

Large 2-colored cliques in 3-edge-colorings of the complete graph with forbidden patterns

Maria Axenovich *

Richard Snyder †

Lea Weber ‡

Abstract

Erdős and Szekeres's quantitative version of Ramsey's theorem asserts that any complete graph on n vertices that is edge-colored with two colors has a monochromatic clique on at least $\frac{1}{2} \log n$ vertices. The famous Erdős-Hajnal conjecture asserts that forbidding fixed color patterns ensures larger monochromatic cliques. Specifically, it claims that for any fixed integer k and any clique K on k vertices edge-colored with two colors, there is a positive constant a such that in any complete n -vertex graph edge-colored with two colors and that does not contain a copy of K , there is a monochromatic clique on at least n^a vertices.

We consider edge-colorings with three colors. For a family \mathcal{H} of triangles, each colored with colors from $\{r, b, y\}$, $\text{Forb}(n, \mathcal{H})$ denotes a family of edge-colorings of the complete n -vertex graph using colors from $\{r, b, y\}$ and containing none of the colorings from \mathcal{H} . Let $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ be the maximum q such that any coloring from $\text{Forb}(n, \mathcal{H})$ has a clique on at least q vertices using at most two colors. We provide bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ for all families \mathcal{H} consisting of at most three triangles. For most of them, our bounds are asymptotically tight. This extends a result of Fox, Grinshpun, and Pach, who determined $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ for \mathcal{H} consisting of a rainbow triangle, and confirms the multicolor Erdős-Hajnal conjecture for these sets of patterns.

Introduction

Erdős and Szekeres's quantitative version [11] of Ramsey's theorem [24], asserts that any complete graph on n vertices (also referred to as a clique) edge-colored with two colors has a monochromatic clique on at least $\frac{1}{2} \log n$ vertices. When the coloring is assumed to have some additional structure, one can expect to find larger monochromatic cliques. Indeed, the famous Erdős-Hajnal conjecture [9] asserts that for any fixed integer k and any two edge-colored clique K on k vertices, there is a positive constant a such that in any two-edge-colored complete n -vertex graph with no copy of K , there is a monochromatic clique on at least n^a vertices. Erdős and Hajnal [9] proved that when n^a is replaced by $e^{\epsilon \sqrt{\log n}}$, then the statement holds for ϵ depending on K only. For a survey on this conjecture, see Chudnovsky [7].

In addition, Erdős and Hajnal stated a multicolored version of this conjecture asserting that for any fixed integer $k \geq 3$ and for any fixed s -edge-colored clique K on k vertices, for $s \geq 2$, there is a positive constant $a = a(K)$ such that any s -edge-coloring of a clique on n vertices with no copy

*Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany; e-mail: maria.aksenovich@kit.edu

†Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany; e-mail: richard.snyder@kit.edu

‡Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany; e-mail: lea.weber@kit.edu

of K contains a clique on $\Omega(n^a)$ vertices using at most $s - 1$ colors.

The conclusion of this conjecture could be restated as: there is a positive constant $a = a(K)$ such that in any s -edge-coloring of a clique on n vertices with no copy of K there is a color class with independence number $\Omega(n^a)$. Thus, the multicolor Erdős-Hajnal conjecture not only extends the respective conjecture for graphs, but puts the problem in the framework of Ramsey problems defined through some parameter p , where one seeks a largest clique colored with a fixed number of colors such that the parameter p of each color class is bounded by a given number. For example, the classical Ramsey theorems are stated for parameter p equal to the clique number, while the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture has a formulation as a Ramsey number with parameter p , for p equal to the independence number; see other papers [6, 10, 14, 17, 19, 21, 22], where Ramsey problems with parameter p have been considered for p equal to the diameter, the minimum degree, the connectivity, and the chromatic number. Fox, Grinshpun, and Pach [12] addressed the multicolored Erdős-Hajnal conjecture when K is a rainbow triangle, i.e., a complete graph on 3 vertices edge-colored using three distinct colors. Among other results, they proved that any such coloring with $s = 3$ colors contains a clique using at most 2 colors that has order at least $\Omega(n^{1/3} \log^2 n)$. Moreover, they showed that this bound is tight.

In this paper, we focus on the case when the number of colors is three and the forbidden patterns are imposed on triangles, but there could be more than one forbidden pattern. Specifically, we investigate all sets of at most three patterns. For this setting, we confirm the multicolor conjecture of Erdős and Hajnal and provide asymptotic bounds on the sizes of the largest 2-edge-colored cliques.

All of the colorings considered here use colors r, b , and y , corresponding to ‘red’, ‘blue’, and ‘yellow’. We refer to edge-colored triangles as *patterns*. The complete graph on n vertices is denoted by K_n . We call an edge-colored complete graph K_k using at most two colors on its edges a *two-colored k -clique*. We also call the set of vertices of a two-colored clique a *two-colored set*. For a family \mathcal{H} of patterns using colors r, b, y , we define the class of \mathcal{H} -good edge-colorings of K_n as a family using colors r, b, y , and containing none of the patterns from \mathcal{H} . We denote the family of all \mathcal{H} -good edge-colorings by $\text{Forb}(n, \mathcal{H})$.

For an edge-coloring c , let

$$h_2(c) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid c \text{ contains a two-colored } k\text{-clique}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \min\{h_2(c) \mid c \in \text{Forb}(n, \mathcal{H})\}.$$

In particular, each edge-coloring of K_n using three colors and not containing patterns from \mathcal{H} contains a clique on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ vertices using at most 2 colors. In addition, there is an \mathcal{H} -good coloring with every clique on more than $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ vertices using all three colors.

We consider all sets \mathcal{H} of at most three patterns on triangles using colors from $\{r, b, y\}$. We also write, for example, rrb to represent a coloring of a triangle with one blue and two red edges. These patterns are $rrr, bbb, yyy, rrb, rry, bbr, bby, yyr, yyb, rby$. Note that if for two families $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ there is a permutation π of colors, such that \mathcal{H}' is obtained by applying π to each pattern in \mathcal{H} , we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = h_2(n, \mathcal{H}')$. If for two sets of patterns, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' , we have that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}'$, then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}')$. Indeed, this holds since any \mathcal{H}' -good coloring is also an \mathcal{H} -good coloring.

We provide all our results in the Tables 1, 2, 3. Here, all sets of at most three patterns are represented up to permutation of colors. As can be seen from the tables, $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ for all \mathcal{H} except for $\mathcal{H} = \{rby\}$. Thus, in particular, a multicolor Erdős-Hajnal conjecture is confirmed for all the patterns we considered.

Two of the entries of our tables are expressed in terms of functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ that are interesting in their own right. We denote by $\alpha(G)$ and $\omega(G)$ the *independence number* and the *clique number*, respectively, of a graph G (i.e., the largest integers α and ω such that G contains a set of α vertices inducing no edges, and a set of ω vertices inducing a clique). For a graph G , let G^2 be the square of G , i.e., the graph on the same vertex set as G with two vertices adjacent if and only if they are at distance at most 2 in G . Let

$$f(G) = \max\{\alpha(G), \omega(G^2)\} \quad \text{and} \quad f(n) = \min\{f(G) : |G| = n, \omega(G) = 2\}.$$

Further, recall that the *odd-girth* of a graph is the length of a shortest odd cycle in the graph. Let $g(n)$ be the smallest possible independence number of an n -vertex graph with odd girth at least 7.

The Appendix provides a verification that all the patterns have been accounted for. We give classical and preliminary results, and more definitions in Section 1. Section 2 contains most of the constructions we use, and hence yields the upper bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ listed in Tables 1, 2, 3. The remaining part of the paper provides lemmas and their proofs for the corresponding lower bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$. Section 6 provides final remarks and open questions.

We remark that ‘log’ for us always denotes the base 2 logarithm.

We draw red as dotted lines, blue as straight lines and yellow as wavy lines.

1 Preliminary results and more definitions

For a given coloring in r, b, y , we denote by S_{rb} , S_{ry} , and S_{by} the size of a largest clique using only colors from $\{r, b\}$, only from $\{r, y\}$, and only from $\{b, y\}$, respectively. A pattern is *monochromatic* if only one color occurs, i.e., rrr , bbb or yyy . For a subset of colors, e.g., $\{r, b\}$, we say that a graph formed by all edges of colors r and b is a *red/blue graph* (or a *blue/red graph*). If this graph is a clique we refer to it as a *red/blue clique*. If a pattern is not rainbow, the color used on more than one edge is called the *majority color*. For any given color, e.g., red, we say that a vertex v has *red degree* k if it is incident to exactly k red edges. We denote by $N_r(v)$ the *red neighborhood* of v , the set of all vertices joined to v by edges colored red.

For positive integers k and ℓ , we let $R(k, \ell)$ denote the usual Ramsey number for cliques, i.e., the smallest N such that no matter how the edge set of K_N is colored with red and blue, there is a red K_k or a blue K_ℓ in this coloring. For graphs F and F' , we let $R(F, F')$ denote the graph Ramsey number of F and F' , i.e., the smallest N such that no matter how the edge set of K_N is colored with red and blue there is a red copy of F or a blue copy of F' in this coloring. Here a copy of F is a graph isomorphic to F . This definition easily extends to the case when either F or F' is replaced by a finite family of graphs. As usual, we let $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $\chi(G)$, $\alpha(G)$, $\omega(G)$, and $\Delta(G)$ denote the vertex set, edge set, chromatic number, independence number, clique number, and the maximum degree of a graph G , respectively. For a clique with vertex set V , we say that $|V|$ is the *size of the clique*, and we often write “a clique V ” instead of “a clique on the vertex set V ”. Most of the colorings we define in Section 2 are obtained by ‘blowing up’ other colorings. We make

\mathcal{H}	$h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$	Results
	$\Theta(n^{1/3} \log^2 n)$	[12]
	$\Theta(\sqrt{n} \log n)$	Lemma 1.1
	\sqrt{n}	Lemma 1.2

Table 1: Bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ for families \mathcal{H} of one pattern on a triangle

\mathcal{H}	$h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$	Results
, , ,	$\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$	Lemma 2.1
	$\Theta(\sqrt{n})$	Lemma 2.2
,	$\Theta(\sqrt{n} \log n)$	Lemma 2.3
	$\Omega(\sqrt{n} \log n), O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$	Lemma 2.5
	$f(n) \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \leq 2f(n)$	Lemma 2.4
	$\Omega(\sqrt{n} \log n), O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$	Lemma 2.6
	$\Omega(n^{2/3}/(\log^{3/2} n)), O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n})$	Lemma 2.7

Table 2: Bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ for families \mathcal{H} of two patterns on triangles

\mathcal{H}	$h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$	Results
,	$\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$	Lemma 3.1
,	$\lceil n/2 \rceil$	Lemmata 3.2, 3.3
	$\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$	Lemma 3.5
, ,	$\Theta(\sqrt{n} \log n)$	Lemmata 3.4, 3.6, 3.20
	$\Theta(n^{2/3})$	Lemma 3.7
	no \mathcal{H} -good col. for $n \geq 17$	[16]
	$\Omega(\sqrt{n} \log n), O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$	Lemma 3.9
	$2 \lfloor n/5 \rfloor + \epsilon(n)$	Lemma 3.10
	$\lceil (n-1)/3 \rceil \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \leq 2 \lceil n/5 \rceil$	Lemma 3.12
, ,	$\lceil n/2 \rceil$	Lemmata 3.13, 3.21, 3.23
	$\Omega(\sqrt{n} \log n), O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$	Lemma 3.14
	$\Omega(\sqrt{n} \log n), O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n})$	Lemma 3.15
	$\Omega(n^{2/3} \log^{1/3} n), O(n^{3/4} \log n)$	Lemma 3.18
	$g(n) \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \leq 2g(n)$	Lemma 3.17
	$\Omega(n^{2/3}/(\log^{2/3} n)), O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n})$	Lemma 3.19
	$\lceil 3n/7 \rceil + \epsilon_1(n)$	Lemma 3.22
	$\Omega(n^{3/4}/(\log^{3/2} n)), O(n^{3/4} \sqrt{\log n})$	Lemma 3.24
, ,	$\Omega(n^{2/3}/(\log^2 n)), O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n})$	Lemma 3.25

Table 3: Bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ for families \mathcal{H} of three patterns on triangles
 $\epsilon(n) = 0$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $\epsilon(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{5}$ and $\epsilon(n) = 2$ otherwise;
 $\epsilon_1(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ and $\epsilon_1(n) = 0$, otherwise.

this precise as follows. Suppose c is an edge-coloring of K_k on vertex set $[k]$ and let V_1, \dots, V_k be nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets. The (V_1, \dots, V_k) -blowup of c is the edge-coloring of the complete k -partite graph with parts V_i for $i \in [k]$, such that all edges between V_i and V_j have color $c(ij)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

A graph is *triangle-free* if it does not contain K_3 as a subgraph. We shall need some results about triangle-free graphs and certain Ramsey numbers.

Theorem 1 (Kim [18]). *For every sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a triangle-free graph G on n vertices with $\alpha(G) \leq 9\sqrt{n \log n}$.*

Note that such a graph G corresponds to a red/blue edge-coloring of K_n with no red K_3 and no blue K_t , for $t > 9\sqrt{n \log n}$. The following result gives a corresponding lower bound for the independence number of triangle-free graphs:

Theorem 2 (Ajtai et al. [1]). *For any integer $t \geq 3$, we have $R(3, t) = O(t^2/\log t)$. That is, there is a constant C , such that in any red/blue edge-coloring of K_n with $n = Ct^2/\log t$ there is either a red K_3 or a blue K_t .*

Translating the above Theorem into the language of independent sets in triangle-free graphs, we have:

Corollary 1. *For any triangle-free graph G on n vertices, $\alpha(G) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

The following result provides an upper bound on the chromatic number of any n -vertex triangle-free graph.

Theorem 3 (Poljak and Tuza [23]). *For any triangle-free graph G on n vertices, $\chi(G) \leq 4\sqrt{n/\log n}$.*

We shall also need some known bounds on Ramsey numbers. We list them here: $2^{k/2} \leq R(k, k) \leq 4^k$, Erdős [8], Erdős and Szekeres [11]; $R(3, k) = \Theta(\sqrt{k \log k})$, Kim [18], Ajtai et al. [1]; $R(4, k) = \Omega(k^{5/2}/\log^2 k)$, Bohman [3]; $R(C_5, K_k) = O(k^{3/2}/\sqrt{\log k})$, Caro et al. [5]; $R(3, 3, 3) = 17$, Greenwood and Gleason [16]; $R(\{C_3, C_4, C_5\}, K_k) = \Omega((k/\log k)^{3/4})$, Spencer [26].

A pattern containing all three colors r , b , and y is called *rainbow*. Colorings not containing rainbow triangles are called *Gallai colorings*. We will need the following fundamental theorem, which asserts that Gallai colorings have a specific structure.

Theorem 4 (Gallai [15]). *In any Gallai coloring of a complete graph on at least two vertices, the vertex set can be partitioned into at least two non-empty parts such that*

- *for any two distinct parts, all edges between them are of the same color;*
- *the total number of colors used between parts is at most two;*
- *each part contains no rainbow triangles.*

2 Constructions

Here we will list some explicit or probabilistic 3-edge-colorings of K_n which are \mathcal{H} -good for certain families, which we will then refer to in order to prove upper bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$. We describe the colorings and bound the size of a largest 2-colored set for each of them. The constructions are ordered by increasing order of magnitude of h_2 . For each constructed coloring c an upper bound on $h_2(c)$ is established. We remark that these upper bounds are asymptotically tight, but since these facts are not needed for our results, we omit proofs. Lastly, in each construction where we obtain asymptotic upper bounds, divisibility conditions are ignored, and floors and ceilings are omitted for simplicity of presentation.

Construction 1 ($\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$, none of , , ,)

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer, and assume first that $n = m^2$ for some integer m . Let the vertex set be $\{v_{ij} : i, j \in [m]\}$, a set of m^2 vertices. Define the coloring c of $E(V)$ as follows:

$$c(v_{ik}v_{jl}) = \begin{cases} \text{red} & \text{if } i = j, k \neq l, \\ \text{blue} & \text{if } i \neq j, k = l, \\ \text{yellow} & \text{if } i \neq j, k \neq l. \end{cases}$$

The red graph is the disjoint union of m cliques of size m , where $m \geq 2$. The same holds for the blue graph. It is not difficult to see that this coloring contains none of the stated patterns.

Claim: $h_2(c) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.

Proof. Let $V_i = \{v_{ik} \mid k \in [m]\}$, $U_i = \{v_{ji} \mid j \in [m]\}$, $i \in [m]$. Any blue/yellow clique can use at most one vertex of any given V_i , any red/yellow clique can use at most one vertex of any given U_j , so we have $S_{by}, S_{ry} \leq m$. In fact, it is not hard to find blue/yellow and red/yellow cliques of size m , so $S_{by} = S_{ry} = m$. Assume there is a red/blue clique of size $m + 1$. Then it uses two vertices $x, y \in V_i$ and one vertex $z \in V_j$ for some $i \neq j$ (here we are using $m \geq 2$). But then z can have a blue edge to at most one of $\{x, y\}$, a contradiction. Thus, we have $S_{rb}, S_{ry}, S_{by} \leq m = \sqrt{n}$. \square

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and take the smallest $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n \leq m^2$. Note that $m = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. Take the construction described above with m^2 vertices and arbitrarily remove $m^2 - n$ vertices. Then the size of a largest two-colored subset is still at most $m = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.

Construction 2 ($O(\sqrt{n})$, none of ,)

Let $k = \sqrt{n}$. By Bohman's [3] result $R(4, t) = \Omega(t^{5/2}/\log^2 t)$, we may consider a blue/yellow edge-coloring of K_k (for sufficiently large n) with no yellow clique of size 4 and no blue clique of size larger than $Ck^{2/5} \log^{4/5} k$, for some constant C . Call this coloring c' . Now, let V_1, \dots, V_k be pairwise vertex disjoint sets of size \sqrt{n} each and consider the (V_1, \dots, V_k) -blowup of c' . Inside each V_i color the edges in red/yellow with no yellow clique of size 4 and no red clique on more than $Ck^{2/5} \log k$ vertices. Call the resulting coloring c .

Observe that any triangle in this coloring contains edges from either one V_i (in which case it is colored in red and yellow), from two distinct V_i 's (in which case it is a bbr, bby, yyr or yyy), or from three distinct V_i 's (then it uses only colors blue and yellow). Thus, there are no rby, rrb triangles.

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(\sqrt{n})$.

Proof. Note that any red/blue clique must be the blowup of a blue clique in c' with red cliques inside each V_i . By construction, we then have $S_{rb} \leq (C(\sqrt{n})^{2/5} \log(\sqrt{n}))^2 \leq \sqrt{n}$, for sufficiently large n . For red/yellow and blue/yellow cliques we have $S_{by}, S_{ry} \leq 3 \cdot \sqrt{n}$. \square

Construction 3 ($O(\sqrt{n \log n})$, none of , , )

By Theorem 1, for n large enough, there is a triangle-free graph G on n vertices with $\alpha(G) \leq 9\sqrt{n \log n}$. By Theorem 3, we have that $\chi(G) \leq 4\sqrt{n/\log n}$. Consider a partition of $V(G)$ into $\chi(G)$ independent sets $V_1, \dots, V_{\chi(G)}$ (each of size at most $\alpha(G)$). Now consider the following 3-edge-coloring c of K_n . Fix a copy of G in K_n and color it red, then color all edges with both endpoints in the same V_i blue and all remaining edges (between two different V_i 's that are not in G) yellow.

Observe that the blue graph is a disjoint union of cliques and the red graph is triangle-free, so there are no rrr, bbr or bby triangles.

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

Proof. A blue/yellow clique in this coloring corresponds to an independent set in G , i.e. we have $S_{by} = \alpha(G) \leq 9\sqrt{n \log n}$. Since a red/yellow clique contains at most one vertex from any V_i , we have $S_{ry} \leq \chi(G) \leq 4\sqrt{n/\log n}$. A red/blue clique contains vertices from at most two V_i 's, since otherwise there is a red triangle in G , i.e. we have $S_{rb} \leq 2\alpha(G) \leq 18\sqrt{n \log n}$. \square

Construction 4 ($O(\sqrt{n \log n})$, none of , , )

Take $k = \sqrt{n \log n}$ and consider a blue/yellow edge-coloring c' of K_k without a monochromatic clique of size more than $2 \log k$, which exists by the bound on the Ramsey number $R(t, t) \geq 2^{t/2}$. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be pairwise vertex disjoint sets each of size $n/k = \sqrt{n/\log n}$, and consider the (V_1, \dots, V_k) -blowup of c' . Color every edge with both endpoints in V_i red, for each $i \in [k]$. Let us denote by c the resulting coloring.

Observe that each triangle in c is either monochromatic red with all vertices in V_i , $i \in [k]$, a bbr or yyr triangle (one edge in a red clique and two edges of the same color to another clique), or one of bbb, bby, yyy, yyb (vertices from three different red cliques).

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

Proof. Any blue/yellow clique contains at most one vertex from each V_i , i.e. we have $S_{by} = k = \sqrt{n \log n}$. Any red/blue (red/yellow) clique in c corresponds to a blue (yellow) clique in c' , so we have $S_{rb} = S_{ry} \leq (n/k) \cdot 2 \log k = \sqrt{n/\log n} \cdot 2 \log(\sqrt{n \log n}) \leq 2\sqrt{n \log n}$. \square

Construction 5 ($O(\sqrt{n \log n})$, none of , , )

Let $k = \sqrt{n \log n}$. Consider a red/blue edge-coloring c' of K_k without a monochromatic clique of size larger than $2 \log k$. Such a coloring exists by the bound on the Ramsey number $R(t, t) \geq 2^{t/2}$. Take $m = n/k$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of K_k each colored according to c' with vertex sets V_1, \dots, V_m . Color every edge between V_i and V_j yellow for all distinct $i, j \in [m]$. Call the resulting coloring c .

Observe that each triangle in c is either colored in red and blue (all vertices are in the same V_i), or contains at least two yellow edges (vertices in at least two different V_i 's).

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

Proof. Any red/blue clique contains vertices from at most one V_i , so $S_{rb} = k = \sqrt{n \log n}$. Any red/yellow (blue/yellow) clique contains at most $2 \log k$ vertices from each V_i , so we have $S_{ry}, S_{by} \leq (n/k) \cdot 2 \log k = \sqrt{n/\log n} \cdot \log(\sqrt{n \log n}) \leq 2\sqrt{n \log n}$. \square

Construction 6 ($O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$, none of , , , )

From the lower bound on $R(3, t)$, consider a triangle-free graph H with vertex set $[n]$ and independence number at most $9\sqrt{n \log n}$. Define c , a coloring of the edges of a complete graph on vertex set $[n]$ as follows: the edges not in H are colored yellow, and each edge of H is colored red with probability $1/2$ or blue with probability $1/2$. Note that in this coloring each triangle has a yellow edge, and therefore contains none of the stated patterns.

Claim: With positive probability $h_2(c) = O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$.

Proof. Letting $q(n) = 80\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n$, we shall show that any set of $q(n)$ vertices induces edges of all three colors. Let X be a fixed set of $q(n)$ vertices. Since each subset of more than $9\sqrt{n \log n}$ vertices of X contains an edge of H , we have, using Turán's theorem [27], that the number of edges induced by X in H is at least

$$e_X = 10\sqrt{n \log n} \binom{q(n)/10\sqrt{n \log n}}{2} \geq 10\sqrt{n \log n} \cdot \frac{(q(n)/10\sqrt{n \log n})^2}{4} = \frac{q^2(n)}{40\sqrt{n \log n}}.$$

Then the probability that X induces only yellow and blue edges in c or that X induces only yellow and red edges in c is at most

$$p_X \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-e_X} \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-q^2(n)/40\sqrt{n \log n}}.$$

Using the union bound over all $q(n)$ -element subsets of $[n]$, we have that the probability that c contains a $q(n)$ -vertex set inducing edges of only two colors is at most

$$\binom{n}{q(n)} p_X \leq n^{q(n)} 2^{1-q^2(n)/40\sqrt{n \log n}} = 2^{(q(n) \log n + 1 - q^2(n)/40\sqrt{n \log n})} < 1,$$

using the definition of $q(n)$. Thus there is a desired coloring with positive probability (we remark that we did not attempt to optimize the constants here). \square

Construction 7 ($O(n^{2/3})$, none of , , , )

Consider $n^{1/3}$ pairwise vertex-disjoint red cliques of size $n^{1/3}$ each and color all edges in-between blue. This is a red/blue edge-coloring of $K_{n^{2/3}}$. Consider $n^{1/3}$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{n^{2/3}}$ each colored as above, and color all remaining edges yellow. Call the resulting coloring c .

Observe that the red graph is a disjoint union of cliques, so there is no triangle containing exactly two red edges. Assume there are vertices u, v, w , such that $c(uv) = b$ and $c(vw) = y$. Then u and v are in the same red/blue clique and w is in a different red/blue clique, so $c(uw) = y$, and there are no rby , bby triangles.

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(n^{2/3})$.

Proof. It is not difficult to see that $S_{rb} = O(n^{2/3})$. Note that any red/yellow clique contains vertices from at most one red clique inside each copy of $K_{n^{2/3}}$. Since each red clique has size $n^{1/3}$ and there are $n^{1/3}$ copies of $K_{n^{2/3}}$, we obtain $S_{ry} = O(n^{2/3})$. Finally, any blue/yellow clique contains at most one vertex from each red clique inside every copy of $K_{n^{2/3}}$. Since there are $n^{1/3}$ red cliques inside each $K_{n^{2/3}}$, we have $S_{by} = O(n^{2/3})$. \square

Construction 8 ($O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$, none of , , , )

Let $k = n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n}$. From the lower bound on $R(3, t)$ consider a red/blue edge-coloring c' of K_k with no red K_3 and no blue K_t for any $t > 9\sqrt{k \log k}$. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be pairwise disjoint sets each of size n/k , and consider the (V_1, \dots, V_k) -blowup of c' . Color all edges with both endpoints in V_i yellow for each $i \in [k]$ and call the resulting coloring c .

Observe that each triangle is either yyy (all vertices in the same V_i), yrr or yyb (one edge within a V_i and two edges to a different V_i) or one of rrb , bbr , bbb (all vertices in different V_i 's).

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$.

Proof. Since any red/blue clique can contain at most one vertex from each V_i , we have $S_{rb} = k$. Further, as c' contains no red triangle, we obtain $S_{ry} \leq 2 \cdot (n/k)$. Lastly, as c' contains no blue K_t for any $t > 9\sqrt{k \log k}$, we have that $S_{by} \leq 9\sqrt{k \log k} \cdot (n/k)$. Thus, by our choice of k it follows that $\max\{S_{rb}, S_{ry}, S_{by}\} \leq Cn^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n}$, for some constant C . \square

Construction 9 ($O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$, none of , , , )

Let $k = n^{1/3}/\sqrt{\log n}$ and consider the trivial edge-coloring c' of K_k where every edge is colored blue. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be pairwise vertex disjoint sets of size n/k each and consider the (V_1, \dots, V_k) -blowup of c' . From the lower bound on $R(3, t)$, there is a red/yellow edge-coloring c'' of $K_{n/k}$ with no red K_3 and no yellow K_t for any $t > 9\sqrt{(n/k) \log(n/k)}$ and sufficiently large n . Color the edges inside V_i according to c'' for all $i \in [k]$, and call the resulting coloring c .

Observe that each triangle is either one of yyy , yyr or rry (all vertices in the same V_i), one of bbr , bby (vertices in two different V_i 's) or bbb (vertices in three different V_i 's).

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$.

Proof. First, note that any red/yellow clique can contain vertices from at most one of the V_i 's. It easily follows that $S_{ry} = n/k$. Furthermore, using the bounds on the sizes of red and yellow cliques inside any given V_i , we obtain $S_{by} \leq k \cdot 9\sqrt{(n/k)\log(n/k)}$, and $S_{rb} \leq 2k$. Thus, our choice of k implies that $\max\{S_{rb}, S_{ry}, S_{by}\} \leq Cn^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n}$, for some constant C . \square

Construction 10 ($O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$, none of , , , )

Let $k = n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n}$ and consider $m = n/k$ pairwise vertex disjoint copies of K_k with vertex sets V_1, \dots, V_m where $V_i = \{v_{i1}, \dots, v_{ik}\}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let c' be an edge-coloring of K_k in red/yellow with no red K_3 and no yellow clique larger than $9\sqrt{k\log k}$. Color the cliques induced by V_i according to c' for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. For $i \neq j$ color the edge $v_{is}v_{jt}$ blue if $s = t$ and yellow otherwise. Call this final coloring c .

Observe that there is no rrr and no rrb triangle since c' contains no red K_3 , and any two incident red edges are completely contained in some V_i , which contains no blue edges. Similarly, any two incident blue edges are contained in a blue clique, so there are no bbr and no bby triangles.

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$.

Proof. Consider the sets $U_t = \{v_{it} : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ for $t = 1, \dots, k$. Observe that any red/yellow clique can contain at most one vertex from each U_t . Therefore, we obtain $S_{ry} \leq k$. From our bound on the sizes of largest yellow cliques in each V_i , it follows that $S_{by} \leq (n/k) \cdot 9\sqrt{k\log k}$. Lastly, since there is no clique using both red and blue edges, there is no red triangle and each blue clique has size n/k , so we have that $S_{rb} = n/k$. Thus, our choice of k implies $\max\{S_{rb}, S_{ry}, S_{by}\} \leq Cn^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n}$, for a constant C . \square

Construction 11 ($O(n^{3/4}\sqrt{\log n})$, none of , , , )

Let $k = \sqrt{n}$. By the lower bound on $R(3, t)$, take a blue/yellow edge-coloring c' of K_k with no blue K_3 and no yellow clique of size greater than $9\sqrt{k\log k}$. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be pairwise vertex disjoint sets each of size n/k and consider the (V_1, \dots, V_k) -blowup of c' . Color each V_i in red/yellow with no red K_3 and no yellow clique of size greater than $9\sqrt{(n/k)\log(n/k)}$. Let the resulting coloring be c .

Note that c contains neither a monochromatic red triangle nor a monochromatic blue triangles, since c' contains no blue K_3 and the coloring inside each V_i contains no red K_3 . Since the V_i 's contain no blue edges, there is no rrb triangle, and it is easy to see that there is no rainbow triangle in c .

Claim: $h_2(c) = O(n^{3/4}\sqrt{\log n})$.

Proof. As there is no blue K_3 in c' , any red/blue clique contains vertices from at most two of the V_i 's, and since there is no red K_3 inside the V_i 's, we see that $S_{rb} \leq 4$. Moreover, since yellow cliques in each V_i have size at most $9\sqrt{(n/k)\log(n/k)}$ and the edges between any two distinct V_i and V_j are colored in blue/yellow, we obtain $S_{by} \leq k \cdot 9\sqrt{(n/k)\log(n/k)}$. Finally, since any yellow clique in c' has size at most $9\sqrt{k\log k}$, it follows that $S_{ry} \leq 9\sqrt{k\log k} \cdot (n/k)$. By our choice of k we have $\max\{S_{rb}, S_{by}, S_{ry}\} \leq Cn^{3/4}\sqrt{\log n}$, for some constant C . \square

Construction 12 ($2 \lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor + \epsilon$, none of , , , )

Consider the red/blue coloring c' of K_5 with no monochromatic triangle. Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer, take $\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor$ pairwise vertex disjoint copies of K_5 colored according to c' , and delete some vertices from one of these copies to make sure that the total number of vertices is n . Finally, color all remaining edges between these copies yellow. Denote by c the resulting coloring.

Observe that there are no monochromatic red or blue triangles and that each triangle contains either no yellow edges (if it is contained in a red/blue K_5) or at least two yellow edges (if it contains vertices of at least two distinct red/blue K_5 's.)

Claim: $h_2(c) \leq 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 0$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $\epsilon_1(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{5}$ and 2 otherwise.

Proof. The largest red/blue clique has size 5, and any red/yellow or blue/yellow clique contains at most two vertices from each of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ copies of K_5 and at most ϵ vertices from the remaining vertices, so we obtain $S_{by}, S_{ry} \leq 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor + \epsilon$. \square

Construction 13 ($\leq 2 \lceil \frac{n}{5} \rceil$, none of , , , )

Consider the red/blue coloring c' of K_5 with no monochromatic triangle. Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer and let V_1, \dots, V_5 be pairwise disjoint sets of sizes $\lceil \frac{n}{5} \rceil$ or $\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^5 |V_i| = n$. Consider the (V_1, \dots, V_5) -blowup of c' and color every edge within V_i yellow for $i = 1, \dots, 5$. Denote by c the resulting coloring.

Observe that there is no monochromatic red or blue triangle in c and that the yellow graph forms a disjoint union of cliques, so there is no triangle with exactly two yellow edges.

Claim: $h_2(c) \leq 2 \lceil \frac{n}{5} \rceil$.

Proof. The largest red/blue clique has size 5, and any red/yellow or blue/yellow clique contains at most two of the parts V_i 's, so we obtain $S_{by}, S_{ry} \leq 2 \lceil \frac{n}{5} \rceil$. \square

Construction 14 ($\leq \frac{3n}{7} + 1$, none of , , , )

Consider K_7 with vertex set $\{v_0, \dots, v_6\}$. Define a red/blue/yellow edge-coloring c' of K_7 as follows. For distinct $i, j \in \{0, \dots, 6\}$ set

$$c'(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } i - j = \pm 1 \pmod{7}, \\ y, & \text{if } i - j = \pm 2 \pmod{7}, \\ r, & \text{if } i - j = \pm 3 \pmod{7}. \end{cases}$$

Note that c' contains no monochromatic blue or red triangles, since the blue and red graph form 7-cycles. Since vertices at distance 2 along the cycle are colored yellow, c' contains no bbr triangles. Finally, consider triangles containing two incident yellow edges. By symmetry, we may assume that the vertices of this triangle are 0, 2, and 5. Then since $5 - 2 = 3$, the third edge must be red. Thus, there are no yyb triangles in c' .

Now, let $n \geq 7$ be an integer and let V_0, \dots, V_6 be pairwise disjoint vertex sets V_0, \dots, V_6 of sizes $x = \lfloor \frac{n}{7} \rfloor$ or $w = \lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^6 |V_i| = n$. The parts of sizes x and w are arranged cyclically according to the following orders depending on when n is 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 modulo 7, respectively: $xxxxxxx$, $wxxxxxx$, $wxxxxxx$, $wxxxxxx$, $wxxxxxx$, $wxxxxxx$, $wxxxxxx$. Consider the (V_0, \dots, V_6) -blowup of c' and color every edge within V_i yellow for $i = 0, \dots, 6$. Call the resulting coloring c , and note that c still doesn't contain any of the stated patterns.

Claim: $h_2(c) \leq \lceil \frac{3n}{7} \rceil + \epsilon_1(n)$, where $\epsilon_1(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ and $\epsilon_1(n) = 0$, otherwise.

Proof. If some clique contains vertices from four different V_i 's, then it induces all three colors. Thus, any two-colored clique contains vertices from at most three different V_i 's. To prove the upper bound we may assume n is not divisible by 7. Let us call a partition set V_i *big* if it has size w , and otherwise *small*. Write $n = 7x + r$ for non-negative integers x, r with $1 \leq r \leq 6$. Given our distribution of sizes, it is not difficult to check that any 2-colored clique contains vertices from at most one big set if $r = 1$, at most two big sets if $2 \leq r \leq 4$, and at most three big sets if $r = 5, 6$. Thus, if $r = 1$ the largest 2-colored clique has size $2x + w = 3x + 1 = \lceil 3n/7 \rceil$. If $r = 3, 4$, then the largest 2-colored clique has size $2w + x = 3x + 2 = \lceil 3n/7 \rceil$. If $r = 5, 6$, the largest 2-colored clique has size $3w = 3x + 3 = \lceil 3n/7 \rceil$. Lastly, suppose $r = 2$. The largest 2-colored clique has size $2w + x = 3x + 2$. On the other hand, $\lceil 3n/7 \rceil = \lceil 3x + 6/7 \rceil = 3x + 1$. Hence, the largest 2-colored clique has size $\lceil 3n/7 \rceil + 1$. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

Construction 15 ($\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, none of , , , , , , )

Consider the following 3-edge-coloring c of K_n : take disjoint blue cliques V_1, V_2 of sizes $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, put a maximum red matching in between and color all other edges yellow. Observe that each triangle is either monochromatic blue (if it is contained in V_1 or V_2) or one of rb , rb (if it has w.l.o.g. one vertex in V_1 and two in V_2).

Claim: $h_2(c) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. The vertex set of any blue/red clique of size at least 3 is contained in either V_1 or V_2 , thus $S_{rb} \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Any red/yellow clique contains at most one vertex from each of V_i 's, $i = 1, 2$, so we have $S_{ry} = 2$. Consider a largest blue/yellow clique X . Then it has x_1 vertices in V_1 , each one has a red neighbor in V_2 , so X can contain at most $|V_2| - x_1$ vertices in V_2 , i.e. we have $S_{by} \leq |V_2| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. \square

Construction 16 ($\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, none of , , , , , )

Consider the following 3-edge-coloring c of K_n for $n \geq 3$: Take a red clique V_1 of size $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ and vertex-disjoint from it a blue clique V_2 of size $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and color all edges between V_1 and V_2 yellow. Observe that each triangle is either monochromatic red or blue (if it is contained in V_1 or V_2) or one of yyr , yyb (if it has vertices in both V_1 and V_2).

Claim: $h_2(c) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$.

Proof. Any red/blue clique contains only vertices from either V_1 or V_2 . Any red/yellow clique contains at most one vertex from V_2 and any blue/yellow clique contains at most one vertex from V_1 , so we have $\max\{S_{rb}, S_{ry}, S_{by}\} \leq |V_1| + 1$. \square

3 Forbidding \mathcal{H} with $|\mathcal{H}| = 1$

We start with forbidding only one pattern, i.e. up to swapping colors we only need to consider 3 families $\mathcal{H} \in \{\{\triangle_{rb}\}, \{\triangle_{ry}\}, \{\triangle_{by}\}\}$. According to Fox et al. [12], if $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}\}$, then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(n^{1/3} \log^2 n)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary red/blue/yellow edge-coloring c of K_n that has no red triangle. By the upper bound on the Ramsey number $R(3, k)$ (Theorem 2) we see that c contains a blue/yellow K_k with $k = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$, so $h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$. Moreover, Construction 3 shows that $h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$. \square

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.*

Proof. For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good red/blue/yellow edge-coloring of K_n . Let Δ_r be the maximum red degree in c . If $\Delta_r \geq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, there exists a vertex v which has a red neighborhood $N_r(v)$ of size at least $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$. Note that this neighborhood does not contain a blue edge, since together with v that would create an rrb triangle. Thus, $N_r(v) \cup \{v\}$ spans a red/yellow clique of size at least $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1 \geq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. Otherwise, we have $\Delta_r \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 1$ and so the graph induced on red edges may be vertex-colored with $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ colors. One color class has size at least $\lceil n / \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor \rceil \geq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. This forms a blue/yellow clique of size at least $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ as required. Finally, the upper bound on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ follows from Construction 1. \square

4 Forbidding \mathcal{H} with $|\mathcal{H}| = 2$

Proposition 2. *Any family \mathcal{H} consisting of two distinct patterns can be obtained by applying a color permutation to all patterns in one of the following families:*

- $\{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{ry}\}, \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{ry}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{ry}, \triangle_{by}\},$
- $\{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{ry}\},$
- $\{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{ry}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{ry}, \triangle_{by}\},$
- $\{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}.$

We prove this proposition in the Appendix.

4.1 \mathcal{H} contains no rainbow and no monochromatic pattern

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} \in \{\{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{ry}\}, \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{ry}, \triangle_{by}\}, \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{by}\}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.*

Proof. For the lower bound note that we have $\{rrb\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ for all of these families. Thus, by Lemma 1.2, we obtain $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrb\}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. The upper bound follows from Construction 1. \square

4.2 \mathcal{H} contains a rainbow but no monochromatic pattern

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 1.2: $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrb\}) \geq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.
The upper bound follows from Construction 2. □

4.3 \mathcal{H} contains a monochromatic but no rainbow pattern

Since our forbidden family contains a monochromatic triangle, by Lemma 1.1 we have the lower bound $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{H} \in \{\{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}, \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

Proof. Since $\{rrr\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, Lemma 1.1 implies that $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$. The upper bound follows from Construction 3. □

Recall, that for a graph G , $f(G) = \max\{\alpha(G), \omega(G^2)\}$ and $f(n) = \min\{f(G) : |G| = n, \omega(G) = 2\}$. The following lemma shows that determining the value of $f(n)$ is closely linked to determining the value of $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}$. Then $f(n) \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \leq 2f(n)$.*

Proof. For the upper bound consider a triangle-free graph G on n vertices such that $f(G) = f(n)$, i.e., $\alpha(G) \leq f(n)$ and $\omega(G^2) \leq f(n)$. Color the edges of G red, color each edge from $E(G^2) \setminus E(G)$ yellow, and color all remaining edges blue. We see that there are no red triangles and any two adjacent red edges form an rry triangle. Note that $S_{by} = \alpha(G) \leq f(n)$, $S_{ry} = \omega(G^2) \leq f(n)$ and $S_{rb} \leq 2\alpha(G) \leq 2f(n)$. Here, the statement on S_{rb} holds since in any red/blue clique, the red graph forms a matching.

For the lower bound, consider an arbitrary \mathcal{H} -good coloring c of K_n . Let G be the red graph. Then $S_{by} = \alpha(G)$. Since there is no rrb triangle, each triangle containing two red edges is an rry triangle, so $S_{ry} \geq \omega(G^2)$. Thus $h_2(c) \geq \max\{\alpha(G), \omega(G^2)\} \geq f(n)$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}$. Then we have*

$$h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n}) \text{ and } h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(\sqrt{n \log^3 n}).$$

Proof. The lower bound follows from the lower bound on $h_2(n, \{rrr\})$, Lemma 1.1. The upper bound follows from Construction 6. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{rb}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from the lower bound on $h_2(n, \{rrr\})$, Lemma 1.1.

For the upper bound, we shall find two edge-disjoint triangle-free graphs each with sufficiently small independence number. We shall color the edges of one of them red, the other one blue, and the rest of the edges yellow. Then the largest bicolored clique will have size at most the size of the largest independence set of each of these triangle-free graphs.

As we can not directly guarantee that the desired packing exists, we shall deal with a small overlap. Consider a graph G on a vertex set $[N]$ that is triangle-free, such that $\alpha(G) = O(\sqrt{N \log N})$. In

particular, we have that $\Delta(G) = O(\sqrt{N \log N})$ and $|E(G)| = O(N^{3/2} \sqrt{\log N})$. The following claim asserts that we can find a copy G' of G on vertex set $[N]$ such that $|E(G) \cap E(G')|$ is small. A similar proof appears in Konarski and Żak [20], but we include the short proof in the Appendix for convenience of the reader.

Claim 1. *There is a copy G' of G on vertex set $[N]$ such that $|E(G) \cap E(G')| \leq |E(G)|^2 / \binom{N}{2}$.*

Consider the union of G with its isomorphic image G' on $[N]$ granted by the above Claim. Since $|E(G)| = O(N^{3/2} \sqrt{\log N})$, we obtain $|E(G) \cap E(G')| \leq |E(G)|^2 / \binom{N}{2} = O(N \log N)$. Let G'' be the graph on $[N]$ with edge set $E(G) \cap E(G')$. Then it has at least $N/2$ vertices with degree $O(\log N)$. These vertices induce a graph with independence number at least $\Omega(N/\log N)$, so $\alpha(G'') = \Omega(N/\log N)$. Let X be a largest independent set in G'' , and let N be selected such that $n = |X|$. In particular, $n = \Omega(N/\log N)$, and $N = O(n \log n)$. Now, color the edges of $G[X]$ red, edges of $G'[X]$ blue and the rest yellow. We see that $S_{rb} \leq 5$ since there are no red and no blue triangles. We have that $S_{by} \leq \alpha(G)$ since any blue/yellow clique corresponds to an independent set in G . Thus,

$$S_{by} = O(\sqrt{N \log N}) = O(\sqrt{n \log n \log(n \log n)}) = O(\sqrt{n} \log n),$$

and the lemma follows. □

4.4 \mathcal{H} contains a rainbow and a monochromatic pattern

Lemma 2.7. *Let $H = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(n^{2/3} / \log^{3/2} n)$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n})$.*

Proof. The upper bound follows from Construction 8.

For the lower bound, consider an arbitrary \mathcal{H} -good coloring c of K_n . Consider the vertex sets of red components, which we refer to as *blobs*. Note that all edges between any two blobs are of the same color, either blue or yellow, otherwise there is a rainbow triangle in c . Since the coloring induced by each blob is Gallai, by Theorem 4 we have that each blob is a disjoint union of sets which we call *sub-blobs*, so that all edges between any two sub-blobs are of the same color and the total number of colors between sub-blobs is at most 2. Note that since each blob is a red connected component, one of the colors between sub-blobs must be red and another is blue or yellow. Note also that each sub-blob spans a blue/yellow clique. Otherwise, there is a red triangle in c .

We shall delete some vertices of the graph such that c restricted to the remaining part is easier to analyze. Specifically, we will end up with a coloring c'' of a complete graph on at least $n/\log^2 n$ vertices in which all blobs contain the same number of sub-blobs and all sub-blobs overall have the same size. In addition, this coloring will have only red and blue edges between sub-blobs of any given blob.

We can assume that each blob has at least two vertices because if there are at least $n/2$ blobs of size 1, they correspond to a blue/yellow clique on at least $n/2$ vertices. It could be assumed, without loss of generality, that non-red edges between sub-blobs of any given blob are blue. Indeed, either at least $n/4$ vertices are spanned by blobs with red/blue between the sub-blobs or at least $n/4$ vertices are spanned by blobs with red/yellow between sub-blobs. Let us assume the former.

We shall split the sub-blobs according to sizes. Let X_i be the union of all sub-blobs of sizes from 2^i to $2^{i+1} - 1$, $i = 0, \dots, \log n$. Consider i for which X_i is largest, i.e. $|X_i| \geq n/\log n$. Delete at most half of the vertices from sub-blobs in X_i so that all of them are of the same size, and call the resulting set X'_i . Now, consider a coloring c' that is the restriction of c to X'_i . We see that c' has the same structure as c but with all sub-blobs of the same size and total number of vertices $n' \geq Cn/\log n$. Let k be the size of each sub-blob. If $k > n^{2/3}$ we are done since each sub-blob spans a blue/yellow clique, and then $S_{by} > n^{2/3}$. Thus, $k < n^{2/3}$. Let Y_j be the union of blobs each having sizes from 2^j to $2^{j+1} - 1$, $j = 0, \dots, \log n'$. Consider Y_j of largest size so that $|Y_j| \geq n'/\log n'$. By deleting at most half of the vertices in Y_j we can assume that all blobs in Y_j have the same number of vertices, and hence exactly the same number of sub-blobs. Denote the number of sub-blobs by ℓ . Again, by restricting c' to the resulting set, we have an \mathcal{H} -good coloring c'' on $n'' \geq n/\log^2 n$ vertices with each blob having ℓ sub-blobs and each sub-blob having k -vertices. Recall that $k < n^{2/3}$.

Now we shall analyze c'' . Since the red graph is triangle-free, each blob has a blue/yellow clique of order at least $C\sqrt{\ell \log \ell} \cdot k$ for some constant $C > 0$, by Corollary 1. Taking a union of these cliques over all blobs, we see that

$$S_{by} \geq C\sqrt{\ell \log \ell} \cdot k \cdot n''/k\ell = C\sqrt{\log \ell} \cdot n''/\sqrt{\ell} \geq C\sqrt{\log \ell/\ell} \cdot n/(\log^2 n).$$

Thus, if $\ell < n^{2/3}$, we are done as in this case $S_{by} \geq C'n^{2/3}/\log^{3/2} n$, for some constant C' . Thus, $\ell \geq n^{2/3}$. However, in this case pick a blob and pick a vertex from each sub-blob of this blob. This gives a red/blue clique on $\ell \geq n^{2/3}$ vertices. \square

5 Forbidding \mathcal{H} with $|\mathcal{H}| = 3$

Proposition 3. *Any family \mathcal{H} consisting of 3 distinct patterns can be obtained by applying a color permutation to all patterns in one of the following families:*

- $\{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\},$
- $\{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\},$
- $\{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\},$
- $\{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\},$
 $\{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\},$
- $\{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\}.$

The proof of this Proposition is given in Appendix.

5.1 \mathcal{H} contains no rainbow and no monochromatic pattern

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} \in \{\{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}, \{\triangle, \triangle, \triangle\}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 1.2 since $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrb\}) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. The upper bound follows from Construction 1. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{br}, \triangle_{yr}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. The upper bound follows from Construction 15.

For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good edge-coloring of K_n .

Case 1: There is a red triangle in c .

Let the vertex set of a red triangle be $\{u, v, w\}$. Then there cannot be a blue edge adjacent to the triangle. Assume the contrary, i.e. there is a blue edge au . Then av cannot be red or blue, since then uva would induce an rrb or a bbr triangle respectively, i.e. av has to be yellow. The same holds for aw , but then vwa forms a yyr triangle, a contradiction. Assume there is a blue edge xz in the graph. Since each x and z send only red and yellow edges to $\{u, v, w\}$, and each x and z send at most one yellow edge to $\{u, v, w\}$, x and z have a common red neighbor in $\{u, v, w\}$, say u . But then uxz is a rrb triangle, a contradiction. Thus, if the graph contains a red triangle, it contains no blue edge and hence, we have a red/yellow clique of size n .

Case 2: c contains no red triangle.

We show that in this case the graph is bipartite. We need to show that there is no red odd cycle. Assume the contrary, and let $v_1v_2 \cdots v_kv_1$ ($k \geq 5$) be a shortest red odd cycle. Then we cannot have any red chord of the cycle, since that would create a shorter red odd cycle. Assume there is an index i such that v_1v_i and v_1v_{i+1} have the same color. But then $v_1v_iv_{i+1}$ create a bbr or a yyr triangle. Also, the edge v_1v_3 has to be yellow, since otherwise $v_1v_2v_3$ creates a bbr triangle. Similarly, v_1v_{k-1} is yellow. But then, combining these two facts we obtain that all edges of the form v_1v_i with i odd are yellow, including v_1v_{k-2} . Then $v_{k-2}v_{k-1}v_1$ forms a yyr triangle, a contradiction. Thus, we have no odd red cycle, so the red graph is bipartite. But then in any bipartition there is a bipartite class of size at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ in which only colors blue and yellow appear. Hence, we have a 2-colored set of size $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rb}, \triangle_{br}, \triangle_{yr}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ for $n \neq 7$ and $h_2(7, \mathcal{H}) = 3$.

Proof. The upper bound follows from Construction 15.

For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n on a vertex set V . Assume first that there is a monochromatic triangle. Because of symmetry on forbidden patterns, we may assume that there is a red triangle. Let R be a largest red clique in c . Then $|R| \geq 3$. Note that every vertex not in R sends a yellow or a blue edge to R . Then every vertex outside of R sends at most one yellow edge to R (otherwise we have a yyr triangle). In addition, no vertex outside of R sends both red and blue edges to R , otherwise we get an rrb triangle.

Thus, $V - R = O \cup P$, where O is the set of vertices in $V - R$ such that each edge between O and R is yellow or red and P is the set of vertices in $V - R$ such that each edge between P and R is yellow or blue. Note that O and P are disjoint.

Every vertex from O sends $|R| - 1$ red edges to R . Then any two vertices in O have a common red neighbor in R , and hence there cannot be a blue edge induced by O . Similarly, any two vertices in P have a common blue neighbor in R and hence, there cannot be a yellow edge induced by P .

Thus, we have either $|P| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ which yields a red/blue clique of size $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ or $|R \cup O| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, which is a red/yellow clique of desired size.

It remains to deal with the case where we have no monochromatic triangle. In this case, the red neighborhood of any vertex induces a yellow clique, the blue neighborhood induces a red clique,

and the yellow neighborhood induces a blue clique, so the maximum degree at each vertex must be at most 6, i.e. we only need to consider colorings of K_n with $n \leq 7$.

For $n = 7$ every vertex must have degree 2 in any color, so each color class is a 2-factor. Since there is no monochromatic triangle, each color class must be a C_7 and up to isomorphism there is a unique such coloring. One can create such a coloring by ordering the vertices cyclically and coloring an edge with vertices at distance 1, 2, 3 along the cycle yellow, red, and blue respectively. In this coloring the largest 2-colored clique has size 3.

For $n \leq 6$, observe that there is no red C_5 , otherwise all other edges induced by the vertex set of this C_5 are yellow since there are no rrr and no rrb triangles. But then there is a ypr triangle. Thus, the red graph has no odd cycles and so is bipartite. Therefore, the blue/yellow graph contains a clique of size $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. \square

5.2 \mathcal{H} contains a rainbow but no monochromatic pattern

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{rainbow}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we obtain $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrb, rby\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$. The upper bound follows from Construction 4. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{rainbow}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$.*

Proof. For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n . Assume there is a vertex v incident to a red edge vx and a blue edge vy . But then the edge xy cannot be colored. Thus, w.l.o.g at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ vertices are not incident to a red edge. Taking a maximum set of vertices not incident to a red edge and an arbitrary additional vertex (if it exists) creates a blue/yellow clique, i.e. we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$.

The upper bound follows from Construction 16. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{rainbow}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

Proof. For the lower bound, we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrb, rby\}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

The upper bound follows from Construction 5. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{rainbow}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(n^{2/3})$.*

Proof. For the lower bound consider an \mathcal{H} -good edge-coloring c of K_n on vertex set V . Consider a partition of V into sets A_1, \dots, A_m such that A_1 is maximum sized red clique in c , and for each $i \geq 2$, A_i is a maximum sized red clique in c contained in $V - (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{i-1})$. Note that $|A_i| = 1$ is allowed here. Moreover, for each $i \neq j$ there is at least one non-red edge between A_i and A_j . We shall show that either there is a 2-colored clique of a desired size or there are at least $n/2$ vertices such that the coloring restricted to these vertices is formed by pairwise vertex-disjoint red cliques such that between any two such cliques all edges are blue or all edges are yellow.

First, assume there is a blue edge uv with $u \in A_i$ and $v \in A_j$, for some $i \neq j$. Then every edge incident to u or v must be blue, since otherwise a rainbow or rrb triangle is formed. Consider an edge wz with $w \in A_i$ and $z \in A_j$ that is not incident to uv . If wz is red, then uz cannot be colored without forming a forbidden pattern. Similarly, wz cannot be yellow. It follows that if there is a blue edge between any A_i and A_j , then all edges between A_i and A_j must be blue.

We claim that for each A_i , either A_i sends red/yellow edges to every other A_j , or A_i sends only blue/yellow edges to every other A_j . Suppose otherwise, so that there is $i, j, k \in [m]$ such that all edges between A_i and A_j are blue, and all edges between A_i and A_k are red/yellow. We assume first that $k < i$. In this case, A_k was chosen as a largest clique before A_i , so there exist vertices u, v, w such that $v \in A_i$, $u, w \in A_k$, and $c(uv) = r$ and $c(vw) = y$. Pick a vertex $z \in A_j$. Then zv is blue, so we cannot use blue between A_j and A_k : otherwise vzw is a *bby* triangle. Similarly, we cannot color zw red, because then vzw is a rainbow triangle. It follows that zw is yellow. But then we cannot color zu without forming an *rrb* or rainbow triangle. The argument is similar if $i < k$. Indeed, in this case we find vertices u, v, w such that $v \in A_k$, $u, w \in A_i$ and $c(vw) = r$ and $c(vu) = y$. Pick a vertex $z \in A_j$ and note that both uz and uw are blue. In this case, note that if vz is red, then vzw is an *rrb* triangle. If it's blue, then uvz is a *bby* triangle, and if it is yellow, then vzw is a rainbow triangle. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we say that A_i is of *Type I* if it sends only blue/yellow edges to all other A_j 's. Otherwise, we say that A_i is of *Type II*.

Given the above, we can now break the proof into two cases:

Case 1: There are at least $n/2$ vertices in cliques of Type II. In this case, we have a red/yellow clique of size at least $n/2$.

Case 2: At least $n/2$ vertices are in cliques of Type I.

Relabel and denote by V_1, \dots, V_k the red cliques of Type I. Recall that all edges between V_i and V_j , $i \neq j$ must be blue or all of them must be yellow. Then we denote by $c(V_i, V_j)$ the color of the edges between V_i and V_j .

Note that $k < n^{2/3}$, otherwise we have a blue/yellow clique of that size.

Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [k]$ be the subset of indices such that $|V_i| \geq \frac{1}{4}n^{1/3}$ iff $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Split each V_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}$ into disjoint sets $B_{i,j}$ and C_i , $j = 1, \dots, m_i$, with $|B_{i,j}| = \frac{1}{4}n^{1/3}$ and $|C_i| < \frac{1}{4}n^{1/3}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |C_i| + \sum_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} |V_i| < \frac{1}{4}n^{1/3}n^{2/3} = \frac{n}{4}.$$

Thus, there are $s > \frac{n/2 - n/4}{n^{1/3}/4} = n^{2/3}$ sets $B_{i,j}$. Consider a blue/yellow edge-coloring c' of K_s with vertex set $\{B_{i,j} : i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in [m_i]\}$ where

$$c'(B_{i,j}, B_{i',j'}) = \begin{cases} c(V_i, V_{i'}), & i \neq i' \\ \text{blue}, & i = i'. \end{cases}$$

Then in c' , there is no *bby* triangle, so in c' there is a monochromatic set of size at least \sqrt{s} . Indeed, the blue graph in c' is a pairwise vertex-disjoint union of cliques, so either one of these cliques has at least \sqrt{s} vertices, or there are at least \sqrt{s} such cliques and thus there is a yellow clique on \sqrt{s} vertices. Such a blue clique in c' corresponds to a blue/red clique in c , such a yellow clique in c' corresponds to a red/yellow clique in c of size $\sqrt{s}|B_{i,j}| > \sqrt{n^{2/3}}n^{1/3}/4 = n^{2/3}/4$ in c .

The upper bound follows from Construction 7. □

5.3 \mathcal{H} contains a monochromatic but no rainbow pattern

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then there is no \mathcal{H} -good coloring for $n \geq 17$.*

Proof. We know that the Ramsey number $R(3, 3, 3) = 17$, so there is no edge-3-coloring of K_n without a monochromatic K_3 for $n \geq 17$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$.*

Proof. The lower bound holds since $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$. The upper bound follows from Construction 6. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 0$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $\epsilon = 1$ if $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{5}$, and $\epsilon = 2$ otherwise.*

Proof. To see the lower bound, observe that the red degree of every vertex is at most 2. Indeed, since there are no rry or rrr triangles, the entire red neighborhood of a given vertex must induce a blue clique. But as there is no blue triangle, each red neighborhood has at most 2 vertices. Thus each red component is either a path or a cycle of length at least 4. Among all such red graphs, the one with the smallest independent set is a union of pairwise vertex disjoint C_5 's, and if n is not divisible by 5, a component on at most 4 vertices. This matches exactly the Construction 12 and gives a blue/yellow clique of size at least $2 \lfloor n/5 \rfloor + \lfloor (n - 5 \lfloor n/5 \rfloor)/2 \rfloor$. Note that the last term is either 0, 1, or 2 depending on whether $n \equiv 0, n \equiv 1, 2$, or $n \equiv 3, 4 \pmod{5}$.

The upper bound follows from Construction 12. \square

Lemma 3.11. *If a family \mathcal{H} contains three patterns with different majority colors, then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor$.*

Proof. Consider an \mathcal{H} -good coloring c and an arbitrary vertex v . Let N_r, N_b , and N_y be the red, blue, and yellow neighborhoods of v , respectively. Then we see that each of these sets induces a 2-colored clique. Since at least one of the sets N_r, N_b, N_y has size at least $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor$, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \leq 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 3.11.

The upper bound follows from Construction 13. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n . Then there are no two adjacent red edges, so the red graph forms a matching, i.e. there is a blue/yellow clique of size $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The upper bound follows from Construction 15. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 1.1: $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$. The upper bound follows from Construction 6. \square

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n})$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 1.1: $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$. The upper bound follows from Construction 10. \square

Although there is a gap between the lower and the upper bound in Lemma 3.15, we are able to prove the following lemma concerning the structure of colorings with no patterns in $\{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$ and let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n . Then either $h_2(c) = \Omega(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$ or at least $n/4$ vertices span by pairwise vertex-disjoint blue cliques with only red/yellow edges between them, with the red graph forming a matching between any two distinct blue cliques.*

Proof. Let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n . Start by partitioning the vertex set into blue/red cliques by greedily picking a maximal red/blue clique at each step. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of these cliques. Note that there is a yellow edge between any two cliques from \mathcal{C} . Within each clique the red graph is a matching and the red edges between any two components also form a matching (otherwise we have rrb or rrr triangles). Let \mathcal{C}' be the set of cliques from \mathcal{C} on at least 4 vertices each.

Assume first that at least $n/2$ vertices spanned by cliques from \mathcal{C}' . We shall show first that there is no blue edge between any two cliques from \mathcal{C}' . Assume $U, V \in \mathcal{C}'$ with $|U| \geq |V|$ and there is a blue edge $u'v$, $u' \in U$, $v \in V$. If there is a yellow edge $u''v$, for some $u'' \in U$, then $u'u''$ must be red (since otherwise $u'u''v$ is a bbv triangle). Let $u \in U - \{u', u''\}$. Since red forms a matching within U , we have $c(u'u) = c(u'u) = b$. Then uv cannot be blue ($u''uv$ would induce a bbv triangle), and it cannot be yellow ($u'vu$ would induce a bbv triangle), so it must be red. Since this holds for each $u \in U - \{u', u''\}$, we must have $|U| \leq 3$ since v cannot have two red neighbours in U , a contradiction to our assumption that $|U| \geq 4$. Thus, the assumption that there is a yellow edge is wrong, so all edges from v to U are blue or red. But then $U \cup \{v\}$ would form a larger red/blue clique and contradict how we chose \mathcal{C} greedily. Thus, between any two cliques from \mathcal{C}' there is no blue edge and red forms a matching between any two cliques from \mathcal{C}' . Thus our structural result follows by choosing at least half the vertices from each clique of \mathcal{C}' so that these vertices induce a blue clique.

Now assume that at least $n/2$ vertices are spanned by cliques from $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}'$, i.e. cliques of size at most 3 each. We have that $|\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}'| \geq n/6$. Each of the cliques from $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}'$ either spans a blue triangle or not. Let $\mathcal{C}'' \subseteq \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}'$ be the set of cliques forming blue triangles. We distinguish the following cases:

Case 1: $|\mathcal{C}''| \geq n/12$. Since there is a yellow edge between any two cliques from \mathcal{C} , there can't be a blue edge between any two members of \mathcal{C}'' , otherwise we create a bbv triangle. Thus, we can pick one vertex from each member of \mathcal{C}'' and have a red/yellow clique of size at least $n/12$.

Case 2: $|\mathcal{C}''| < n/12$, i.e., at least $n/12$ cliques from $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}'$ do not span a blue triangle. Let G be the subgraph spanned by vertices of $\mathcal{C} - (\mathcal{C}' \cup \mathcal{C}'')$ with the inherited coloring. Assume that G contains a blue C_5 . Then all edges within this cycle must be red (no blue K_3 , no bbv triangle), so we have a red/blue K_5 , a contradiction. Thus, the blue subgraph of G is C_5 -free, and since $R(C_5, K_k) = O(k^{3/2}/\sqrt{\log k})$ we have a red/yellow clique of a desired size. \square

Recall that $g(n)$ is a smallest possible independence number of an n -vertex graph that has no cycles of length 3 and no cycles of length 5, i.e. that has an odd girth at least 7.

Lemma 3.17. *We have that $g(n) \leq h_2(n, \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}) \leq 2g(n)$.*

Proof. For the upper bound, let G be an n -vertex graph with odd girth at least 7 and independence number $g(n)$. Color its edges red, the edges corresponding to pairs of vertices at distance two in G

yellow, and all remaining edges blue. Clearly, we have no rrr and no rrb triangles. Assume that there is a yyr triangle. Since vertices of any yellow edge are endpoints of a red path of length 2, we see that a yyr triangle implies the existence of an rrr triangle, or a red cycle of length 5. Note that $S_{yb} = \alpha(G) = g(n)$. Consider a largest yellow/red clique X . We see that since the yellow color class is induced P_3 -free in X , the yellow edges form disjoint cliques in X , and there are at most two of them since there are no red triangles. So, X contains a yellow clique on at least $|X|/2$ vertices, i.e., $|X|/2 \leq \alpha(G) = g(n)$, thus $S_{ry} = |X| \leq 2g(n)$. Similarly, let Y be a largest red/blue clique. The red edges in it must form a matching, so there is a blue clique of size at least $|Y|/2$, in particular $|Y|/2 \leq \alpha(G) = g(n)$. Thus $S_{rb} = |Y| \leq 2g(n)$.

For the lower bound, consider an $\{rrr, rrb, yyr\}$ -good coloring of a complete graph on n vertices. The red graph G does not have 5-cycles because otherwise all other edges induced by the vertices of that cycle must be yellow, forcing a yyr triangle. Thus the red graph has odd girth at least 7. We have that $S_{by} = \alpha(G) \geq g(n)$. \square

Lemma 3.18. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rry}, \triangle_{ryr}, \triangle_{yry}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(n^{2/3} \log^{1/3} n)$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(n^{3/4} \log n)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.17 it is sufficient to bound $g(n)$.

By Caro et al. [5], we have $R(C_5, K_t) \leq C \frac{t^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\log t}}$, i.e. any C_5 -free graph on n vertices has independence number at least $C' n^{2/3} \log^{1/3} n$. Thus $g(n) \geq C' n^{2/3} \log^{1/3} n$.

By a result by Spencer [26] we have $R(\{C_3, C_4, C_5\}, K_t) \geq C (t/\log t)^{4/3}$ for some positive constant C , i.e. for n sufficiently large there exists a graph G on n vertices with no C_3, C_4, C_5 and $\alpha(G) \leq C' n^{3/4} \log n$. Thus $g(n) \leq C'' n^{3/4} \log n$. \square

Lemma 3.19. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{rry}, \triangle_{ryr}, \triangle_{yry}\}$. Then we have*

$$h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(n^{2/3}/(\log^{2/3} n)) \text{ and } h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(n^{2/3} \sqrt{\log n}).$$

Proof. For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n , and let the vertex set be V .

We shall argue that either our lower bound holds or there is a subset of at least $n/4$ vertices that is a pairwise disjoint union of red/yellow cliques with only blue edges in between. We shall conclude by showing that such a coloring has a large 2-colored clique of a desired size.

Consider a maximal blue clique B . Then each vertex in $V - B$ sends either a red or a yellow edge to B . Moreover, each vertex in $V - B$ sends at most one red and at most yellow edge to B . Let V_1 be the set of vertices in $V - B$ that send red edges to B . Then these edges form a family Q of pairwise vertex-disjoint stars with centers in B . Note that there is no red odd cycle in V_1 . Indeed, otherwise this cycle contains vertices from three distinct stars from Q . In particular, there is a vertex v in one star from Q in V_1 that sends red edges in V_1 to two distinct stars from Q , say with centers $w_1, w_2 \in B$. Then w_1v and w_2v are yellow, so vw_1w_2 is a yyb triangle, a contradiction. So, if V_1 has Cn vertices then the red graph induced by V_1 has an independent set of size at least $Cn/2$. This gives $S_{yb} \geq Cn/2$. So, we can assume that $|V_1| < Cn$. We can also assume that $|B| < Cn$. So, let $V_2 = V - (B \cup V_1)$. Thus $|V_2| > n/2$ (by taking $C = 1/4$).

Now, there are only yellow and blue edges between V_2 and B and moreover the yellow edges among those form pairwise vertex-disjoint stars with centers in B . Let R_1, \dots, R_m be the intersections of

the vertex sets of these stars and V_2 . In particular, V_2 is the union of the R_i s. Note that there are no yellow edges between R_i 's and there are no blue edges within R_i 's, otherwise we obtain a *byy* triangle.

There is no vertex $v \in V_2$ that sends a red edge to two different R_i 's: assume the contrary, i.e. we have red edges w_1v and w_2v with w_1, w_2 belonging to different R_i 's. But then w_1w_2 connects two different R_i 's, but can be neither red nor blue without creating a forbidden pattern, a contradiction. Thus, the red graph whose edges have endpoints in different R_i 's is bipartite. Let $V_3 \subseteq V_2$ be a larger part of such a bipartition (i.e. $|V_3| \geq n/4$) and let $T_i = R_i \cap V_3$. Then the T_i 's are red/yellow and all edges in between are blue.

The remaining part of the proof shows that in any coloring c' of K_n that is formed by pairwise vertex-disjoint red/yellow cliques T_1, \dots, T_m with all edges between them blue. This will imply the lower bound $h_2(c) = \Omega(n^{2/3}/(\log^{2/3} n))$. The logarithmic factors here could probably be improved.

We shall split the T_i 's according to sizes. Let X_i be the union of all T_j of sizes from 2^i to $2^{i+1} - 1$, where $i = 0, \dots, \log n$. Consider a largest X_i , i.e. $|X_i| \geq |V_3|/\log n \geq n/(4 \log n)$. Delete at most half the vertices from each T_j in X_i such that all members T_j of X_i have the same size, say k . Let the resulting set be X' . Let c' be the coloring that results from restricting c to X' . Then c' consists of red/yellow cliques of the same size k and only blue edges in between. In addition, $|X'| \geq n/(8 \log n)$. Then we have $S_{ry} \geq k$. Moreover, since the red graph is triangle-free, Corollary 1 implies that we may find a yellow clique of size $C\sqrt{k \log k}$ inside each of the red/yellow cliques. Hence,

$$S_{by} \geq C\sqrt{k \log k} \frac{|X'|}{k} \geq C' \frac{n}{\log n} \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}.$$

If $k \leq (n/\log n)^{2/3}$, then $S_{by} \geq C'' (n/\log n)^{2/3}$. Otherwise, we get a large red/yellow clique, which concludes the proof.

The upper bound follows from Construction 9. □

Lemma 3.20. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

Proof. For the lower bound by Lemma 1.1 we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr\}) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

The upper bound follows from Construction 3. □

Lemma 3.21. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Proof. For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of K_n . Assume that c contains a red odd cycle. Let $v_1 \dots v_k v_1$, $k \geq 5$ be a shortest odd red cycle. Without loss of generality, we have $c(v_1 v_3) = b$. Then $c(v_1 v_4) = y$, since otherwise v_1, v_3, v_4 induce a *bbr* triangle. Thus, we have $c(v_1 v_i) = b$ for i odd and $c(v_1 v_i) = y$ for i even, and thus, $c(v_1 v_{k-1}) = y$. Since $c(v_1 v_3) = b$, we also have $c(v_3 v_k) = y$, and hence $c(v_3 v_{k-1}) = b$. Thus, we must have $c(v_{k-1} v_2) = y$, since otherwise v_2, v_3, v_{k-1} induce a *bbr* triangle, but then v_1, v_2, v_{k-1} induce a *yyr* triangle, a contradiction. Thus, the red graph is bipartite, so c contains a blue/yellow clique of size at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

The upper bound follows from Construction 15. □

Lemma 3.22. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{ryb}, \triangle_{rby}, \triangle_{ryr}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \frac{3n}{7} \rceil + \epsilon_1(n)$, where $\epsilon_1(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$, and $\epsilon_1(n) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. The upper bound follows from Construction 14.

For the lower bound, let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring of a n -vertex graph on a vertex set V . Assume first that there is a blue clique B of size $|B| \geq 3$. Then each vertex not in B sends at most one yellow edge to B (otherwise we have a yyb triangle) and no vertex not in B sends both blue and red edges to B (otherwise we have a bbr triangle). Let A be the set of vertices from $V - B$ that send only blue and yellow edges to B and let $O = V - B - A$, i.e., each vertex from O sends a red edge to B . Then, in particular, each vertex from O sends no blue edges to B , thus must send two red edges and one yellow edge to B . Then A induces no red edges and neither does O . Note that B, A and O span the whole graph; $B \cup A$ induces no red edge and O contains no red edge. Then $S_{by} \geq \max\{|B \cup A|, |O|\} \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

Thus, we can assume that both the red and blue graph are triangle-free. We shall show that the blue graph has a special structure, in particular it must be a blow-up of a cycle on 7 vertices.

If the blue graph contains no odd cycle, then it is bipartite and hence $S_{ry} \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Thus we can assume that there is a blue odd cycle. The blue graph also does not contain a cycle length 5 since otherwise all other edges spanned by the vertices of this cycle are yellow, producing a yyb triangle. Assume the shortest blue odd cycle has length $k \geq 9$. Let $C = C_k = v_1 \dots v_k v_1$ be a shortest blue odd cycle with $k \geq 9$. Then C has no blue chord, otherwise there is a shorter blue odd cycle. Fix a vertex, v_1 , and order chords incident to v_1 as they appear on the cycle, i.e., $v_1 v_3, v_1 v_4, \dots$, and so on. Each chord is red or yellow. There are no two consecutive yellow chords, otherwise we have a yyb triangle. Since the number of chords incident to a vertex is $k - 3$, an even number, either the $v_1 v_3$ or $v_1 v_{k-1}$ is red, a contradiction.

Thus, a shortest blue odd cycle has length 7. Let the vertex set of such a 7-cycle be $Y = \{v_1, \dots, v_7\}$. Then $c(v_i v_j) = y$ if v_i and v_j are at distance two on C and $c(v_i v_j) = r$ if v_i and v_j are at distance three on C .

Let $x \in V - Y$. Note that x can send at most 3 yellow edges to Y , otherwise we have a yyb triangle. Assume x sends no blue edge to Y . Then it sends at least four red edges to Y , whose endpoints contain two vertices at distance 3 on C , which creates an rrr triangle, a contradiction. Thus, x sends at least one blue edge to Y . Assume x sends exactly one blue edge to Y , say to v_1 . Then xv_2 and xv_7 must be yellow. Then xv_3 and xv_6 must be red. But this implies that xv_3v_6 forms an rrr triangle, a contradiction. Note that x sends at most two blue edges to C , otherwise there is a shorter blue odd cycle. Since x sends at least one, at most two, and not exactly one blue edge to Y , x sends exactly two blue edges to Y . The endpoints of these two edges must be at distance 2 on the cycle, otherwise there is a shorter odd cycle. Without loss of generality, let these endpoints be v_2, v_7 . Consider a blue cycle $xv_2v_3 \dots v_7x$. It must have the same color structure as C , i.e., in particular x “mimics” v_1 , i.e., $c(xv_i) = c(v_1v_i)$ for all $v_i \in Y - \{v_1\}$. Since x was chosen arbitrarily outside of any blue cycle, we have that each vertex in $V - Y$ “mimics” some vertex on C and thus the coloring contains a spanning blowup of a coloring c restricted to Y .

More specifically, we have that V is a disjoint union of parts V_0, \dots, V_6 such that for any $i, j \in$

$\{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$, with i, j , and any $x \in V_i, z \in V_j$, $c(xz) = b$ if $|i - j| = 1 \pmod{7}$, $c(xz) = y$ if $|i - j| = 2 \pmod{7}$, $c(xz) = r$ if $|i - j| = 3 \pmod{7}$. All the edges induced by each V_i are yellow. That is, we have a coloring with a structure as in Construction 14 (where the V_i 's have variable sizes).

For each $i = 0, \dots, 6$, let $U_i = V_i \cup V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2}$ and $W_i = V_i \cup V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+4}$ (indices computed modulo 7). Then each U_i spans a blue/yellow clique and each W_i spans a red/yellow clique. We have that $|W_0| + \dots + |W_6| = 3n$, thus there is W_i of size at least $\lceil 3n/7 \rceil$. This proves the lower bound, except when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$. The rest of the proof is devoted to this special case.

Let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$, i.e., $n = 7k + 2$ for an integer k . We shall show that there is a 2-colored clique of size at least $\lceil 3n/7 \rceil + 1$. In order to do so, we first shall show that the sets V_i 's pairwise differ in size by at most 1.

If there is an index i' , such that $|W_{i'}| \geq \lceil 3n/7 \rceil + 1$, we are done, so assume $|W_i| \leq \lceil 3n/7 \rceil$ for $i = 0, \dots, 6$. Now assume there is an index i' such that $|W_{i'}| \leq \lfloor 3n/7 \rfloor - 1$. But then we have $\sum_{i=0}^6 |W_i| \leq \lfloor 3n/7 \rfloor - 1 + 6 \lceil 3n/7 \rceil = \lfloor 3(7k+2)/7 \rfloor - 1 + 6 \lceil 3(7k+2)/7 \rceil = 21k + 5 < 21k + 6 = 3n$, a contradiction. Thus $|W_i|$ and $|W_j|$ differ by at most 1 for $0 \leq i < j \leq 6$. Similarly, all U_i 's differ in size by at most 1.

Note that $W_i \cap W_{i+2} = V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+4}$ for $i = 0, \dots, 6$ (indices computed modulo 7), so the symmetric difference $W_i \Delta W_{i+2} = V_i \cup V_{i+6}$. By the above observation, that means in particular that $|V_{i-1}|$ and $|V_i|$ differ by at most 1, for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$. Similarly, by considering two consecutive U_i 's, we see that $|V_i|$ and $|V_{i+3}|$ differ in size by at most 1. Then it is clear that $||V_0| - |V_2|| \leq 2$. Assume that $|V_0| = t$ and $|V_2| = t + 2$, for some t . Then $|V_1| = t + 1$ and $|V_3| \geq t + 1$. Thus $|U_1| \geq 3t + 4$ that implies that $3t + 3 \leq |U_6| = |V_6| + t + t + 1$, that in turn implies that $|V_6| \geq t + 2$. This contradicts the fact that $|U_6|$ and $|U_0|$ differ by at most 1. It shows that $||V_i| - |V_{i+2}|| \leq 1$. Together with the fact that $||V_i| - |V_{i+1}|| \leq 1$ and $||V_i| - |V_{i+3}|| \leq 1$, we see that any two set V_i, V_j , $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ differ in size by at most 1. Thus V_i 's have sizes either $\lceil n/7 \rceil$ or $\lfloor n/7 \rfloor$. Since $n = 7k + 2$, there are exactly two parts V_i, V_j of sizes $\lceil n/7 \rceil$. No matter how they are located, there is a third part V_g such that $V_i \cup V_j \cup V_g$ is either W_m or U_m for some m . This gives a two-colored clique on $2 \lceil n/7 \rceil + \lfloor n/7 \rfloor = \lceil 3n/7 \rceil + 1$ vertices. \square

Lemma 3.23. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. Then we have $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. For the lower bound let c be an \mathcal{H} -good coloring. Let v be an arbitrary vertex and denote by N_r, N_b, N_y its red, blue and yellow neighborhoods, respectively.

Then N_r does not contain a red edge, so it must induce a monochromatic clique that is either blue or yellow. Without loss of generality, we assume it is blue (a symmetric argument deals in the case when it is yellow).

Between N_b and N_y there cannot be a single blue or yellow edge, so between them we have a complete bipartite red graph. Thus, N_b and N_y cannot induce red edges, so N_b induces a monochromatic blue and N_y a monochromatic yellow clique. Now consider the bipartite graph between N_r and N_y . There can be no incident blue and yellow edges and each vertex in N_y sends at most one yellow edge to N_r and each vertex in N_r sends at most one blue edge to N_y . Likewise in

the bipartite graph between N_b and N_r , the yellow edges form a matching and no vertex is incident to both blue and yellow.

If one of the sets N_r, N_b, N_y contains at most 1 vertex, then the larger of the other two sets together with v is a 2-colored a clique of size at least $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, as required.

So we may assume that each of the sets N_r, N_b, N_y contains at least 2 vertices. We consider two cases:

Case 1: There is a vertex $w^* \in N_r$ that sends only yellow edges to N_y .

Every vertex in $N_r^* := N_r - \{w^*\}$ sends only red edges to N_y (otherwise, we obtain either a yyb or bbv triangle). This implies that there are no red edges between N_r^* and N_b : if otherwise, we obtain a monochromatic red triangle with vertices in N_r^*, N_b , and N_y , using the fact that the bipartite graph with parts N_b and N_y is entirely red. Moreover, since the yellow edges between N_r^* and N_b form a matching, we have that all edges between N_r^* and N_b must be blue. Now, consider the sets $V_1 = N_r^* \cup N_b \cup \{v\}$ and $V_2 = \{w^*\} \cup N_y \cup \{v\}$. Note that V_1 is a red/blue clique and V_2 is a red/yellow clique. One of them must have size at least $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, completing the proof in this case.

Case 2: No vertex in N_r sends only yellow edges to N_y .

Since no blue and yellow edges are incident in the bipartite graph between N_r and N_y , every vertex in N_r sends at least one red edge to N_y . Now the proof is similar to the previous case: we have that no edges between N_r and N_b are red (otherwise, we obtain a monochromatic red triangle). Hence, as before all edges between N_r and N_b are blue. So $N_r \cup N_b \cup \{v\}$ is a red/blue clique and $\{v\} \cup N_y$ is a yellow clique. One of these two sets has size at least $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, and this completes the proof of the lower bound.

The upper bound follows from Construction 15 with red and yellow swapped. \square

5.4 \mathcal{H} contains a monochromatic and a rainbow pattern

Lemma 3.24. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\triangle_{\text{rainbow}}, \triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}\}$. Then $\Omega(n^{3/4}/\log^{3/2} n) = h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(n^{3/4}\sqrt{\log n})$.*

Proof. For the lower bound, consider an \mathcal{H} -good coloring c of K_n . The structure of c is very similar to the structure of $\{rrr, rby\}$ -good colorings. Consider red components and call their vertex sets *blobs*. Assume that each blob has at least three vertices. This assumption can be done since if there are at least $n/2$ vertices spanned by red components on at most two vertices, these vertices contain a blue/yellow clique on at least $n/4$ vertices. Since the coloring is Gallai, Theorem 4 implies that each blob is a union of sets (which we refer to as *sub-blobs*) with all edges between any two sub-blobs of the same color and such that the set of colors between all sub-blobs is either $\{r, b\}$ or $\{r, y\}$. Moreover, each sub-blob sends only red edges to some other sub-blob of its blob. All edges between any two blobs are of the same color, either blue or yellow, otherwise there is a rainbow triangle. Lastly, there are no red edges contained in any sub-blob, because otherwise we obtain a monochromatic red triangle.

As in the lemma on $\{rrr, rby\}$ -good colorings, (Lemma 2.7) we can assume that there is a subset of $n' \geq n/\log^2 n$ vertices such that all sub-blobs have the same size, k , and all blobs contain the same number, ℓ , of sub-blobs. Assume first that at least half the vertices are spanned by blobs with blue/red between sub-blobs. Then, since the red and blue graph are both triangle-free, there are

at most 5 sub-blobs in each blob, and each sub-blob is blue/yellow. By taking a largest sub-blob in each such blob, we see that $S_{by} \geq n'/10 = \Omega(n/\log^2 n)$.

Now, assume that at least half the vertices are spanned by blobs with red/yellow between sub-blob. All edges between blobs are yellow or blue and all sub-blobs are blue/yellow. As the red graph is triangle-free, applying Corollary 1 yields that there are at least $C\sqrt{\ell \log \ell}$ sub-blobs in each blob, such that there are only yellow edges between them. By taking the union of these sets over all blobs we have that

$$S_{by} \geq C \frac{n'}{k\ell} \sqrt{\ell \log \ell} \cdot k = C \frac{n' \sqrt{\log \ell}}{\sqrt{\ell}}.$$

If $\ell < \sqrt{n}$ then $S_{by} = \Omega(n^{3/4}/\log^{3/2} n)$. Otherwise, $\ell \geq \sqrt{n}$. By picking a yellow clique from each sub-blob and selecting a set of blobs with only yellow edges between them (using Corollary 1 again, and the fact that the red and blue graphs are both triangle-free), we have

$$S_{ry} \geq C' \cdot \ell \sqrt{k \log k} \sqrt{n'/(k\ell) \log(n'/(k\ell))} = \Omega(\sqrt{\ell n'}) = \Omega(n^{3/4}/\log n).$$

The upper bound follows from Construction 11. \square

Lemma 3.25. *Let $\mathcal{H} \in \{\{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}, \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}, \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}\}$. Then $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = \Omega(n^{2/3}/\log^2 n)$ and $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) = O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n})$.*

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 2.7: $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}) \geq h_2(n, \{rrr, rby\}) = \Omega(n^{2/3}/\log^2 n)$.

For the upper bound in case $\mathcal{H} \in \{\{rby, rrr, bbr\}, \{rby, rrr, bby\}\}$, we use Construction 8 with blue and yellow swapped. For the upper bound in case $\mathcal{H} = \{rby, rrr, rrb\}$ we use Construction 9. \square

6 Final Remarks

We have determined $h_2(n, \mathcal{H})$ asymptotically up to logarithmic factors for nearly all families \mathcal{H} of at most three patterns. Aside from improving logarithmic factors, there are two major gaps left. First, for the family $\mathcal{H}_0 := \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$ we were able to show that (see Lemma 3.15)

$$\Omega(\sqrt{n \log n}) = h_2(n, \mathcal{H}_0) = O(n^{2/3}\sqrt{\log n}).$$

We believe that the upper bound is the correct answer (up to logarithmic terms).

Our second gap comes from the family $\mathcal{H}_1 := \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}$. We showed that $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}_1)$ is related to the function $g(n)$ defined as the smallest independence number of an n -vertex graph of odd-girth at least 7:

$$g(n) = \min\{\alpha(G) : |G| = n \text{ and } G \text{ is } \{C_3, C_5\}\text{-free}\}.$$

In particular, we showed that $g(n) \leq h_2(n, \mathcal{H}_1) \leq 2g(n)$. It follows that good bounds on the Ramsey number $R(\{C_3, C_5\}, K_n)$ translate to good bounds on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}_1)$. Using known results on the Ramsey numbers $R(C_5, K_n)$ and $R(\{C_3, C_4, C_5\}, K_n)$, by Lemma 3.18 we have

$$\Omega(n^{2/3} \log^{1/3} n) = h_2(n, \mathcal{H}_1) = O(n^{3/4} \log n).$$

A consequence of the work of Bohman and Keevash [4] and Warnke [28] is that the C_5 -free process with high probability terminates in a graph whose independence number is $\Theta(n^{3/4} \log^{3/4} n)$. We suspect that the behavior of the independence number does not change much if one forbids triangles in addition to C_5 's. Thus, we conjecture that our upper bound on $h_2(n, \mathcal{H}_1)$ is close to the truth:

Conjecture 1.

$$g(n) = \Omega(n^{3/4})$$

and thus

$$h_2(n, \{\triangle_{\text{red}}, \triangle_{\text{blue}}, \triangle_{\text{yellow}}\}) = \Omega(n^{3/4}).$$

From our reduction to the function $g(n)$, this would follow from the corresponding upper bound on $R(\{C_3, C_5\}, K_n)$. This is likely to be challenging, however, as cycle-complete Ramsey numbers are widely open when the cycle lengths are small and fixed.

Lastly, we decided to stop our investigation at three forbidden patterns. Forbidding more patterns in many cases makes the problem of finding large 2-colored cliques simple. For this reason (and also for the sake of brevity) we did not pursue this line further. Still, one of course may consider families of forbidden patterns of size four and larger.

Appendix

Proof of Proposition 2. We split the cases according to rainbow and monochromatic patterns:

- \mathcal{H} contains no rainbow and no monochromatic pattern.
 Case 1: the majority color is the same, i.e. w.l.o.g. $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$.
 Case 2: the majority color is different, say red and blue.
 Case 2.1: non-majority colors are both not yellow, i.e. $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{blue}}$.
 Case 2.2: yellow is a non-majority color in one pattern, i.e. $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$.
 Case 2.3: yellow is a non-majority color in both patterns, i.e. $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$.
 This gives us $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$, $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{blue}}$, $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$, $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$.
- \mathcal{H} contains a rainbow but no monochromatic triangle, w.l.o.g. $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{blue}}$.
- \mathcal{H} contains a monochromatic triangle and no rainbow triangle, w.l.o.g. \triangle_{red} . Then the second pattern is \triangle_{red} , \triangle_{blue} , $\triangle_{\text{yellow}}$ or \triangle_{red} .
- \mathcal{H} contains a monochromatic triangle and a rainbow triangle w.l.o.g. \triangle_{red} , \triangle_{blue} .

□

Proof of Proposition 3. We split the cases according to rainbow and monochromatic patterns:

- \mathcal{H} contains no rainbow and no monochromatic triangle.
 Case 1: exactly two patterns have the same majority color - w.l.o.g. $\triangle_{\text{red}} \triangle_{\text{yellow}}$, third pattern has different majority color, say blue. Then the third pattern is either \triangle_{blue} or $\triangle_{\text{yellow}}$.
 Case 2: patterns have different majority color - w.l.o.g. rr^* , bb^* , yy^* .
 Then either all non-majority colors are distinct, w.l.o.g. \triangle_{red} , \triangle_{blue} , $\triangle_{\text{yellow}}$, or there are only two different non-majority colors, w.l.o.g. \triangle_{red} , \triangle_{blue} , $\triangle_{\text{yellow}}$.
- \mathcal{H} contains a rainbow and a no monochromatic pattern. Then, the other two patterns are listed in the first item of the proof of Proposition 2.

- \mathcal{H} contains a monochromatic and no rainbow pattern.
 - Case 1: There are at least two monochromatic patterns, say we have \triangle_{red} \triangle_{blue} . Then all the options for the third pattern up to permutation of patterns are $\triangle_{\text{yellow}}$, $\triangle_{\text{orange}}$, \triangle_{green} , $\triangle_{\text{purple}}$.
 - Case 2: There is only one monochromatic pattern, say \triangle_{red} and two non-monochromatic patterns. We have the following cases:
 - Case 2.1: Both non-monochromatic triangles have majority color red: $\triangle_{\text{red, yellow}}$ $\triangle_{\text{red, blue}}$ $\triangle_{\text{red, orange}}$.
 - Case 2.2: Exactly one non-monochromatic triangle has majority color red, w.l.o.g. $\triangle_{\text{red, yellow}}$. Then all the options for the 3rd pattern are \triangle_{blue} , $\triangle_{\text{orange}}$, \triangle_{green} , $\triangle_{\text{purple}}$.
 - Case 2.3: None of the non-monochromatic triangles has majority color red. Then they either have the same majority color, w.l.o.g. \triangle_{blue} $\triangle_{\text{orange}}$, or we have $bb * yy*$. Then either both non-majority colors are red ($\triangle_{\text{red, blue}}$ $\triangle_{\text{red, orange}}$), exactly one non-majority color is red (w.l.o.g. $\triangle_{\text{red, blue}}$ $\triangle_{\text{orange}}$) or no non-majority color is red (\triangle_{blue} $\triangle_{\text{orange}}$).
- \mathcal{H} contains a rainbow and a monochromatic pattern, w.l.o.g., \triangle_{red} and \triangle_{blue} . Then the third pattern either has a red majority color, or other majority color, say blue. This gives the following options for the third pattern: $\triangle_{\text{red, blue}}$, \triangle_{red} , \triangle_{blue} , $\triangle_{\text{yellow}}$, $\triangle_{\text{orange}}$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3. □

Proof of Claim 1 in Lemma 2.6. Consider a permutation $\pi : [N] \rightarrow [N]$ chosen uniformly at random and apply it to G . Let $E_\pi = \{\pi(u)\pi(v) : uv \in E(G)\}$. For each edge $e \in E(G)$ we say that e is *bad* if $e \in E_\pi$, and we let X be the random variable counting bad edges. For each edge $e = uv \in E(G)$ there are $2|E(G)|(N-2)!$ permutations that can make e bad. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(e \text{ is bad}) \leq \frac{2|E(G)|(N-2)!}{N!} = \frac{|E(G)|}{\binom{N}{2}}.$$

Thus, $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq |E(G)|^2 / \binom{N}{2}$, and so there is a permutation σ such that

$$|E(G) \cap E_\sigma| \leq \frac{|E(G)|^2}{\binom{N}{2}}.$$

□

References

- [1] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi, *A note on Ramsey numbers*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **29** (1980), no. 3, 354–360.
- [2] N. Alon and V. Rödl, *Sharp bounds for some multicolor Ramsey numbers*, Combinatorica **25** (2005), no. 2, 125–141.
- [3] T. Bohman, *The triangle-free process*, Advances in Mathematics **221** (2009), no. 5, 1653 - 1677.
- [4] T. Bohman and P. Keevash, *The early evolution of the H -free process*, Inventiones mathematicae **181** (2010), no. 2, 291–336.
- [5] Y. Caro, Y. Li, C. C. Rousseau, and Y. Zhang, *Asymptotic bounds for some bipartite graph: complete graph Ramsey numbers*, Discrete Mathematics **220** (2000), no. 1-3, 51–56.
- [6] G. Chen and R. H. Schelp, *Ramsey problems with bounded degree spread*, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing **2** (1993), no. 3, 263–269.

- [7] M. Chudnovsky, *The Erdős–Hajnal conjecture—a survey*, Journal of Graph Theory **75** (2014), no. 2, 178–190.
- [8] P. Erdős, *Some remarks on the theory of graphs*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **53** (1947), no. 4, 292–294.
- [9] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, *Ramsey-type theorems*, Discrete Appl. Math. **25** (1989), no. 1-2, 37–52. Combinatorics and complexity (Chicago, IL, 1987).
- [10] P. Erdős and J. Pach, *On a Quasi-Ramsey problem*, Journal of Graph Theory **7** (1983), no. 1, 137–147.
- [11] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, *A combinatorial problem in geometry*, Compositio mathematica **2** (1935), 463–470.
- [12] J. Fox, A. Grinshpun, and J. Pach, *The Erdős–Hajnal conjecture for rainbow triangles*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **111** (2015), 75–125.
- [13] S. Fujita, C. Magnant, and K. Ozeki, *Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory: a survey*, Graphs and Combinatorics **26** (2010), no. 1, 1–30.
- [14] Z. Füredi, A. V. Kostochka, R. Škrekovski, M. Stiebitz, and D. B. West, *Nordhaus–Gaddum-type Theorems for decompositions into many parts*, Journal of Graph Theory **50** (2005), no. 4, 273–292.
- [15] T. Gallai, *Transitiv orientierbare graphen*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **18** (1967), no. 1-2, 25–66.
- [16] R. E. Greenwood and A. M. Gleason, *Combinatorial relations and chromatic graphs*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **7** (1955), 1–7.
- [17] R. J. Kang, J. Pach, V. Patel, and G. Regts, *A precise threshold for quasi-Ramsey numbers*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **29** (2015), no. 3, 1670–1682.
- [18] J. H. Kim, *The Ramsey number $R(3, t)$ has order of magnitude $t^2 / \log t$* , Random Structures & Algorithms **7** (1995), no. 3, 173–207.
- [19] R Klein and J Schönheim, *Decomposition of K_n into degenerate graphs*, Combinatorics and Graph Theory (1992), 141–155.
- [20] J. Konarski and A. Żak, *Near packings of two graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **340** (2017), no. 5, 963–968.
- [21] T. Łuczak, *Highly connected monochromatic subgraphs of two-colored complete graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **117** (2016), 88–92.
- [22] D. Mubayi, *Generalizing the Ramsey problem through diameter*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **R41** (2002).
- [23] S. Poljak and Z. Tuza, *Bipartite subgraphs of triangle-free graphs*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **7** (1994), no. 2, 307–313.
- [24] F. P. Ramsey, *On a problem of formal logic*, Classic Papers in Combinatorics, 2009, pp. 1–24.
- [25] J. B. Shearer, *The independence number of dense graphs with large odd girth*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **2** (1995), no. 2.
- [26] J. Spencer, *Asymptotic lower bounds for Ramsey functions*, Discrete Mathematics **20** (1977), 69–76.
- [27] P. Turán, *On an extremal problem in graph theory*, Matematikai és Fizikai Lapok (in Hungarian) **48** (1941), 436–452.
- [28] L. Warnke, *The C_ℓ -free process*, Random Structures & Algorithms **44** (2014), no. 4, 490–526.