mathcal{L}(X(s),X(t))$ is a variable space propagator. Define

$$(Q(\sigma)F)(t,x) = (\tilde{U}(t,t-\sigma)\tilde{F}(t-\sigma))(x) \quad \text{on } V$$

for $F \in X$ and $\sigma \geq 0$. Notice that $t \mapsto (Q(\sigma)F)\#(t)$ is continuous in $C_0(\Omega)$ by Definition 4.17(3). Moreover, $(Q(\sigma)F)(t) \in C_0(V(t))$ and

$$|Q(\sigma\#(x',t') - (Q(\sigma)F)^\#(x,t)|$$

$$\leq |(Q(\sigma)F)^\#(x',t') - (Q(\sigma)F)^\#(x',t)| + |(Q(\sigma)F)^\#(x',t) - (Q(\sigma)F)^\#(x,t)|$$

$$\leq \|Q(\sigma)(t') - (Q(\sigma)F)^\#(t)\|$$

$$+ |\tilde{u}^\#(x',t; t-\sigma,\tilde{F}(t-\sigma)) - \tilde{u}^\#(x,t; t-\sigma,\tilde{F}(t-\sigma))|$$

$$\to 0 \quad \text{as } (x',t') \to (x,t) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

where $\tilde{u}^\#(\cdot,t; s,f) = u(\cdot,t; s,f)$ for $t \geq s \geq S$ and $\tilde{u}^\#(\cdot,t; s,f) = u(\cdot,t; S,f)$ for $t \geq S > s$. Hence, $Q(\sigma)F \in C_0(V)$. Also, $Q(0) = Id$, $Q(\tau + \sigma) = Q(\tau)Q(\sigma)$, $\|Q(\sigma)\| \leq M$, and

$$\|Q(\sigma)(t,x) - F(t,x)\| \leq \|\tilde{u}^\#(\cdot,t; t-\sigma,\tilde{F}(t-\sigma)) - \tilde{F}^\#(t)\| \to 0$$

as $\sigma \to 0$ uniformly for $t \in J$. That is, $Q(\sigma)$ is a semigroup.

It remains to show that the generator $E$ of $Q(\cdot)$ is equal to $L_V$. To this end, we consider the subspace $\overline{Z}$ of $X$, cf. p.86, spanned by the functions

$$\overline{V} \ni (x,t) \mapsto \varphi(t)(U(t,s)f)(x) =: \varphi(t)u(x,t),$$

where $s \in J$, $f \in X(s)$, and $\varphi \in C^1(J)$ with support in $]s,T]$ if $s < S$ and $\varphi'(S) = 0$ if $s = S$. Notice that $u \in D(L,\overline{V})$, resp. $u \in D(L,V)$ if $s = S$, and $Lu = 0$. Since $L$ is parabolic, we obtain that $L(\varphi u) = -\varphi'u$ on $V_0$ or $\overline{V}$. For $s < S$, this yields $\varphi u \in D(L_\overline{V})$. For $s > S$, we have $\varphi u(x) = 0$ for $(x,t) \in \overline{V}$ with $t \leq s + \varepsilon$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, $\varphi u \in D(L_\overline{V})$ due to the completeness of $L$. In particular, $L_\overline{V}(\varphi u) = -\varphi'u$, and $\overline{Z} \subset D(L_\overline{V})$.

On the other hand, we have $Q(\sigma)(\varphi u) = \tilde{\varphi}_{-\sigma}u$. This implies $Q(\sigma)\overline{Z} \subset \overline{Z} \subset D(E)$ and $E(\varphi u) = -\varphi'u$. As in [50, Thm. 2.3] or [28, Prop. 2.9] one sees that $\overline{Z}$ is dense in $X$. As a result, $\overline{Z}$ is a core of $E$ and $L_\overline{V} = E$ on $\overline{Z}$. The closedness of $L_\overline{V}$ yields $E \subset L_\overline{V}$. Since $L_\overline{V}$ is dissipative and $-E$ is surjective for large $\lambda > 0$, we derive $E = L_\overline{V}$.  

The above results and Theorem 3.25 imply

**Theorem 4.19.** Assume that (H2) holds and that $L$ is complete. For $V_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ (or $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$) with $V(t) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in J_0$ ($t \in J$), the following assertions are equivalent.

1. The Cauchy problem (4.19) is uniformly well-posed.
2. The operator $L_\overline{V}$ (or $L_V$) is a generator on $X_\overline{0}$ (or $X$).
3. The set $V_0$ (or $V$) admits a Cauchy barrier with respect to $\overline{L}$.  


4.4 Parabolic and standard parabolic problems

In applications it is much easier to check condition (LS) and to construct Cauchy barriers in the (general) parabolic situation, i.e., \( J_0 = [S, T] \), than for standard parabolic problems, i.e., \( J = [S, T] \), see Chapter 6. So the question arises whether the semigroup generated by \( \bar{L}_{V_0} \) on \( C_0(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{X}_0 \) can be extended to a semigroup on \( C_0(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{X} \).

To study this problem, let \( \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \) with \( \mathcal{V}(t) \neq \emptyset \) for \( t \in J \) and let \( L \) be a local operator on \( \Omega_0 \) such that (H2) holds and \( L_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) is a generator on \( \mathcal{X}_0 \). Recall that \( \mathcal{X}_0 \) is identified with the subspace \( \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 \) of \( \mathcal{X} \).

We work with the operator on \( \mathcal{X} \) given by

\[
D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) = \{ F \in \mathcal{X} \cap D(\bar{L}, \mathcal{V}_0) : \bar{L}F \in \mathcal{X}_0 \},
\]

\[
\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} F = \begin{cases} \bar{L}F & \text{on } \mathcal{V}_0, \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{V}(S). \end{cases}
\]

It is easy to see that \( \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) is a standard parabolic, dissipative, closed operator on \( \mathcal{X} \).

Moreover, we have the following fact.

**Lemma 4.20.** Under the above assumptions, \( \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) generates a semigroup on \( \mathcal{X} \) if and only if \( D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X} \).

**Proof.** It suffices to show that the range of \( \mathbf{1} - \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X} \) provided that \( D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X} \). So consider a finite regular Borel measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathcal{V} \) such that

\[
\int_{\mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{1} - \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) F \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for all } F \in D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}). \tag{4.20}
\]

Since \( (1 - \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) = \mathcal{X}_0 \), this implies that \( \mu \) vanishes on \( \mathcal{V}_0 \). Due to \( \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} F = 0 \) on \( \mathcal{V}(S) \), equation (4.20) thus reduces to

\[
\int_{\mathcal{V}(S)} F \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for all } F \in D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}).
\]

The density of \( D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) now yields \( \mu = 0 \). \( \square \)

Thus we shall examine conditions ensuring that \( D(\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X} \). To that purpose, we introduce the set

\[
\tilde{D}_L(S) = \{ f \in C_c(\mathcal{V}(S)) : \exists F \in C_c(\mathcal{V}) \text{ such that } F(S) = f, \ \text{supp} \ F \subset \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}(T), \ F|_{\mathcal{V}_0} \in D(\bar{L}, \mathcal{V}_0), \ \bar{L}F \in C_0(\mathcal{V}_0) \}.
\tag{4.21}
\]

We will also make use of the fact that the operator \( p\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) is again a dissipative generator on \( \mathcal{X}_0 \), see [14] and [29, Thm. 2], where \( p(t) = t - S \). We write \( (t - S)\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) for \( p\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \). Further, define the local operator \( (t - S)\bar{L} \) given by

\[
D((t - S)\bar{L}, \mathcal{W}) = D(\bar{L}, \mathcal{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad ((t - S)\bar{L}) F = p\bar{L}F \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{W}.
\]
for \( W \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0) \). Note that the local operator \((t - S)\bar{L}\) is locally dissipative, and so \(((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}\) is dissipative in \( \mathcal{X}_0 \). Actually, the following holds.

**Lemma 4.21.** Under the above assumptions, we have \(((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0} = ((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}\) so that the latter is a generator in \( \mathcal{X}_0 \).

**Proof.** It suffices to show \(((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0} \subset ((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}\). So take \( F_n \in D((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}\) such that

\[
F_n \to F \in D((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0} \quad \text{and} \quad ((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}F_n \to G = (t - S)\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}F
\]

in \( \mathcal{X}_0 \). Thus, \( \bar{L}F_n \to \frac{1}{t - S} G \) on \( V_{S + \varepsilon} \) for \( \varepsilon > 0 \). This gives \( F \in D(\bar{L}, V_{S + \varepsilon}) \) and \( (t - S)\bar{L}F = G \) on \( V_{S + \varepsilon} \). Since \( \bar{L} \) is semi-complete, we infer that \( F \in D(\bar{L}, V_{\varepsilon 0}) \) and \( (t - S)\bar{L}F = G \) on \( V_0 \). As a result, \( F \in D(((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) and \( ((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}F = (t - S)\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}F \).

Next, let \( f \in \hat{D}_L(S) \) and \( F \) as in (4.21). Take \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and define, for \( F_0 = F|V_0 \), the function \( G_0 \in \mathcal{X}_0 \) by

\[
G_0(x, t) = -(t - S)^\alpha (\bar{L}F_0)(x, t) \quad \text{on} \ V_0.
\]

By Lemma 4.21, there exists

\[
G_1 = \left[ ((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0} - (1 - \alpha) \right]^{-1} G_0 \in D((t - S)\bar{L})_{\mathcal{V}_0}.
\]

Define a function \( G \in \mathcal{V} \) by setting

\[
G = \begin{cases} (t - S)^{1 - \alpha} G_1 + F_0 & \text{on} \ V_0, \\ f & \text{on} \ V(S). \end{cases}
\]

Due to the parabolicity of \( \bar{L} \), we obtain \( G \in D(\bar{L}, V_{S + \varepsilon}) \) and

\[
\bar{L}G = (t - S)^{1 - \alpha} \bar{L}G_1 - (1 - \alpha)(t - S)^{-\alpha} G_1 + \bar{L}F_0
\]

\[
= (t - S)^{-\alpha} \left[ (t - S)\bar{L} - (1 - \alpha) \right] G_1 + \bar{L}F_0 = 0
\]

on \( V_{S + \varepsilon} \) for \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Therefore, \( G \in D(\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) and

\[
\hat{D}_L(S) \subset \{ G|V(S) : G \in D(\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \} =: D(S). \tag{4.22}
\]

The importance of this fact relies on

**Lemma 4.22.** Under the above assumptions, \( D(\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X} \) if and only if \( D(S) \) in (4.22) is dense in \( C_0(V(S)) \).

**Proof.** Necessity is clear. So assume that \( D(S) \) is dense in \( C_0(V(S)) \). Let \( \mu \) be a finite Borel measure being orthogonal to \( D(\bar{L}_{\mathcal{V}_0}) \). Since \( L_{\mathcal{V}_0} \) is a generator, \( \mu \) has to vanish on \( V_0 \). But then \( \mu = 0 \) since \( D(S) \) is dense. \( \square \)
The next result is an immediate consequence of (4.22), Lemma 4.20, and Lemma 4.22.

Proposition 4.23. Assume that \( L \) satisfies (H2) on \( O(\Omega_0) \) and that \( \tilde{L}_{\Omega_0} \) is a generator on \( X_0 \). If \( \tilde{D}_L(S) \) is dense in \( C_0(V(S)) \), then \( \tilde{L}_{\Omega_0} \) is a generator on \( X \).

Since \( \tilde{L}_{\Omega_0} \) is standard parabolic, we can derive the main result of this section in the same way as Theorem 4.16. Observe that \( \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{\Omega_0} \) coincides with \( L \) on \( V_0 \).

Theorem 4.24. Assume that \( L \) satisfies (H2) on \( O(\Omega_0) \). Let \( V \in O(\Omega) \) with \( V(t) \neq \emptyset \) for \( t \in J, f \in C_0(V(S)) \), and \( F \in C_0(\Omega) \). Suppose that \( \tilde{D}_L(S) \) is dense in \( C_0(V(S)) \) and that \( \tilde{V}_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n \tilde{W}_k \) for sets \( \tilde{W}_k \in O(\Omega_0) \) admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to \( L \). Then the conclusions of Theorem 4.16 hold for \( s = S \) and \( \tilde{L}_{\Omega_0} \) instead of \( L \).

5 Semilinear parabolic problems on non-cylindrical domains

We now use the results on linear problem (4.14) to solve a certain class of semilinear equations containing, e.g., the logistic equation with diffusion, see Section 6.1. To that purpose, let \( L \) satisfy (H2) for \( \Omega_0 = \Omega \times [S,T] \) and finite \( S < T \) and let \( \tilde{V}_0 \in O(\Omega_0) \) satisfy \( V(t) \neq \emptyset \) for \( t \in J_0 = [S,T] \). We also assume that \( \tilde{L}_{\Omega_0} \) is a generator on \( X_0 = C_0(\tilde{V}_0) \), that is, \( \tilde{V}_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n \tilde{W}_k \) for sets \( \tilde{W}_k \in O(\Omega_0) \) admitting a Cauchy barrier relative to \( L \), cf. Theorem 3.25 and Corollary 3.26. Let \( U(t,s), S < s < t < T \), be the variable space propagator corresponding to \( e^{tL_{\Omega_0}} \), see Theorem 4.9. For the nonlinearity we require

\[
\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is locally Lipschitz, } \Phi(0) = 0, \text{ and } \Phi(\xi) < 0 \text{ for } \xi > 1. \tag{5.1}
\]

In particular, these conditions are satisfied by \( \Phi(\xi) = c\xi(1 - \xi) \) for \( c > 0 \). For \( v \in C(\tilde{V}_0) \) we define \( \mathcal{N}v \in C(\tilde{V}_0) \) by setting

\[
(\mathcal{N}v)(x) = \Phi(\text{Re } v(x)) + i\Phi(\text{Im } v(x))
\]

for \( x \in \tilde{V}_0 \). We are looking for solutions \( u \in D(\tilde{L}, \tilde{V}_0) \cap C(\tilde{V}_0) \) of the semilinear problem

\[
\begin{cases}
(\tilde{L} + \mathcal{N})u = 0 & \text{on } \tilde{V}_0, \\
u(-,s) = f & \text{on } \tilde{V}(s), \\
u|_{\Gamma^s} = 0,
\end{cases}
\tag{5.2}
\]

where \( f \in C_0(V(s)) \) and \( S < s < T \). It will be necessary to consider (5.2) also on time intervals \( J_0' = [S,T'] \) for \( S < T' < T \). To this end, let \( \Omega_0 = \Omega \times J_0' \) and \( W_{00}' = W_0 \setminus W_0'(T') \) for \( W_0' \in O(\Omega_0) \). Notice that \( \tilde{\partial}(W_0')^* \subset \tilde{\partial}W_0' \) if \( W_0' = W_0 \cap \tilde{\Omega}_0 \) for some \( W_0 \in O(\Omega_0) \). We define

\[
D(\tilde{L}', \tilde{W}_0') = \{ F \in C(\tilde{W}_0') : F|W_{00}' \in D(\tilde{L}, \tilde{W}_{00}) \}, \quad \tilde{L}'F = G \text{ on } W_{00}' \text{ for } G \in C(\tilde{W}_0'),
\]

\[
\tilde{L}'F = G \quad \text{on } \tilde{W}_0' \in O(\Omega_0').
\]
Proof. We let \( L' = \bar{L} \) if \( T' = T \). Clearly, \( L' \) is a real, parabolic, local operator on \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega_b) \). Corollary 2.21 implies the local dissipativity of \( L' \). It is straightforward to see that \( L' \) is locally closed u.e.. Further, take \( G \in C_0(\mathcal{V}_0') \) and extend it to a function \( G_1 \in C_0(\mathcal{V}_0) \). Then there exists \( F_1 = (1-L\mathcal{V}_0)^{-1}G_1 \). Thus, \( F = F_1|\mathcal{V}_0' \in D(L', \mathcal{V}_0') \cap C_0(\mathcal{V}_0') \) and \( (1-L')F = G \). Given a function \( F \in C_0(\mathcal{V}_0') \), we can approximate an extension \( F_1 \) on \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) in \( C_0(\mathcal{V}_0) \) by \( G_n \in D(\bar{L}\mathcal{V}_0) \) so that \( D(\bar{L}\mathcal{V}_0) \) is dense. As a result, \( \bar{L}\mathcal{V}_0 \) generates a semigroup \( Q' \) on \( C_0(\mathcal{V}_0') \). Due to the uniqueness of solutions of (4.13), \( Q' \) is given by the restriction of \( U(t, s) \) to the index set \( \Delta_{[S, T']} \).

We further need the Banach space (with sup-norm)

\[
X_s = X_s(T') = \{ v \in C((\mathcal{V}_0')^*) : v|\Gamma^* = 0 \}, \quad S < s < T' \leq T,
\]

which is canonically identified with the subspace \( X_s(T')^* \) of \( C(\Omega^* \times [s, T']) \) consisting of continuous functions vanishing off \( \mathcal{V}_0' \cup \mathcal{V}(s) \). An application of Theorem 4.14 with \( F = -Nu \in X_s(T') \) shows that \( u \) solves (5.2) if and only if \( u \) satisfies the integral equation

\[
u^+(t) = U^+(t, s)f^+ + \int_s^t U^+(t, \tau)(Nu)^+(\tau) \, d\tau \quad (5.3)
\]

in \( X_s(T')^* \). Motivated by this fact, we define a mapping \( S^+ \) on \( X_s(T')^* \) by setting

\[
(S^+v^+)(t) = \int_s^t U^+(t, \tau)(Nv)^+(\tau) \, d\tau
\]

for \( v^+ \in X_s(T')^* \). (Use Lemma 4.7 to see that indeed \( S^+v^+ \in X_s(T')^* \).) We remark that if \( u \in X_s(T) \) and \( v \in X_s(T') \) with \( s < r < T \) satisfy (5.3) on \( [s, r] \) with initial value \( f \) and on \( [r, T'] \) with initial value \( u(r) \), respectively, then the function

\[
w(t) = \begin{cases} u(t), & s \leq t \leq r, \\ v(t), & r \leq t \leq T', \end{cases}
\]

solves (5.3) in the space \( X_s(T')^* \) with initial value \( f \). Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that \( L \) satisfies (H2) on \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega_b) \). Let \( \mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_b) \) be given such that \( \mathcal{V}(t) \neq \emptyset \) for \( t \in J_0 = [S, T] \) and \( \mathcal{V}_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty W_k \) for sets \( W_k \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_b) \) admitting a Cauchy barrier relative to \( L \). Suppose that \( \Phi \) satisfies (5.1). Let \( f \in C_0(\mathcal{V}(s)) \) for \( S < s < T \) and \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \). Then there is a unique (global) solution \( u \in D(\bar{L}, \mathcal{V}_0') \cap C(\mathcal{V}_0') \) of (5.2) with \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \).

**Proof.** Since \( \bar{L}\mathcal{V}_0 \) generates a Feller semigroup \( Q' \), we have \( 0 \leq U(t, s)f \leq 1 \) for the propagator \( U(t, s) \) given by Theorem 4.9 (use Lemma 4.4). Set

\[
w^+(x, t) = (U^+(t, s)f^+)(x, t) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \overline{\mathcal{V}_0'}.
\]
Then, $0 \leq w^* \leq 1$. By (5.3), the solution $u$ is given by $(1 - S^*)u^* = w^*$. We first solve this equation for $T' \in [s, T]$ sufficiently close to $s$.

1. Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. For $v \in X_s(T)$ with $-\delta \leq v \leq 1 + \delta$ we have

$$\|Nv\| \leq M_\delta := \sup_{-\delta \leq \xi \leq 1 + \delta} |\Phi(\xi)|.$$ 

Consequently, $\|S^* v^*\| \leq (T' - s)M_\delta$ for $s < T'$ and $v \in Y_s(T') := \{v \in X_s(T') : -\delta \leq v \leq 1 + \delta\}$. In particular,

$$\|S^* v^*\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for} \quad v \in Y_s(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad s < T_1 \leq s + \frac{\delta}{M_\delta}. \quad (5.4)$$

By (5.1), there is a constant $M_\delta$ such that

$$|\Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\eta)| \leq M_\delta |\xi - \eta| \quad \text{for} \quad \xi, \eta \in [-\delta, 1 + \delta].$$

For $v, w \in Y_s(T_2)$ and $s < T_2 \leq T_1$, we estimate

$$\|S^* v^* - S^* w^*\| \leq (T_2 - s) \sup_{s \leq \tau \leq T_2} \|((Nv)^* - (Nw)^*)(\tau)\| \leq (T_2 - s)M_\delta \|v^* - w^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v^* - w^*\| \quad (5.5)$$

if we choose $d := T_2 - s \leq (2M_\delta)^{-1}$. Estimates (5.4) and (5.5) imply that $S^*$ is a strict contraction on $Y_s(T_2)$. Therefore there exists a unique function $u \in Y_s(T_2)$ such that $u^* = w^* + S^* u^*$.

2. Let $u, v \in X_s(T')$ solve (5.2) on $[s, T']$ for some $s < s < T' \leq T$. Then an application of the comparison principle Theorem 2.32 to $L'$ shows that $u = v$. Thus local and global solutions of (5.2) and (5.3) are unique.

3. We will now show that the local solution $u$ of (5.3) constructed in part (1) satisfies $0 \leq u(x, t) \leq 1$ for $s \leq t \leq T_2$. Having established this fact, we can apply part (1) to overlapping time intervals $I_k = J_0 \cap [s + kd, s + (k + 1)d]$ with fixed length $d > 0$. As observed above, this gives a solution $v$ of (5.3) on $J_0$, and hence a solution of (5.2).

First, notice that $w = 0$ is a solution of (5.2) for $f = 0$ since $\Phi(0) = 0$. So Theorem 2.32 implies that $u$ is positive. Second, suppose that $u(x) > 1$ for some $x = (x, t) \in V_s$ with $t \leq T_2$. Since $u$ is positive on $V_s$ and smaller than 1 on $\partial V_s$, $|u|$ attains its maximum on $V_s$ which strictly larger than 1. Hence, the local dissipativity of $L'$ yields a point $x_0 \in V_s$ such that $u(x_0) = \max_{V_s} |u|$ and

$$0 \geq (L' u)(x_0) u(x_0) = -\Phi(u(x_0)) u(x_0) > 0$$

because $\Phi(u(x_0)) < 0$. This contradiction proves $u \leq 1$. \hfill \square

**Remark 5.2.** The above proof shows that Theorem 5.1 remains valid for $T = +\infty$ provided that $L$ and $U(t, s)$ are defined for $J = [s, \infty[.$
Remark 5.3. Using the same arguments one can treat nonlinearities given by continuous functions \( \Phi : \Omega^* \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfying \( \Phi(x, 0) = 0 \) and \( \Phi(x, \xi) < 0 \) for \( x \in \Omega \), \( 1 < \xi < 1 + \delta' \), a constant \( \delta' > 0 \), and \( |\Phi(x, \xi) - \Phi(x, \eta)| \leq k |\xi - \eta| \) for \( \xi, \eta \in [-a, a] \), \( x \in \Omega \) and a constant \( k \) depending on \( a \). This holds, e.g., if \( \Phi(x, \xi) = m(x) \xi (1 - \xi) \) for \( m \in C(\Omega^*; \mathbb{R}) \) and \( m > 0 \) on \( \Omega \).

6 Applications

6.1 Parabolic partial differential equations of second order

We consider the partial differential operator

\[
L(x, D) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{N} a_{kl}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + c(x,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\]

(6.1)

on \( \Omega = \Omega \times [S, T] \) for an open subset \( \Omega \) of \( \mathbb{R}^N \). We assume that the coefficients \( a_{kl} \), \( b_k \), and \( c \leq 0 \) are real-valued, continuous, and elliptic; that is,

\[
\sum_{k,l=1}^{N} a_{kl}(x,t) y_k y_l > 0
\]

(6.2)

for all \( y \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( (x,t) \in \Omega \). Notice that on every compact subset \( K \) of \( \Omega \) the coefficients are bounded, uniformly continuous, and satisfy

\[
\sum_{k,l=1}^{N} a_{kl}(x,t) y_k y_l \geq \mu_K |y|^2
\]

(6.3)

for a constant \( \mu_K > 0 \) and \( (x,t) \in K \). However, the coefficients may be singular or degenerate at the boundary. Set \( \Omega_{00} = \Omega \times [S, T] \) and \( V_{00} = V \cap \Omega_{00} \) for \( V \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \).

As in [40], [48], and [49], we define

\[
D(L, V) = \{ F \in C(V) \cap W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V) : L(x, D)F = G \text{ a.e. on } V_{00} \text{ for } G \in C(V) \},
\]

\[
D(L, V_0) = \{ F \in C(V_0) \cap W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V_0) : L(x, D)F = G \text{ a.e. on } V_{00} \text{ for } G \in C(V_0) \},
\]

\[
D(L, V) = \{ F \in C(V_0) : F|V_0 \in D(L, V_0), \text{ extends continuously to } V(S) \text{ by } 0 \},
\]

\[
\{ F \in C(V_0) : F|V_0 \in D(L, V_0), \text{ extends continuously to } V(S) \text{ by } 0 \}
\]

(6.4)

Here, \( p > N + 2 \) is fixed and \( W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V_0) \) denotes the space of functions \( F \) such that \( F|W_{00} \) belongs to the Sobolev space

\[
W^{2,1}_{p}(W_{00}) = \{ F \in L^p(W_{00}) : \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k \partial x_l}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in L^p(W_{00}), k, l = 1, \ldots, N \}
\]
for all $W \in \mathcal{O}_c(V_\Omega)$.

It is immediate that $L$ is a local operator on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$, resp. $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $L$ does not depend on $p > N + 2$. In fact, it suffices to show that if $F \in D(L, V_\Omega)$, then $F|W \in W^{2,1}_q(W')$ for all $q > N + 2$ and for all sets $W \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ of the form

$$W = W \times I$$

with $W \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega)$, $W \times I \subset V_\Omega$ and $\partial W$ is of class $C^3$. (6.5)

(Here the regularity of $\partial W$ is understood in the sense of [24, §IV.4].) For such $F$ and $W$, let $G = LF$ and let $W' \supset W$ and $I' \supset I$ satisfy (6.5) and $a := \inf I' < \inf I$. Take $\varphi \in C^1(T)$ and $\psi \in C^2_0(W')$ with $|\psi W| = 1$, $|\varphi I| = 1$, and $\varphi(a) = \varphi'(a) = 0$. Set $F_1 = \varphi \psi F$ on $W' = W' \times I$. Then,

$$G_1 = LF_1 = \varphi\psi G - \varphi' \psi F + \varphi F \sum_{k=1}^N b_k \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_k} + \varphi \sum_{k,l=1}^N a_{kl} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_k} + F \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \right).$$

By [24, Lemma II.3.3], $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}$ is H"older continuous on $W'$ if $p > N + 2$. Hence, $G_1 \in C(W') \subset L^q(W')$ for each $q > N + 2$. Moreover, $F_1 = 0$ on $\partial W'$. Now, Theorem IV.9.1 of the monograph [24] by O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Ural’ceva yields $F_1 \in W^{2,1}_q(W')$, and so $F \in W^{2,1}_q(W)$.

Further, it is easy to see that $L$ is real, complete, and (standard) parabolic on $\mathcal{O}_c(\Omega_\delta)$ (or $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$). To show that $L$ is locally closed, let $F_n \in D(L, V_\Omega)$, $G_n = LF_n$, and $F_n \to F$, $G_n \to G$ in $C_b(V_\Omega)$. Consider $W$ as in (6.5) and $W' = W' \times I$ as above. By virtue of the local a priori estimate [24, IV(10.12)], we have

$$\|F_n - F_m\|_{W^{2,1}_q(W)} \leq C_1 \|F_n - F_m\|_{L^p(W')} + \|G_n - G_m\|_{L^p(W')}$$

$$\leq C_2 \|F_n - F_m\|_\infty + \|G_n - G_m\|_\infty.$$ 

Hence, $F_n|W$ converges in $W^{2,1}_q(W)$ to $F$. Further,

$$\|LF_n - LF\|_{L^p(W)} \leq C_3 \|F_n - F\|_{W^{2,1}_p(W)}$$

which shows that $LF = G$ a.e. on $W$. As a consequence, $F \in D(L, V_\Omega)$ and $LF = G$. This also implies local closeness of $L$ on $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. In particular, $L = L$ in both cases.

Clearly, $L$ satisfies (OE). We check (LS) and (S2) only for $V_\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$. Extend a given function $F \in C_c(V_\Omega)$ to a fonction $F' \in C_c(V'_{\Omega_0})$ for an open subset $V'_{\Omega_0}$ of $\Omega \times (S,T')$ with $T' > T$ and $V'_{\Omega_0} \cap \Omega_0 = V_\Omega$. Then there are functions $F''_n \in C_c^\infty(V'_{\Omega_0})$ converging to $F'$ in $C_0(V'_{\Omega_0})$. As a result, $D(LV_\Omega)$ is dense in $C_0(V_\Omega)$. Using [24, Lemma II.3.3], one shows that $F_1 = \psi F$ satisfies (LS) for $\psi \in C^2_0(V_\Omega)$ with $\psi = 1$ in a neighbourhood of $x \in V_{\Omega_0}$, cf. (6.6). A similar argument works if $x = (x,T)$. 
On $O(\Omega_0)$, local dissipativity is an immediate consequence of the parabolic maximum principle in $W^{2,1}$ proved in [13, Thm. VII.28]. So Corollary 2.21 yields local dissipativity on $O(\Omega_0)$. On $O(\Omega)$ it is then obvious.

It remains to verify that the part $L_{\Omega_0}$ of $L$ in $C_0(\Omega_0)$ is a generator. Since $L_{\Omega_0}$ is closed, dissipative, and densely defined, we only have to show that the range of $L_{\Omega_0}$ is dense. In fact, an application of Theorem IV.9.1 of [24] yields that $L(\Omega_0)\cap C_0(\Omega_0)$ is contained in the range provided that the coefficients of $L$ are bounded and satisfy (6.3) on $\Omega$ and $\partial\Omega$ belongs to $C^2$, cf. [24, IV.4], or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. More generally, we have checked the assumptions of Theorem 3.27 for suitable $(V_0 \times J_0) \uparrow \Omega_0$. As a consequence, $L_{\Omega_0}$ is a generator if and only if there is a Cauchy barrier for $L$ on $\Omega_0$. (See also [30, 31, 32, 48, 55].) In any case, Theorem 4.15 and 4.24 imply

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $L$ be given as in (6.1) and (6.4) for continuous, real-valued coefficients satisfying $c \leq 0$ and (6.2). Assume that $L_{\Omega_0}$ is a generator on $C_0(\Omega_0)$. Let $V \in O(\Omega)$ with $\hat{V}(t) \neq \emptyset$ for $S \leq t \leq T$. Suppose that $V_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n W_k$ for sets $W_k \in O(\Omega_0)$ admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to $L$. Let $S \leq t < T$ and $f \in C_0(V(s))$. If $S < s < T$, let $F \in C(\Omega_S)$ with $F|\Gamma_S = 0$; if $s = S$, let $F \in C_0(V_0)$. Finally, assume that $\hat{D}_L(S)$ is dense if $s = S$. Then there is a unique function $u \in C(\Omega_T) \cap W^{2,1}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_s)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
L(x,D)u &= F & \text{on } \Omega_s, \\
u(\cdot,s) &= f & \text{on } V(s), \\
u|\Gamma_S &= 0 & \text{if } s = S.
\end{align*}
$$

(6.7)

Further, $u$ is given as in Theorem 4.12 for $s = S$ and as in Theorem 4.14 for $s > S$. If $F = 0$, then $|u| \leq \|f\|$. If $f$ and $-F$ are positive, then $u$ is positive.

We point out that, in the interior, we have the same regularity as one obtains for regular, cylindrical $V$ and bounded, uniformly elliptic coefficients, cf. [24, §IV.9]. Notice that $p \in ]N + 2, \infty[ \text{ can be chosen arbitrarily large. If the coefficients are Hölder continuous, bounded, and uniformly elliptic, problem (6.7) was solved on non-cylindrical domains in [20, Chap. 3] or [58, Chap. VI], see also e.g. [4, 7, 25, 26]. Further, Theorem 5.1 provides a result on the existence and uniqueness of global solutions for a large class of semilinear equations on non-cylindrical domains, containing the logistic equation with diffusion, i.e., $\Phi(t) = ct(1-t)$ for $c > 0$. Let us mention [5], [19], and [23] among the vast literature on this topic.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let $L$ be given as in (6.1) and (6.4) for continuous, real-valued coefficients satisfying $c \leq 0$ and (6.2). Assume that $L_{\Omega_0}$ is a generator on $C_0(\Omega_0)$. Suppose that $V_0 \in O(\Omega)$ satisfies $V(t) \neq \emptyset$ for $S < t \leq T$ and $V_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n W_k$ for sets $W_k \in O(\Omega_0)$ admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to $L$. Let $S < s < T$ and $f \in C_0(V(s))$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$. Finally, assume that $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz, $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\Phi(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi > 1$ (or, more generally, let $\Phi$ satisfy the conditions of
Remark 5.3). Then there is a unique function \( u \in C(\overline{V}) \cap W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V) \) such that
\[
\begin{cases}
L(x, D)u + \Phi \circ u = 0 & \text{on } V_s, \\
u(\cdot, s) = f & \text{on } V(s), \\
u|_{\Gamma_s} = 0.
\end{cases}
\] (6.8)

Further, \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \) and \( u \) is given by (5.3).

The next result is a refinement of Theorem 3.27 which we need below.

**Theorem 6.3.** Let \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0) \) and \( L \) be given as in (6.1) and (6.4) for continuous, real-valued coefficients satisfying \( c \leq 0 \) and (6.2). Assume there is a compact subset \( K \) of \( V \) and a function \( H \in W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V \setminus K) \) for some \( p > N + 1 \) such that \( H > 0 \) and \( L(x, D)H(x) \leq H(x) \) (a.e.) on \( V \setminus K \) and \( H \) extends continuously by 0 on \( \partial V^s \). If there are sets \( \overline{V}_n \in \mathcal{O}_c(V_0) \) such that \( \overline{V}_n \uparrow V \) and \( L_{\overline{V}_n} \) is a generator on \( C_0(V_0) \), then \( L_V \) is a generator on \( C_0(V) \).

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.27. Let \( 0 \leq G \in C_0(V) \) and
\[
(supp G \cup K) \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V.
\]
By the assumption, there are \( 0 \leq F_n \in D(L_{\overline{V}_n}) \) such that \((1 - L)F_n = G \) on \( V_n \). Set
\[
H_1 = ||G|| (inf_{\overline{V}_n} H)^{-1} H \quad \text{on } V \setminus K.
\]
Thus, \( 0 \leq F_n \leq H_1 \) on \( \partial(\overline{V}_n \setminus V) = \partial \overline{V}_n \cup \partial V \). Suppose that the set
\[
W_n = \{ \xi \in \overline{V}_n \setminus V : H_1(\xi) - F_n(\xi) < 0 \}
\]
is not empty. Then \( H_1 - F_n \in C_0(W_n) \) and \( H_1 - F_n \) attains a negative minimum \( \xi_0 \) on \( W_n \). Hence, on a cylindrical neighbourhood \( U \in \mathcal{O}_c(W_n) \) of \( \xi_0 \) we have
\[
\text{ess lim inf}_{\xi \to \xi_0} L(x, D)(H_1(\xi) - F_n(\xi)) \geq 0
\]
due to [13, Thm. VII.28]. On the other hand,
\[
0 \geq (L(x, D) - 1)(H_1(\xi) - F_n(\xi)) \geq L(x, D)(H_1(\xi) - F_n(\xi)) + \delta
\]
a.e. on \( U \) for a constant \( \delta > 0 \). This contradiction yields \( H_1 \geq F_n \) on \( V_n \). The assertion now follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.27.

In the remainder of the section, we construct Cauchy barriers for the local operator \( L \) given as in (6.1) and (6.4) (and for variants of \( L \)). For simplicity, we assume (unless explicitly stated) that
\[
V \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]) \text{ with } V(t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ } a_{kl}, b_k, c \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T], \mathbb{R}), \text{ } c \leq 0, \text{ and (6.3) holds on } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T].
\] (6.9)

We start with the regular case, where we suppose that
\[ \partial \mathcal{V} \text{ is given by } x_i = \phi_k(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n, t) \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } \mathcal{V} \text{ is locally on one side of } \partial \mathcal{V}. \]

Notice that in this case no tangent plane of \( \partial \mathcal{V} \) is given by \( t = \text{const} \). Moreover, the set \( V(t) \) has the \( C^2 \)-boundary \( \partial V(t) = (\partial \mathcal{V})(t) \). Using (the proof of) Lemma 1 in the Appendix of [21], we see that the distance function \( d(x) = d(x, \partial V(t)) \) belongs to \( C^2_b(D) \) for a set \( D = \{ x \in V : d(x) < d_0 \} \) and a suitable constant \( d_0 > 0 \). Moreover, \[ \| \nabla_x d(x) \| = 1. \]

**Proposition 6.4.** Assume that (6.9) holds and that \( \partial \mathcal{V} \) satisfies (R). Then \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) possesses a Cauchy barrier w.r.t. \( L \). In particular, the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 and 6.2 hold for finite intersections of sets \( \mathcal{V}_k \) satisfying (R).

**Proof.** We let \( 0 < \varepsilon < 2 \mu \| (L-c)d \|^{-1}_{C^1(W)}, \) \( \phi(\xi) = \varepsilon \xi - \xi^2, \) and \( W_1 = \{ x \in W : d(x) < \varepsilon/2 \} , \) where \( \mu > 0 \) is the ellipticity constant of \( a_{kl} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T] \). Take \( \varphi \in C^1[0, T] \) with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) and \( \varphi' > 0 \). We define \( F(x) = \varphi(t) \phi(d(x)) \) for \( x \in W_1 \). Clearly, \( 0 < F \in D(L, (W_1)) \) and \( F(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \partial \mathcal{V}_0 \). We further compute (with obvious notation)

\[
LF(x) = -\varphi'(t) \phi(d(x)) - \varphi(t) (\varepsilon - 2d(x)) \partial_t d(x) + \varphi(t) c(x) d(x) + \varphi(t) (\varepsilon - 2d(x)) \cdot \left( \sum_k b_k(x) \partial_k d(x) + \sum_k a_{kl}(x) \partial_k d(x) \partial_l d(x) \right) - 2\varphi(t) \sum_k a_{kl}(x) \partial_k d(x) \partial_l d(x) \\
\leq \varphi(t) \left( \varepsilon \| (L-c)d \|^{-1}_{C^1(W)} - 2\mu \| \nabla_x d(x) \|^2 \right) \leq 0.
\]

The second assertion is then clear.

We point out that this result applies to a variety of Lipschitz domains. Clearly, the above proof extends to suitable unbounded \( V \).

The following propositions are concerned with degenerate coefficients, compare e.g. [12], [13], and the references therein. The first one is a partial extension of [14] and [29] to the non-autonomous situation. For \( A(x, D) = L(x, D) + \frac{d}{\partial t} \) and \( 0 \leq p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]) \), we define the local operator \( L^p \) induced by \( p(x)A(x, D) - \frac{d}{\partial t} \) as in (6.1) and (6.4).

**Proposition 6.5.** Assume that (6.9) holds and that \( \partial \mathcal{V} \) satisfies (R). Let \( \frac{d}{\partial t} d(x) \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]) \) with \( p > 0 \) on \( V \). Then \( \mathcal{V}_0 \) possesses a Cauchy barrier w.r.t. \( L^p \) and the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 and 6.2 hold for \( L^p \) and \( \mathcal{V}_0 \).

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 6.4 and define (for a possibly smaller \( \varepsilon > 0 \)) the function \( F(x) = \varphi(t) (\varepsilon d(x) - d(x)^2) \) for \( x \in W_1 \subset V \), where \( \overline{V} \setminus W_1 \subset V \), \( 0 < F \in C^2_b(W_1) \), \( F(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \partial \mathcal{V}_0 \), and \( A(x, D)F(x) \leq 0 \). Therefore we obtain

\[
L^p F(x) = -\varphi'(t) (\varepsilon d(x) - d(x)^2) - \varphi(t) (\varepsilon - 2d(x)) \frac{d}{\partial t} d(x) + p(x)A(x, D)F(x) \leq 0.
\]
Further, there exist sets \( V_n \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{V}_0) \) satisfying (R) and \( V_n \uparrow \mathbb{V}_0 \). Extending \( p|V_n \) to a strictly positive, continuous, and bounded function \( p_n \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T] = \Omega \), we see that \( L^p_{\mathbb{V}_n} \) is a generator, compare the discussion before Theorem 6.1. Thus, \( L^p_{\mathbb{V}_n} \) is a generator on \( C_0(V_n) \) by Proposition 6.4 and Theorem 3.27 yields that \( L^p_{\mathbb{V}_n} \) is a generator on \( C_0(\mathbb{V}_0) \). So the second assertion can be shown as Theorem 4.15, 4.24, and 5.1. (Notice that in these results the fact that \( L^p_{\mathbb{V}_n} \) is a generator is only used to derive that \( L^p_{\mathbb{V}_n} \) is generator if there is a Cauchy barrier on \( \mathbb{V}_n \).

We remark that \( \rho_m^p d(x) \geq 0 \) holds in particular for cylindrical domains \( V \). Next, we present two results where the coefficients vanish on irregular parts of the boundary like isolated points or entering faces, see Example 6.8. Here we (partially) generalize [30, §6] and [43, §7] to the nonautonomous case. We point that our growth conditions on the coefficients cannot be weakened in general, see [30, §6] and [43, §7]. First, the irregularity is of a similar form as in the previous proposition.

**Proposition 6.6.** Let (6.9) hold and let \( V \) be the finite intersection of sets \( V_k \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{V}_k) \) satisfying (R). Assume that there are sets \( M = M \times [0, T] \subset W = W \times [0, T] \subset \mathbb{V} \subset V \) with \( W \in \mathcal{O}_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that the coefficients of \( A(x, D) \) do not depend on \( x \) for \( x \in W \) and \( M = \{ x \in W : p_0(x) = 0 \} \) for a function \( 0 \leq p_0 \in C_0(W) \).

Suppose that \( p_0(x) \leq C_K d(x, K)^2 \) for \( x \in W \setminus M \) and all compact subsets \( K \) of \( M \). Moreover, let \( 0 \leq p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]) \) with \( p = 0 \) on \( M \), \( p \geq \delta > 0 \) off \( W \), and \( 0 < p(x) \leq C p_0(x) \) for \( x \in W \setminus M \) and constants \( C, \delta > 0 \). Then \( V \setminus M \) possesses a Cauchy barrier for \( L^p \) and the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 and 6.2 hold for \( L^p \) and \( V \setminus M \).

**Proof.** We may assume that \( \partial W \) is of class \( C^3 \) (in the sense of [59]). Applying Proposition 6.4, Corollary 3.26, and Proposition 3.2 on \( V \setminus W \), we see that it suffices to construct a Cauchy barrier for \( L^p \) near \( M \) in order to find a Cauchy barrier on \( V \setminus M \). Let \( A(x, D) = A(x, D) \) on \( W \), and define the local operator \( A \) by
\[
\begin{align*}
D(A, U) &= \{ f \in W^2_{\text{loc}}(U) : A(x, D)f = g \quad \text{a.e. for } g \in C(U) \}, \\
Af &= g \quad \text{on } U \in \mathcal{O}(W),
\end{align*}
\]
for some \( q > N \), see [46]. Then \( A_W \) generates a contraction semigroup on \( C_0(W) \) by [46] or [59, Thm. 5]. So Theorem 6.4 and 6.3 of [30] show the existence of a Cauchy barrier \( h \) for \( p_0 A \) on \( W \setminus M \) which is defined on some open set \( U \subset W \setminus M \) and satisfies \( p_0 A h \leq h \). Take \( \varphi \in C^1[0, T] \) with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) and \( \varphi' > 0 \). Set \( F(x, t) = \varphi(t) h(x) \) for \( (x, t) \in U \times [0, T] = U \). Clearly, \( 0 < F \in D(L^p, U_0) \), \( F(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( t \rightarrow 0 \) or \( x \rightarrow M \), and
\[
L^p F(x, t) = -\varphi'(t) h(x) + \varphi(t) \frac{p(x, t)}{p_0(x)} p_0(x) h(x) \leq CF(x, t)
\]
on \( U_0 \). The second assertion can be established as in Proposition 6.5.  \( \square \)
Similarly one can treat the situation that $\Omega$ intersects with a cylindrical, closed and open subset of $\partial V$. Next, we study a more general degenerate operator under some additional assumptions on the irregular part of the boundary. To that purpose we weaken the assumptions on the coefficients made before Proposition 6.4. The function $h$ used below will be a suitable modification of the distance function $d$ in the inequality 

\[
\lim_{\bar{z} \to \Gamma_1} h(\bar{z}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(\bar{z}) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_k}(\bar{z}) \right| \leq C_1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_k \partial x_l}(\bar{z}) \right| \leq \frac{C_2}{h(\bar{z})}
\]

for (a.e.) $x \in V_1$, $k, l = 1, \ldots, N$, and constants $C_k > 0$. Moreover, we suppose that $a_{kl}, b_k, c \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T])$, (6.3) holds on $(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]) \setminus V_1$, and

\[
|b_k(\bar{z})| \leq C_3 h(\bar{z}), \quad |a_{kl}(\bar{z})| \leq C_4 h(\bar{z})^2, \quad \sum_{kl} a_{kl}(\bar{z}) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_k}(\bar{z}) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_l}(\bar{z}) \geq \alpha h(\bar{z})^2
\]

for $\bar{z} \in V_1$, $k, l = 1, \ldots, N$, and constants $\alpha, C_k > 0$. Then the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 and 6.2 hold for finite intersections of sets $V_k$ of the above type if $\Gamma_1$ is a closed and open subset of all $\partial V_k$ and there are relatively compact sets $V_k^\infty \setminus V_k$ satisfying (R).

**Proof.** It suffices to construct a Cauchy barrier near $\Gamma_1$ by Proposition 6.4. Set $\beta = NC_1C_3 + N^2C_2C_4 - \alpha$. Fix $\lambda \in [0, \alpha + \beta]$. Then there is a root $\kappa \in [0,1]$ of the polynomial $\alpha \lambda^2 + \beta \lambda - \lambda$. Set $F(\bar{z}) = \varphi(t) h(\bar{z})^\kappa$ on $V_1$, where $\varphi \in C^1([0,T])$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi' > 0$. Then $0 < F \in W^{1,\infty}(V_1)$, $F(\bar{z}) \to 0$ as $\bar{z} \to \Gamma_1$ or $t \to 0$, and

\[
LF(\bar{z}) = -\varphi'(t) h(\bar{z})^\kappa - \kappa \varphi(t) h(\bar{z})^{\kappa-1} \partial_t h(\bar{z}) + \varphi(t) c(\bar{z}) h(\bar{z})^\kappa
+ \kappa \varphi(t) h(\bar{z})^{\kappa-1} \sum_k b_k(\bar{z}) \partial k h(\bar{z}) + \kappa \varphi(t) h(\bar{z})^{\kappa-1} \sum_{kl} a_{kl}(\bar{z}) \partial k \partial l h(\bar{z})
+ \kappa(\kappa - 1) \varphi(t) h(\bar{z})^{\kappa-2} \sum_{kl} a_{kl}(\bar{z}) \partial k h(\bar{z}) \partial l h(\bar{z})
\leq \varphi(t) h(\bar{z})^\kappa (\kappa NC_1C_3 + \kappa N^2C_2C_4 + \kappa(\kappa - 1)\alpha) = \lambda F(\bar{z})
\]
a.e. on $(V_1)_0$. Now the assertion follows as in Proposition 6.5 using Theorem 6.3.

Let us present two examples for the function $h$ used in Proposition 6.7. In these examples the last assumption in Proposition 6.7 can easily be verified.

**Example 6.8.**

1. $V_1 = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T] : 0 < |x| < \delta\}$, $\Gamma_1 = \{0\} \times [0,T]$, and $h(x,t) = |x|$. 
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2. \( V = \{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T] : 0 < |x| < R(t), x \notin H_j \} \) for \( H_j = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 = \cdots = x_j = 0, x_{N-j} \geq 0 \} \), and \( 0 < R \in C^1[0,T] \) with \( R' \geq 0 \);
\( \Gamma_1 = \partial V \), and
\[ h(x,t) = \begin{cases} (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_j^2)^{1/2}(R(t)^2 - |x|^2), & x \in V, x_N > 0, \\ (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_j^2 + x_{N-j}^2)^{1/2}(R(t)^2 - |x|^2), & x \in V, x_N \leq 0. \end{cases} \]

6.2 Diffusion problems on networks

The theory of local operators also provides a convenient framework to study diffusion problems on ramified spaces or networks. Using these methods the autonomous case was investigated in [34, 35, 37], see also [3], [45], and the references therein. Here we indicate how to extend these results to time dependent coefficients and non-cylindrical domains in space-time. We refer to [2] for a different approach. For simplicity, we concentrate on one-dimensional networks \( \Omega \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). These are given by at most countably many simple \( C^2 \)-curves connected by a set of ‘ramification nodes’.

More precisely, let \( I \) be a finite or countable index set. Consider simple \( C^2 \)-curves \( \phi_i : [0,l_i] \to \mathbb{R}^N \), \( 0 < l_i < \infty \), \( i \in I \). Set \( \Omega_i = \phi_i(0,l_i) \) and \( \Omega_i^* = \phi_i[0,l_i] \) for \( i \in I \). Further, let \( E_i = \{ N^1_i, N^2_i \} \) and \( N(\Omega) = \{ N^j_i : i \in I, j = 1,2 \} \) denote the sets of the end points or nodes. The network is then determined by the branches \( \Omega_i \) and a subset \( N_e(\Omega) \) of \( N(\Omega) \) containing the exterior nodes. The set of ramification nodes \( N_r(\Omega) \) is the complement of \( N_e(\Omega) \) in \( N(\Omega) \). Finally, define the sets
\[ \Omega' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \Omega_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega = \Omega' \setminus N_e(\Omega) \]
endowed with the relative topology induced by \( \mathbb{R}^N \). We call \( \Omega \) a network if the following conditions hold:

1. \( \Omega \) is a connected subset of \( \mathbb{R}^N \);
2. \( \Omega_i^* \cap \Omega_j^* \subset E_i \cap E_j \) for \( i \neq j \in I \) and for each \( i \in I \) we have \( \Omega_i^* \cap \Omega_j^* \neq \emptyset \) for at most finitely many \( j \in I \);
3. if \( N \in E_i \) for exactly one \( i \in I \), then \( N \in N_e(\Omega) \);
4. for each compact \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) we have \( K \cap \Omega_i^* \neq \emptyset \) for at most finitely many \( i \in I \).

In other words, a branch can only be joined at the end points with at most finitely many other branches and the network is connected and locally finite. Clearly, \( \Omega \) is locally compact with respect to the relative topology induced by \( \mathbb{R}^N \).
Further, for $J = [S, T]$ we set $\Omega = \Omega \times J$ and $\Omega(t) = \Omega(t) \times J$, and analogously for $J_0 = [S, T]$. Define $V_t = \phi_t^{-1}(V \cap \Omega_t) \subset (0, t)$ and $V_t = \{(s, t) \in (0, t) \times J : (\phi_t(s), t) \in V \cap \Omega_t \}$ for $V \subset \Omega$, $\Omega \subset \Omega$, and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. For a function $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with $V_0 \neq 0$, let $F_t(s, t) = F(\phi_t(s), t)$ for $(s, t) \in V$. Notice that $F$ is continuous if and only if all the functions $F_t$ are continuous up to the ramification nodes contained in $V$ and coincide on those nodes.

The network is endowed with the analytical structure induced by the arc length $s_i$ on $\Omega_i$. Moreover, if $f$ is differentiable near a ramification node $N$, then the derivative $d/dv_{N_i}$ at $N$ ‘along’ $\Omega_i$ is defined by the derivation with respect to the arc length $s_{N_i}$ on $\Omega_i$, starting at $N$ and evaluated at $s_{N_i} = 0$. We say that $F \in W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V)$ for $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ if $F_i \in W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(\partial_0)$ for all subsets $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ of $V$ and $i \in I$ with $W_i \neq \emptyset$, where $(\partial_0) = (\partial_0 \setminus (\partial_0 \cup \partial_0))$. For $p > 3$ and $F \in W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V)$ the function $F(i, t)$ is continuously differentiable on $\Omega_i$ near a ramification node $(N, t) \in V$ with $t \in J$ due to [24, Lemma II.3.3].

The diffusion on $\Omega$ is described by local operators $L_i$ with coefficients $a_i, b_i, c_i \in C^0([0, t_i] \times J)$ for $i \in I$ satisfying $c_i \leq 0$ and $a_i \geq \delta_i > 0$ for constants $\delta_i$. Moreover we assume that the functions $c_i$ coincide on ramification nodes. Then $L_i, i \in I$, is defined by

\[ (L_i F_i)(s, t) = a_i(s, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} F_i(s, t) + b_i(s, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F_i(s, t) + c_i(s, t) F_i(s, t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_i(s, t) \]

on $(\partial_0)$ for $F \in W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V)$, a fixed $p > 3$, and $V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. These local operators are connected at the ramification nodes $N$ via the operators

\[ (B_N F)(t) = \sum_{i : N \in E_i, (N, t) \in V} d_{N_i}(t) \frac{d}{dt_{N_i}} F_i(s, t), \quad t \in J_0, \quad (6.10) \]

where $d_{N_i} : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable for $N \in E_i \cap N_i(\Omega)$. Finally, for a fixed $p > 3$ we define

\[ D(L, V) = \{ F \in W^{2,1}_{p,loc}(V) \cap C(V) : \exists G \in C(V) \text{ with } G(S) = 0 \text{ if } S \in U \}, \]

\[ L_i F_i = G, \text{ a.e. on } (\partial_0), B_N F(t) = 0 \text{ for } (N, t) \in V_0, N \in N_i(\Omega) \}, \]

\[ LF = G \quad \text{on } V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), (\mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)). \]

(6.11)

We remark that the condition $B_N F = 0$ corresponds to Kirchhoff’s law. It is easy to see that $L$ is a real, standard parabolic (parabolic), complete, local operator satisfying (OE). Let $V_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ and $(x, t) \in V$. Clearly, (S1) holds if $x$ is not a node. If $x \in N_i(\Omega)$, then we can find neighbourhoods $U \subset U \subset W$ of $x$ in $V(t)$ containing no other nodes and a smooth function $f$ compactly supported in $W$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $f = 1$ on $U$. Choose $\varphi \in C^0(I)$ with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi(t) = 1$. Then $F = \varphi f \in D(L, V)$ since the functions $c_i$ coincide at $(N, s)$, and so $F$ satisfies (S1). The condition (LS) can be verified similarly on $\Omega_0$. We further have
Lemma 6.9. In addition to the above assumptions, assume that

\[ \text{either } d_{N_i}(t) > 0 \text{ or } d_{N_i}(t) < 0 \text{ for all } i \in I. \] \hfill (6.12)

Then the local operator \( L \) given by (6.11) is locally closed, locally dissipative, and does not depend on \( p > 3 \).

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to consider \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_i) \). First, let \( F^n \to F \) and \( LF^n \to G \) in \( C_b(V) \) for \( F^n \in D(L, V) \). The results of the preceding section yield \( F_i \in W^{2,1}_{p,\text{loc}}(V_i) \) and \( L_iF_i = G_i \) on \( V_i \). Further, if \((N, t) \in V\) for a ramification node \( N \), then there are \( W, W' \in \mathcal{O}_r(V(t)) \) containing no other node and open subintervals \( I, I' \) of \( J_0 \) such that

\[ (N, t) \in W \subset W' \subset \overline{W'} \subset V, \]

where \( W = W \times I \) and \( W' = W' \times I' \). As in [2, §4.7] one can transform the equation \( LF^n = G^n \) on \( W' \) into a boundary value problem for a parabolic system which is well stated in the sense of [24, Chap. VII] or [58]. Therefore the interior a priori estimate proved in [58, Thm. 5.7] yields

\[ \sum_i \|F^n_i - F^n_i\|_{\mathcal{W}^{2,1}(W_i')} \leq c \sum_i \|G^n_i - G^n_i\|_{L^p(W_i')} + \|F^n_i - F^n_i\|_{L^p(W_i')} \]

since \( B_N F^n = 0 \). Thus, \( F^n \to F \) in \( W^{2,1}_p(W) \) which easily implies \( F \in D(L, V) \) and \( LF = G \) (use [24, Lemma II.3.3] to check \( B_N F(t) = 0 \)).

We apply Corollary 2.10 to verify local dissipativity. So let \( x \in V \) such that \( 0 < F(x) = \sup_{\Omega_i} F \) for a real function \( F \in D(L, V) \). If \( x \in \Omega_i \), then \( L_iF_i(x) \leq 0 \) since \( L_i \) is locally dissipative by the results of the preceding section. If \( x \in N_r(\Omega) \) and \( LF(x) > 0 \), then

\[ \frac{d}{dt} F(\cdot, t) < 0 \]

by [10, Prop. 13.2]. But in combination with (6.12) this violates \( B_N F(t) = 0 \) so that \( LF(x) \leq 0 \) in this case, too. Hence, \( L \) is locally dissipative. The \( p \)-independence of \( L \) follows from the results of Section 6.1. \( \blacksquare \)

Now, the main results of this section are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.15, 4.24, and 5.1. Recall that \( p \in [3, \infty] \) can be chosen arbitrarily large.

Theorem 6.10. Let \( \Omega \) be a \( C^2 \)-network with branches \( \Omega_i^* \), \( i \in I \), ramification nodes \( N \in N_r(\Omega) \) and connecting operators \( B_N \) given by (6.10) with continuously differentiable functions \( d_{N_i} : J \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (6.12). Let \( L \) be defined by (6.11) for coefficients \( a_1, b_1, c_1 \in C^2([0, l_i] \times J) \) satisfying \( c_1 \leq 0 \) and \( a_1 \geq \delta_1 > 0 \), where the functions \( c_i \) coincide on ramification nodes. Assume that \( L_{\Omega_i} \) is a generator on \( C_0(\Omega_i) \). Let \( V \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \) with \( V(t) \neq \emptyset \) for \( S \leq t \leq T \). Suppose that \( V_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n W_k \) for sets \( W_k \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0) \) admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to \( L \). Let \( S \leq s < T \) and \( f \in C_0(V(s)) \). If \( S < s < T \), let \( F \in C(V(s)) \) with \( F|\Gamma_s = 0 \); if \( s = S \), let
Finally, assume that \( \tilde{D}_L(S) \) is dense if \( s = S \). Then there is a unique function \( u \in C(\overline{V}_s) \cap W^{2,1}_{p,\text{loc}}(V_s) \) such that

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_i u_i &= F_i \quad \text{on } (V_s)_i, \ i \in \mathcal{I}, \\
B_N u(t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (N, t) \in V_s, \\
u(\cdot, s) &= f \quad \text{on } V(s), \\
u|\Gamma^s &= 0 \quad (\Gamma = \Gamma^S \text{ if } s = S).
\end{aligned}
\]  

Further, \( u \) is given as in Theorem 4.12 for \( s = S \) and as in Theorem 4.14 for \( s > S \). If \( F = 0 \), then \( |u| \leq ||f|| \). If \( f \) and \(-F\) are positive, then \( u \) is positive.

**Theorem 6.11.** Let \( \Omega \) and \( L \) be given as in Theorem 6.10 Assume that \( L_{\Omega_0} \) is a generator on \( C_0(\Omega_0) \). Suppose that \( V_n \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \) satisfies \( V(t) \neq \emptyset \) for \( S < t \leq T \) and \( V_0 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n W_k \) for sets \( W_k \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \) admitting a Cauchy barrier with respect to \( L \). Let \( S \leq s < T \) and \( f \in C_0(V(s)) \) with \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \). Finally, assume that \( \Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is locally Lipschitz, \( \Phi(0) = 0 \), and \( \Phi(\xi) < 0 \) for \( \xi > 1 \). Then there is a unique function \( u \in C(\overline{V}_s) \cap W^{2,1}_{p,\text{loc}}(V_s) \) such that

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_i u_i + \Phi \circ u_i &= 0 \quad \text{on } (V_s)_i, \ i \in \mathcal{I}, \\
B_N u(t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (N, t) \in V_s, \\
u(\cdot, s) &= f \quad \text{on } V(s), \\
u|\Gamma^s &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

Further, \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \) and \( u \) is given by (5.3).

We remark that for the analogous elliptic problem Cauchy barriers were constructed for finite unions of connected sets \( V \in \mathcal{O}_c(\Omega) \) in [34, Thm. 4.1] and, under a certain growth condition on the coefficients, for arbitrary open subsets \( V \neq \emptyset \) of \( \Omega \) in [37, Thm. 2.1]. The Cauchy problem (6.13) was solved by a reduction to a parabolic system in [2] for Hölder continuous time dependent coefficients on a cylindrical domain.
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