

Introduction to tdlc groups

§1 Why (td)lc groups?

§2 Connected vs. td. ~~td.~~

§3 Compact generation and Cayley-Abels graphs

§4 Amability

§ 1 Why (td)lc groups?

$$(X, \tau) \text{ lc} \iff \text{Hausdorff} + \forall x \in X \exists K \in \mathcal{U}_x : K \text{ cpt.} \quad \left. \vphantom{(X, \tau)} \right\} \text{"tdlc"}$$

$$\text{td} \iff \forall x \in X : \underset{\text{con.}}{\exists} x \in Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow Y = \{x\}.$$

Ex. G top. group, G° id. component

$$G \text{ tdlc} \iff G^\circ = \{e\} \text{ \& \ } \exists K \in \mathcal{U}_e : K \text{ cpt.}$$

$$G \text{ discrete} \iff \{e\} \text{ open in } G$$

Why lc groups?

- ① Many examples (but still "manageable")
- ② \exists Haar measure (characterize lc groups) \rightarrow unitary rep., harmonic analysis
- ③ \exists coarse structure (for "locally bounded groups") \rightarrow geometric group theory

Ad ①

(• discrete groups) \leftarrow nothing to be said using topology

- lc fields: $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_p$ & finite ext., $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ & finite ext.

Archeimedean non-Archeimedean

- matrix groups over such fields, e.g. $SL_n(\mathbb{K}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{K}), O(\mathbb{K}^n, q), \dots$
 $\Delta(\mathbb{K}), \dots$
- (X, d) metric space, proper ($\overline{B_r(x)}$ compact)
 \rightarrow (X, d) is an lc group w.r.t. pointwise convergence (+ second countable) s.c.
- $\text{Aut}(T)$, T a tree, is a special case

Theorem (Mal'cev/Solecki)^{'09}

Every lsc group G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{I}_S(X, d)$ for some (X, d) .

- restricted products / projective limits
- $$(G_i) \text{ lcp., } K_i \leq G_i \text{ cpt.} \Rightarrow \prod (G_i, K_i) = \{(g_i) \in \prod G_i \mid g_i \in K_i \text{ for almost all } i\}$$
- ("us-dim") lcp group

d ② $\exists m_G$ left-inv. Rada near a G , unique up to scaling

Ad ③ A left-invariant pseudo metric $d: G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is adapted if it is based on a compact subset and proper.

Lemma

G σ -compact $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ adapted d

Proof: $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots$ cpt.

$$d_n(g, h) := \begin{cases} \|g^{-1}h\|_{S_n} & ; g^{-1}h \in \langle S_n \rangle \\ \infty & , \text{ else} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(g, h) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} j_i d_{j_i}(g_i, g_{i+1}) \mid g = g_0, g_1, \dots, g_k = h \in G \right\}$$

Metric Coarse category: • objects are metric spaces $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y), \dots$

• $f: X \rightarrow Y$ coarsely Lipschitz if

$$d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq \Phi_+(d_X(x_1, x_2)).$$

\hookrightarrow ω -decreasing

• $f, f': X \rightarrow Y$ are close if $\sup_{x \in X} d_Y(f(x), f'(x)) < \infty$.

• morphisms are closures of coarse Lipschitz maps

~~Exercise~~ Exercise d_1, d_2 adapted $\Rightarrow (G, d_1) \cong (G, d_2)$

$\rightarrow [G]_c$ isomorphism class of (G, d) (coarse class of G)

How to find models for $[G]_c$?

• For Lie groups: d left-invariant Riem. metric \rightarrow (diff-geo.)

• If compactly-generated: Cayley graph / Cayley-Alex. graph (see below)

• In general: Via "geometric actions"

$\Rightarrow (X, d_X)$ is bounded $\iff \exists Y \subset X$ bounded: $G \cdot Y = X$.
loc. bounded $\iff K \subset G$ cpt. $\Rightarrow K \cdot x_0 \subset X$ bounded
metrically
proper $\iff \forall R > 0, x_0 \in X: \{g \in G \mid d(g \cdot x_0, x_0) \leq R\}$
geometric \iff all cpt.

Prop. $G \curvearrowright (X, d_X)$ geom. ~~(G, d)~~ ~~(X, d_X)~~
 $\implies d(g|h) := d_X(g \cdot x_0, h \cdot x_0)$ adapted pseudo-metric
 $\implies (G, d) \xrightarrow{\cong} (X, d_X), g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$
 $\implies (X, d_X) \in [G]_c$.

Outlook) G compactly gen. table
 $\implies \exists$ loc. finite regul. syst. $\{g \in [G]_c\}$.
 \rightarrow "coordinates"

(1) G simple alg. group / $k \rightarrow G \curvearrowright$ gen. hildg Sp. space

§2 Connected vs. tdc

G tdc group $\Rightarrow G^\circ$ connected tdc group

\Rightarrow all examples are "essentially algebraic":

Theorem (Gleason-Yanob)

$\forall U \in \mathcal{U}_e^{G^\circ} \exists K \subseteq U: K \trianglelefteq G^\circ$ and G°/K Lie group (\sim matrix groups over \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})

\Rightarrow connected k groups are "understood", what is left?

Lemma

$L := G/G^\circ$ is tdc

Proof: $\pi: G \rightarrow L$ Claim: $\pi^{-1}(L^\circ)$ is connected!

(Indeed, assume $\pi^{-1}(L^\circ) = F_1 \cup F_2$, F_i clopen.

$G^\circ \subset \pi^{-1}(L^\circ) \Rightarrow \forall g \in \pi^{-1}(L^\circ): \underbrace{gG^\circ}_{\text{con.}} \subset F_1 \cup \underbrace{gG^\circ}_{\text{con.}} \subset F_2$

$\Rightarrow L^\circ = \pi(F_1) \cup \pi(F_2) \Rightarrow F_1 = \emptyset \cup F_2 = \emptyset$)

But then $\pi^{-1}(L^\circ) = G^\circ \Rightarrow L^\circ = \{e\}$.

~~How do tdc spaces look like~~



Not every tdc group is essentially algebraic!

There are new exotic examples. (not just weird/syn. spaces)

\rightarrow Goal of this series

How do tdc spaces look like?

Lemma X cpt. $\Rightarrow \forall x \in X: K_x := \bigcap \{U \mid x \in U, U \text{ clopen}\}$
is connected

Proof: Assume $K_x = K_1 \cup K_2$, K_i clopen. $\Rightarrow \exists U_1, U_2$ op: $U_i \supseteq K_i$
 $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$

Set $F := \overline{U_2} \setminus U_2$, then $F \cap K_2 = \emptyset$ and $F \cap K_1 = \emptyset$, hence $F \cap K_x = \emptyset$.

$\Rightarrow \exists U_i$ clopen: $\underbrace{\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i\right)}_{=: V} \cap F = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow V := V \cap U_2^c$ clopen, $V \cap K_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow K_2 = \emptyset$.

Cor. X t.d.c., $x \in X \Rightarrow x$ has basis of compact open subds.

Proof: By lemma, $\{x\} = \bigcap \{U \mid U \subseteq C, x \in U\}$ for any compact sub. $C \ni x$.

Now $\underbrace{(C \setminus \overset{\circ}{C})}_{\text{cpt.}} \cap \{x\} = \emptyset \Rightarrow (C \setminus \overset{\circ}{C}) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = \emptyset$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \stackrel{\text{cl.}}{\subseteq} X$.

Thm. (von Dantzig)

G t.d.c. group $\Rightarrow U_e$ has a basis of compact open subgroups.

Proof: We need

Lemma G top. group, $C \stackrel{(\text{cpt.})}{\subseteq} \overset{(\text{cpt.})}{C'} \subseteq G \Rightarrow \exists V \in U_e : C \cdot V \subseteq C'$

Let $U_e \in U_e$; by the cor. $\exists e \in C \subseteq U$ compact open in G .

By lemma, $\exists V \in U_e : C \cdot V = C$. (Choose $C' := C$)

Set $L := \{g \in G \mid C \cdot g = C\} \supseteq V$. The L is open (since it contains V) and $L \subseteq C$ is closed, hence compact.

Cor. G compact t.d.c. $\Leftrightarrow G \leftarrow \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$, $|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$

Proof: $H < G$ compact-open $\xrightarrow{G \text{ compact}}$ $|G/H| \overset{?}{<} \infty$ ("pro-finite")

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{g \in G/H} gHg^{-1} \triangleleft G$ compact open

$\Rightarrow G$ has basis of normal compact open subgroups (H_i)

$\Rightarrow G \hookrightarrow \prod \underbrace{G/H_i}_{\text{finite prod.}}$

§ 3 Compact generation and Cayley-Abels graphs

Proposition

G σ -cpt. lc. group. TFAE

(i) G is compactly generated

(ii) G acts geometrically on a geodesic metric space (X, d_X)

(iii) G admits an adapted coarsely connected pseudo-metric d .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): $G = \langle S \rangle$ $\xrightarrow{\text{cpt.}}$ $G \curvearrowright \text{Cay}(G, S)$.
 $\hookrightarrow \forall g, h \exists g = g_0 \dots g_n = h \in G : d(g_i, g_{i+1}) < C$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): $G \curvearrowright (X, d_X) \xrightarrow{\text{geo.}}$ $d(g, h) := d_X(g \cdot x_0, h \cdot x_0)$.

d_X geod. $\Rightarrow d$ coarsely connected

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $G_n := \langle B(e, n) \rangle$; the $d(h_1 G_n, h_2 G_n) > n$
 if $h_1 G_n \neq h_2 G_n$.

Now if C is as in (iii) and $n > C$, the no C -path connects different cosets.
 Then $G_n = G$.

The Cayley graph is a coarsely connected model for $[G]_C$, but locally infinite.
 Better:

Construction (Abels)

G σ -cpt. lc. group, S compact generating set + $H < G$ compact open subgroup

$\mathcal{G} = (\underbrace{G/H}_{\text{discrete!}}, \{ (gH, gcH) \mid g \in G, c \in HSH \})$ ("arbitrary small")
Cayley-Abels graph

$G \curvearrowright \mathcal{G}$ vertex transitive, $| \sigma(x) | = | \sigma(e) | = \underbrace{| HSH/H |}_{\text{cpt.}} \underbrace{| H |}_{\text{op}} < \infty$
 will lead $K := \bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1}$.

Cor. G σ -cpt. lc. $\Rightarrow [G]_C \ni \mathcal{G}$ locally finite d -regular graph,
 $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{G}$ continuously

Note: G c.g.e. t.d.c. $\leadsto K \triangleleft G$ arbitrary normal:

$$G/K \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Rightarrow & \text{let } & \rightarrow & \pi_1(G) & \rightarrow & \text{Aut}(T_d) & \rightarrow & \text{Aut}(G) & \rightarrow & \text{let} \\ & & & \parallel & & \vee & & \vee & & \\ & \text{let } & \rightarrow & \underbrace{\pi_1(G)}_{\text{free group}} & \rightarrow & \tilde{G}_K & \rightarrow & G/K & \text{let} \end{array}$$

Cor. G c.g.e. t.d.c. $\Rightarrow \forall U \in \mathcal{U}_e \exists K \subset U, K \triangleleft G,$
 $\exists \tilde{G}_K: \text{Aut}(T_d): \tilde{G}_K \twoheadrightarrow G/K$
 with discrete kernel

\rightarrow Study groups of $\text{Aut}(T_d)$!

Cor. G c.g.e. t.d.c. top. w.p.c. $\Rightarrow \exists$ ^{called} free group F :

$$F \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$$

\uparrow
 $\text{Aut}(T_d)$

§4 Amenability

$$(c) \quad G \text{ amenable} \iff \left(G \overset{\text{linear}}{\curvearrowright} \overset{\text{U}}{S} \overset{E \text{ (c.v. inv.)}}{\Rightarrow} S^G \neq \emptyset \right)$$

compact convex

Ex. $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$K = SO_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad P = \left(\begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \star \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow G = KP$$

$$\Rightarrow [G]_c = [P]_c \quad (\text{Gra-Schicht})$$

$$SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \overset{\text{closed}}{>} SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \overset{\text{dense}}{>} \mathbb{F}_2 \Rightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ not amenable}$$

$$P \text{ soluble} \Rightarrow P \text{ amenable}$$

Cor. Amenability is not a geometric property!

lev. Interestingly, "amenable + unimodular" is a geometric property!
(Tessera)

Theorem (Amenable radical, Burger-Lionel)

$$G \text{ lc group} \Rightarrow \exists! \text{ max } \overset{\text{closed}}{\text{amenable normal subgroup}} A(G) \triangleleft G.$$

$$(A(G) \text{ is top. char. and } A(G/A(G)) = \{e\})$$

Example

$$G \text{ connected} \Rightarrow \underbrace{G/K}_{\mathfrak{H}} \text{ Lie} \Rightarrow A(G) \supseteq K$$

$$\mathfrak{H} = \underbrace{(H_1 \times \dots \times H_e)}_{\text{co-compact simple}} \times \underbrace{K_1 \times \dots \times K_r}_{\text{compact}} \times \underbrace{S}_{\text{soluble}}$$

$$\Rightarrow G/A(G) = H_1 \times \dots \times H_e \text{ simple Lie group}$$

$$G \text{ tdlc} \rightsquigarrow G/A(G) = ???$$

Proof of Theorem

Set $A(G) := \langle N \triangleleft G \mid N \text{ closed, ample} \rangle$ and let S be a compact-convex $A(G)$ -space. Let $N_1, \dots, N_r \triangleleft G$ closed, ample

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } N_1 \cdots N_{r-1} \triangleleft G &\Rightarrow G \curvearrowright S^{N_1 \cdots N_{r-1}} \rightarrow N_r \curvearrowright S^{N_1 \cdots N_{r-1}} \\ &\Rightarrow (S^{N_1 \cdots N_{r-1}})^{N_r} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r S^{N_i} \end{aligned}$$

Inductively we thus see that $S^{N_1} \neq \emptyset$ (N_1 ample)

$$\Rightarrow (S^{N_1})^{N_2} = S^{N_1 N_2} \neq \emptyset \quad (N_2 \text{ ample})$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow S^{N_1 \cdots N_r} \neq \emptyset$$

$$S \text{ compact} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^r S^{N_i} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \bigcap_N S^N \neq \emptyset$$

$$\stackrel{\text{continuity}}{\Rightarrow} S^{A(G)} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $A(G)$ ample, rest follows.