

# Problem sheet 4

Due Monday, May 14th at 17:30.

## Question 1

A graph is *triangle-formed* if each edge is contained in exactly one triangle. Prove that for every  $\lambda > 0$  there is  $n_0$  such that each triangle-formed graph on  $n$  vertices, with  $n \geq n_0$ , has at most  $\lambda n^2$  edges.

**Solution** Let  $t$  denote the number of triangles in a graph  $G$ . If  $G$  is triangle-formed, then  $|E(G)| = 3t$ , since each edge is contained in exactly one triangle. Hence one needs to remove  $t = \frac{|E(G)|}{3}$  edges from  $G$  to make  $G$  triangle-free. Let  $\epsilon = \frac{\lambda}{3}$ . Due to the triangle removal lemma, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that every graph on  $n$  vertices with at most  $\delta n^3$  triangles can be made triangle-free by removing at most  $\epsilon n^2$  edges.

Let  $n_0 = \frac{1}{6\delta}$ . Assume that  $G$  is triangle-formed with  $n \geq n_0$  vertices and more than  $\lambda n^2$  edges. Then more than  $\frac{\lambda n^2}{3} = \epsilon n^2$  edges are needed to make  $G$  triangle-free, as argued above. Due to the triangle removal lemma,  $G$  has more than

$$\delta n^3 \geq \delta n_0 n^2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} n^2 \geq \frac{1}{3} \binom{n}{2} \geq \frac{1}{3} |E(G)| = t$$

triangles, a contradiction.

## Question 2

- (a) Prove that if  $G$  is a graph on  $n$  vertices which consists of the union of  $n$  induced matchings. Then  $|E(G)| = o(n^2)$ .

(**Hint:** Start the proof by removing edges as we did in the lecture for the triangle removal lemma and the alternative proof of Erdős-Stone-Simonovits. Moreover remove the following additional set of edges. For each of the given induced matchings  $M$ , remove the edges which are incident to  $V_i$  and satisfying  $|V_i \cap V(M)| \leq \epsilon |V_i|$  for each  $i$ .)

- (b) Use part (a) to give an alternative proof of Roth's theorem.
- (c) Use part (a) to show that if  $H$  is a 3-uniform hypergraph with no 6 vertices spanning at least 3 edges then  $|E(H)| = o(n^2)$ .

**Solution** The result in the question was first proved by Ruzsa and Szemerédi.

- (a) Let  $\delta > 0$ , we show that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , any graph on  $n$  vertices as above has  $|E(G)| \leq \delta n^2$ . Assume to the contrary that  $|E(G)| \geq \delta n$ . Apply Szemerédi's regularity lemma as in Problem sheet 3 with  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\delta}{8}$  and  $k_0 > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . Let  $K$  be the resulting constant and let  $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k$  be an  $\epsilon$ -regular partition such that  $|V_0| \leq \epsilon n$  and  $|V_1| = |V_2| = \dots = |V_k|$ . We remove from  $G$  the following sets of edges,
- (1) Edges incident to vertices in  $V_0$ . There are at most  $|V_0| \cdot n = \epsilon n^2$  such edges.
  - (2) Edges contained in the sets  $V_i, i \in [k]$ . There are at most  $k \cdot \binom{n}{k}^2 \leq \epsilon n^2$  such edges.
  - (3) Edges between the sets  $V_i, V_j$  so  $(V_i, V_j)$  is not an  $\epsilon$ -regular pair. There are at most  $\epsilon n^2$  such edges.
  - (4) Edges between the sets  $V_i, V_j$  so  $d(V_i, V_j) \leq 2\epsilon$ . There are at most  $2\epsilon \binom{n}{k}^2 \cdot k^2 \leq 2\epsilon n^2$  such edges.
  - (5) For each matching  $M$ , and  $i \in [k]$ . the edges which are incident to  $V_i$  and satisfying  $|V_i \cap M| \leq \epsilon |V_i|$ . There are at most  $n \cdot \epsilon n = \epsilon n^2$  such edges.

Let  $G'$  be the resulting subgraph of  $G$ . Then  $|E(G')| \geq (\delta - 6\epsilon)n^2$ . By the pigeonhole principle there must be a matching  $M$  so  $|M \cap E(G')| \geq (\delta - 6\epsilon)n \geq 1$ . Let  $i \neq j \in [k]$  be such that there is an edge  $e \in M$  such that  $V_i \cap e \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_j \cap e \neq \emptyset$ . By (5) we know that  $|V(M) \cap V_i| \geq \epsilon n$  and  $|V(M) \cap V_j| \geq \epsilon n$ . The pair  $(V_i, V_j)$  is  $\epsilon$ -regular and  $d(V_i, V_j) \geq 2\epsilon$ , therefore  $|E(V(M) \cap V_i, V(M) \cap V_j)| \geq \epsilon |V(M) \cap V_i| \cdot |V(M) \cap V_j| > \min\{|V(M) \cap V_i|, |V(M) \cap V_j|\}$  for  $n$  large enough, in contradiction to the fact that  $M$  is an induced matching.

- (b) Let  $A \subseteq [n]$  such that there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|A| \geq \delta n$ . Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with a bipartition  $(X, Y)$  so  $X = [2n]$  and  $Y = [3n]$ . For each  $x \in X$  define a matching  $M_x = \{\{x+a, x+2a\} | a \in A\}$  where the computation is modulo  $3n$ . Let  $E(G) = \cup_{x \in X} M_x$ . Then  $|V(G)| = 5n$  and  $|E(G)| \geq \frac{\delta}{5}|V(G)|$  edges. By part (a) this means that not all the matchings are induced. Let  $x \in X$  so  $M_x$  is not an induced matching. Let  $a \neq b \in A$  so we have an edge  $e$  between the edges  $\{x+a, x+2a\}, \{x+b, x+2b\}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $e = \{x+a, x+2b\}$ , then there are  $x'$  and  $c$  such that  $x+a = x'+c$  and

$x + 2b = x' + 2c$ . Hence  $c = 2b - a$  which can be rewritten as  $c - b = b - a$ . The sequence  $a, b, c$  is an arithmetic progression. Indeed, it can be rewritten as  $a, a + (b - a), b + (c - b)$  and  $b + (c - b) = b + (b - a) = a + 2(b - a)$  as required.

- (c) We can assume that every vertex is contained in at least 2 hyperedges. If there are vertices which are contained in at most 1 hyperedge then we remove them from the hypergraph. For each vertex  $v \in V(H)$ , let  $M_v := \{e \setminus \{v\} \mid e \in E(H), e \cap \{v\} \neq \emptyset\}$ . If there is  $v \in V(H)$  such that  $M_v$  is not a matching then there are hyperedges  $e_1 = \{v, x, y\}$  and  $e_2 = \{v, x, y'\}$  in  $E(H)$ , choosing  $e_1, e_2$  together with some edge  $e_3$  that contains  $y$  which is different from  $e_1$  gives us the required set of vertices and hyperedges. A hyperedge  $e_3$  exists due to our assumption that every vertex is contained in at least 2 hyperedges.

Let  $G$  be a graph with  $V(G) = V(H)$  and  $E(G) = \cup_{v \in V(H)} M_v$ . By part (a) there must be a vertex  $v \in V(H)$  so  $M_v$  is not an induced matching. Let  $\{x, y\}, \{x', y'\} \in M_v$  and assume without loss of generality that  $\{x', y'\} \in E(G)$ . Then the hyperedges  $\{v, x, y\}, \{v, x', y'\}, \{v', x, y\}$  gives us the required set of vertices and hyperedges.

### Question 3

Suppose that  $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$R(H) \leq c|V(H)|$$

for every graph  $H$  with maximum degree  $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$ . We define  $R(H)$ , the Ramsey number of  $H$ , to be the smallest number  $n$  such that in any two colouring of  $K_n$  we get a monochromatic copy of  $H$ .

(**Hint:** For a solution to this question you would need Szemerédi's regularity lemma, Turán's theorem, the fact that  $R(K_{\Delta+1}) \leq 4^\Delta$  and the counting lemma.)

**Solution** The result in the question was first proved by Chvátal, Rödl, Szemerédi and Trotter.

Let  $|V(H)| = h$ , let  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ , let  $k_0 = 4^\Delta$  and let  $0 < \epsilon' < \min\{\frac{1}{k_0^2}, \frac{\epsilon^\Delta}{2\Delta}\}$ . Let  $K = K(\epsilon')$  be the constant we get from Szemerédi's regularity lemma. Let  $c \geq \frac{4K}{\epsilon^\Delta}$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n \geq ch$  vertices. We will show that either  $G$  or  $\overline{G}$  contains  $H$ . By Szemerédi's regularity lemma there is an  $\epsilon'$ -regular partition  $X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$  of  $V(G)$  so  $k_0 \leq k \leq K$ ,  $|X_0| \leq \epsilon'n$  and  $|X_1| = |X_2| = \dots = |X_k|$ .

Let  $R$  be the reduced graph where each vertex corresponds to a set  $X_i, i \in [k]$ . There is an edge between the vertices which correspond to the sets  $X_i, X_j$  if and only if the pair  $(X_i, X_j)$

is  $\epsilon'$ -regular. Using the assumption on  $\epsilon'$ , we have that,

$$|E(R)| \geq \binom{k}{2} - \epsilon'k^2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} - 2\epsilon'\right) \frac{k^2}{2} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k_0} - \frac{1}{k_0(k_0 - 1)}\right) \frac{k^2}{2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k_0 - 1}\right) \frac{k^2}{2}$$

Hence by Turán's theorem there are  $k_0 = 4^\Delta$  sets  $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_{4^\Delta}}$  sets such that every pair of such sets is  $\epsilon'$ -regular. For every pair of sets  $X_{i_j}, X_{i_t}$ ,  $j, t \in [4^\Delta]$ , if the density  $d(X_{i_j}, X_{i_t}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , then we colour the edge between  $X_{i_j}, X_{i_t}$  in  $R$  in red, and we colour it in blue otherwise. By the bound  $R(K_{\Delta+1}) \leq 4^\Delta$  there must be either red or blue clique of size  $\Delta + 1$ , let  $X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_{\Delta+1}}$  be the corresponding sets. By part (a) in question 1 in problem sheet 3 we can assume without loss of generality that the clique is red.

For each  $t \in [\Delta + 1]$ ,

$$|X_{j_t}| \geq \frac{n - |X_0|}{k} \geq \frac{(1 - \epsilon')n}{k} \geq \frac{ch}{2k} \geq \frac{2h}{\epsilon^\Delta}.$$

Each pair  $X_{j_t}, X_{j'_t}$  has density  $\frac{1}{2} \geq 2\epsilon$  and it is  $\epsilon'$ -regular and therefore  $\frac{\epsilon^\Delta}{2^\Delta}$ -regular. Using that fact that  $\chi(H) \leq \Delta + 1$  and applying the counting lemma, we get that  $G$  contains  $H$ . This completes the proof.