

Runge-Kutta integrators yield optimal regularization schemes

(to appear in *Inverse Problems*)


Andreas Rieder

UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE (TH)

Institut für Wissenschaftliches Rechnen
und Mathematische Modellbildung

und

Institut für Praktische Mathematik



Contents

- Regularization of linear ill-posed problems
- Construction of regularization schemes by filter functions
- Runge-Kutta integrators as optimal regularization schemes
- Numerical experiments
- Generalization
- Outlook

Inverse and ill-posed problems

$T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, X, Y real Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{R}(T)$ non-closed in Y .

For instance: T compact and non-degenerated

Inverse problem: $Tf = g^\varepsilon$

$$g^\varepsilon \in Y : \|Tf^+ - g^\varepsilon\|_Y \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } f^+ \in \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$$

ε noise level

Difficulty: generalized inverse $T^+ : \mathcal{R}(T) \oplus \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp \subset Y \rightarrow X$ is unbounded

Regularization of inverse problems

Regularization: $\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $\mathcal{R}_n : Y \rightarrow X$ continuous, $\mathcal{R}_n 0 = 0$.

If there is a *parameter choice* $\gamma :]0, \infty[\times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ such that we have

$$\sup \{ \|f^+ - \mathcal{R}_{\gamma(\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)} g^\varepsilon\|_X \mid g^\varepsilon \in Y, \|Tf^+ - g^\varepsilon\|_Y \leq \varepsilon \} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

for all $f^+ \in N(T)^\perp$, then $(\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \gamma)$ is a regularization scheme for T^+ .

Optimality: The regularization scheme $(\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \gamma)$ for T^+ is called *(order-)optimal* in $X_{\mu, \varrho} := (T^*T)^{\mu/2} B_\varrho(0)$, $\mu, \varrho > 0$, if

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \{ \|f^+ - \mathcal{R}_{\gamma(\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)} g^\varepsilon\|_X \mid g^\varepsilon \in Y, \|Tf^+ - g^\varepsilon\|_Y \leq \varepsilon, f^+ \in X_{\mu, \varrho} \} \\ \leq C_\mu \varepsilon^{\mu/(\mu+1)} \varrho^{1/(\mu+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Regularization schemes by filter functions I

$\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $F_n : [0, \|T\|^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, piecew. continuous with jump-discontinuities is called **regularizing filter** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(\lambda) = 1/\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda |F_n(\lambda)| \leq C_F \quad \text{for } \lambda \in]0, \|T\|^2].$$

Candidates for regularization operators: $\mathcal{R}_n := F_n(T^*T)T^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$

Morozov's discrepancy principle: Choose $\tau > 1$ and set

$$\gamma(\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon) := \min \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \|T\mathcal{R}_n g^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon\|_Y \leq \tau \varepsilon\}.$$

Remark: $(\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \gamma)$ is a regularization scheme for T^+ .

Regularization schemes by filter functions II

We have that

$$\sup\{|F_n(\lambda)| \mid 0 \leq \lambda \leq \|T\|^2\} = O(t_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ diverges strongly monotone to infinity.

The **qualification** μ_Q of a filter is the largest number such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq \|T\|^2} \lambda^{\mu/2} |1 - \lambda F_n(\lambda)| = O(t_n^{-\mu/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for all } \mu \in]0, \mu_Q].$$

Theorem: $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ as above with $t_n/t_{n+1} \geq \vartheta > 0$ and $\mu_Q > 1$,
 γ discr. principle, $\tau > \sup\{|1 - \lambda F_n(\lambda)| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \lambda \leq \|T\|^2\} \geq 1$.

Then, $(\{\mathcal{R}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{R}_n := F_n(T^*T)T^*$, is an optimal regularization scheme for T^+ in $X_{\mu, \varrho}$ for all $\mu \in]0, \mu_Q - 1]$ and all $\varrho > 0$.

Examples

- Tikhonov-Phillips

$$F_n(\lambda) = 1/(\lambda + t_n^{-1}), \quad \mathcal{R}_n = (T^*T + t_n^{-1}I)^{-1}T^*, \quad \mu_Q = 2$$

- Showalter's or asymptotic regularization

$$u'(t) = T^*(g^\varepsilon - Tu(t)), \quad u(0) = 0,$$

Define $\mathcal{R}_n g^\varepsilon := u(t_n)$.

We have $\mu_Q = \infty$ and $\mathcal{R}_n = F_n(T^*T)T^*$ where

$$F_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \exp(-\lambda t_n)}{\lambda} & : \lambda > 0, \\ t_n & : \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Sneak preview: Conclusion of the talk

Runge-Kutta integrators applied to the evolution equation

$$u'(t) = T^*(g^\varepsilon - Tu(t)), \quad u(0) = 0,$$

generate optimal regularization schemes in $X_{\mu, \varrho}$ for all $\mu, \varrho > 0$, when stopped by the discrepancy principle ($\mu_Q = \infty$).

Runge-Kutta integrators I

$\Psi : [0, \infty[\times W \rightarrow W$, W Banach space, $w_0 \in W$

$$w'(t) = \Psi(t, w(t)), \quad t > 0, \quad w(0) = w_0,$$

Runge-Kutta integrator with s stages and time steps $\{\Delta t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset]0, \infty[:$

$$w_n \approx w(t_n), \quad t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta t_k$$

$$w_n = w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i(t_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, \Delta t_n),$$

$$k_i = \Psi\left(t_{n-1} + c_i \Delta t_n, w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} k_j\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Runge-Kutta integrators II

Compact representation by *Butcher array*

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b^t \end{array} = \begin{array}{c|ccc} c_1 & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_s & a_{s1} & \cdots & a_{ss} \\ \hline & b_1 & \cdots & b_s \end{array}$$

RK is called **explicit** if A is strictly lower triangular, otherwise **implicit**.

RK is called **consistent** if $\sum_{i=1}^s b_i = 1$.

explicit Euler: $\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$

implicit Euler: $\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$

Runge-Kutta integrators seen as regularizations I

Application of RK to Showalter's ODE yields

$$w_n = R(-\Delta t_n T^* T) w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n Q(-\Delta t_n T^* T) T^* g^\varepsilon, \quad w_0 = 0,$$

where

$$R(z) = \frac{\det(I - z A + z \mathbf{1} b^t)}{\det(I - z A)}, \quad Q(z) = \frac{R(z) - 1}{z}.$$

R stability function (polynomial/rational function for explicit/implicit RK)

Lemma: We have that

$$w_n = \mathcal{R}_n g^\varepsilon = F_n(T^* T) T^* g^\varepsilon \quad \text{with} \quad F_n(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \prod_{k=1}^n R(-\Delta t_k \lambda)}{\lambda}.$$

Runge-Kutta integrators seen as regularizations II

Theorem 1: To any consistent RK there is a maximal Δt_{\max} such that for any $0 < \Delta t_{\min} < \Delta t_{\max}$ the family $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $\{\Delta t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [\Delta t_{\min}, \Delta t_{\max}[$ constitutes a filter having infinite qualification.

In other words: RK integrators with sufficiently small step sizes bounded away from zero yield optimal regularization schemes in $X_{\mu, \varrho}$ for all $\mu, \varrho > 0$ when stopped by the discrepancy principle.

Theorem 2: If the consistent RK additionally satisfies

$$|R(-z)| < 1 \quad \text{for all } z > 0,$$

then the above statement holds without a restriction on the magnitude of Δt_{\max} .

Remark: The add. requirement in Th. 2 can only be satisfied by implicit RKs.

Proof: $R(z) = \exp(z) + O(z^2) = 1 + z + O(z^2)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$.

Examples

• **Explicit Euler:** $R(z) = 1 + z$, $\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$, $\Delta t_{\max} = \frac{2}{\|T\|^2}$

$$w_n = (I - \Delta t_n T^* T) w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n T^* g^\varepsilon = w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n T^* (g^\varepsilon - T w_{n-1})$$

This is the well-known **Landweber iteration**.

• **Implicit Euler:** $R(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$, $\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$, no restriction on Δt_{\max}

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= (I + \Delta t_n T^* T)^{-1} w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n (I + \Delta t_n T^* T)^{-1} T^* g^\varepsilon \\ &= (I + \Delta t_n T^* T)^{-1} (w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n T^* g^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

This iteration is also known as **nonstationary iterated Tikhonov-Phillips regularization**.

Selection of the step sizes

Since

$$\|f^+ - \mathcal{R}_n T f^+\|_X \leq C_Q \varrho \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta t_j \right)^{-\mu/2} \quad \text{for any } f^+ \in X_{\mu, \varrho}$$

large step sizes are attractive!

On the other side: If the last time step is too large the discrepancy principle might be over-satisfied, that is,

$$\|T \mathcal{R}_{\gamma(\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)} g^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon\|_Y \ll \tau \varepsilon,$$

and the noise gets amplified.


Therefore, step size control by monitoring of $q := \frac{\|T \mathcal{R}_{\gamma(\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)} g^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon\|_Y}{\tau \varepsilon}$.

Accept $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma(\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)} g^\varepsilon$ as approximate solution when $q \approx 1$.

Otherwise, reduce last time step.

Numerical experiments: Integral equation of the 1. kind

- Discretization by projection method and
- discretization effects are taken into account.



integrator	Δt
RKC5	529
RKC8	1128
impl. Euler	$l^{3/2}$
SDIRK2	$l^{3/2}$

In above experiments:

$q = q_l \geq 0.96$ lead to comparable reconstruction errors.

Generalization to inconsistent RK

Observation: Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid under

$$R(z) = 1 + cz + O(z^2) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } c > 1,$$

that is, RK-integrators may be inconsistent.

Question: Can we use this additional freedom to construct schemes which converge faster than the implicit Euler scheme?

Answer: YES!

Outlook: Non-linear Problems

Asymptotic regularization in the non-linear case $T(f) = g^\varepsilon$ means: solve

$$u'(t) = T'(u(t))^* \left(g^\varepsilon - T(u(t)) \right), \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

and set $\mathcal{R}_n g^\varepsilon := u(t_n)$.

The application of integrators to the above ODE generates a variety of new potential regularization schemes.

Manuscript for download:

www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/~rieder

Inconsistent RK can do better

Desired properties of a synthetic scheme:

1. $|R(-z)| < 1$ for $z > 0$ and $|R(\infty)| < 1$ (no restriction on Δt_{\max}),
2. $R'(0) \gg 1$ (good damping of contributions of small spectral values).

A synthetic scheme: SYNTH

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & & 1 \\ \hline 2 + \theta & 1 + \theta & 1 \\ \hline & 1 + \theta & 1 \end{array}}{\quad} \quad R(z) = \frac{1 + \theta z}{(1 - z)^2}$$

For $\theta \in [0, 2(1 + \sqrt{2})[$ the desired properties are satisfied with

$$R'(0) = 2 + \theta \quad \text{and} \quad |R(\infty)| = 0.$$

The generated iteration reads

$$w_n = (I + \Delta t_n T^* T)^{-2} \left((I - \theta \Delta t_n T^* T) w_{n-1} + \Delta t_n ((2 + \theta)I + \Delta t_n T^* T) T^* y \right).$$

Impl. Euler vs. SYNTH

Theorem 3: To any $\theta \in [0, 1]$ there is a family $\{Q_n\} \subset \mathcal{L}(Y)$ converging point-wise to 0 such that

$$Tw_n^S - g^\varepsilon = Q_n(Tw_n^E - g^\varepsilon).$$

Here, $\{w_n^E\}$ and $\{w_n^S\}$ denote the sequences generated by impl. Euler and SYNTH, respectively, for a joint constant time step Δt .

Consequence:

We expect the discrepancy principle to stop SYNTH earlier than impl. Euler.

CPU-timing: Impl. Euler vs. SYNTH

