

# On hypercube statistics

Noga Alon<sup>\*</sup> Maria Axenovich<sup>†</sup> John Goldwasser<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $s \leq 2^d$  be nonnegative integers. For a subset  $A$  of vertices of the hypercube  $Q_n$  and  $n \geq d$ , let  $\lambda(n, d, s, A)$  denote the fraction of subcubes  $Q_d$  of  $Q_n$  that contain exactly  $s$  vertices of  $A$ . Let  $\lambda(n, d, s)$  denote the maximum possible value of  $\lambda(n, d, s, A)$  as  $A$  ranges over all subsets of vertices of  $Q_n$ , and let  $\lambda(d, s)$  denote the limit of this quantity as  $n$  tends to infinity. We prove several lower and upper bounds on  $\lambda(d, s)$ , showing that for all admissible values of  $d$  and  $s$  it is larger than 0.28. We also show that the values of  $s = s(d)$  such that  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  are exactly  $\{0, 2^{d-1}, 2^d\}$ . In addition we prove that if  $0 < s < d/8$ , then  $\lambda(d, s) \leq 1 - \Omega(1/s)$ , and that if  $s$  is divisible by a power of 2 which is  $\Omega(s)$  then  $\lambda(d, s) \geq 1 - O(1/s)$ . We suspect that  $\lambda(d, 1) = (1 + o(1))/e$  where the  $o(1)$ -term tends to 0 as  $d$  tends to infinity, but this remains open, as does the problem of obtaining tight bounds for essentially all other quantities  $\lambda(d, s)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $Q_n$  be the hypercube of dimension  $n$  whose vertices are identified with  $n$ -component binary vectors. For a subset  $A$  of vertices of  $Q_n$  and  $d \leq n$ , let  $\lambda(n, d, s, A)$  denote the fraction of subcubes  $Q_d$  of  $Q_n$  that contain exactly  $s$  vertices of  $A$ . Let  $\lambda(n, d, s)$  denote the maximum possible value of  $\lambda(n, d, s, A)$  as  $A$  ranges over all subsets of vertices of  $Q_n$ , and let  $\lambda(d, s)$  denote the limit of this quantity as  $n$  tends to infinity. It is easy to see that the limit exists, and is the infimum over  $n$  of  $\lambda(n, d, s)$  as for any fixed  $d, s$  the function  $\lambda(n, d, s)$  is monotone non-increasing in  $n$ .

The problem of determining or estimating the quantities  $\lambda(n, d, s)$  and  $\lambda(d, s)$  is motivated by the questions and results of Goldwasser and Hansen on counting structural configurations in hypercubes [8], as well as by the results on edge-statistics in graphs by Alon, Hefetz, Krivelevich, and Tyomkyn [1], Kwan, Sudakov, and Tran [11], Martinsson, Mousset, Noever, and Trujić [12], and Fox and Saueremann [6].

---

<sup>\*</sup>Princeton University, USA; email: [naalon@math.princeton.edu](mailto:naalon@math.princeton.edu)

<sup>†</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany; email: [maria.aksenovich@kit.edu](mailto:maria.aksenovich@kit.edu)

<sup>‡</sup>West Virginia University, USA; email: [jgoldwas@math.wvu.edu](mailto:jgoldwas@math.wvu.edu)

Clearly  $\lambda(d, s) = \lambda(d, 2^d - s)$  and  $\lambda(d, 0) = 1$ . In addition, if  $s = 2^{d-1}$ , then we see that  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  by taking all vertices of the hypercube with even number of ones. To state our results, define the generalized Johnson's Graph  $J(4s, 2s, s)$  whose vertex set is the set of  $2s$ -element subsets of a  $4s$ -element set, in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding sets intersect in exactly  $s$  elements. Let  $\omega(s) = \omega(J(4s, 2s, s))$  denote the clique number of  $J(4s, 2s, s)$ . It is easy and known that  $\omega(s) \leq 4s - 1$  with equality if and only if a Hadamard matrix of order  $4s$  exists, see for example Godsil and Royle [7]. We first state our upper bounds on  $\lambda(d, s)$ . We use the notation  $t(n, k)$  for the number of edges in the Turán graph  $T(n, k)$ , that is, the complete  $k$ -partite  $n$ -vertex graph with parts that are as equal as possible. Denote the density  $t(n, k)/\binom{n}{2}$  by  $\pi(n, k)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $s$  and  $d$  be integers. Then  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  if and only if  $s \in \{0, 2^d, 2^{d-1}\}$ . If  $1 < s < 2^{d-1}$ , then*

$$\lambda(d, s) \leq \lambda(d+2, d, s) = \pi(d+2, \omega(s)) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{4s-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{d+1}\right).$$

*In particular,  $\lambda(d+2, d, s) = 1$  iff  $d+2 \leq \omega(s)$ . When  $s = 1$ , we have  $\lambda(d, 1) \leq \lambda(d+2, d, 1) = \pi(d+2, 3)$  for  $d < 6$ , and  $\lambda(d+2, d, 1) = 3/4$  otherwise.*

Note that the general upper bound implies in particular that if  $s$  is not large, say,  $0 < s < d/8$ , then  $\lambda(d, s) \leq 1 - \Omega(1/s)$ .

Next we provide the lower bounds that are described probabilistically. Let  $c_d$  denote the probability that a random  $d$  by  $d$  binary matrix whose rows are random independent non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^d$  is nonsingular (in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ). It is easy and well known that  $c_d = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(1 - \frac{2^i-1}{2^d-1}\right)$ , which is roughly 0.289 for large  $d$ .

For  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , let  $c(d, k)$  denote the probability that a random  $(d-k)$  by  $d$  binary matrix whose columns are uniform random vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^{d-k}$  is of rank  $d-k$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ). It is a bit better to take here too only nonzero random column vectors, but to simplify the computation we consider this slightly suboptimal version. By choosing the rows (not the columns) of the matrix one by one ensuring that each row does not lie in the span of the previous ones it is easy to see that

$$c(d, k) = \prod_{i=0}^{d-k-1} \left(1 - \frac{2^i}{2^d}\right).$$

Note that this quantity is larger than  $1 - \frac{1}{2^k}$ .

The first simple lower bound in the theorem below appears in the recent paper Goldwasser and Hansen [8], we include the proof here for completeness. Note that this lower bound approaches  $e^{-1} \approx 0.37$  as  $d$  tends to infinity.

**Theorem 2.** *For any integer  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda(d, 1) \geq (1 - 2^{-d})^{2^d-1}$ . For all admissible  $d$  and  $s$ ,  $\lambda(d, s) \geq c_d$ . Moreover, for every  $s$  of the form  $s = 2^k \cdot j$ , where  $j$  is an*

odd integer, which satisfies  $0 < s \leq 2^{d-1}$ ,  $\lambda(d, s) \geq c(d, k)$ . In particular, for any  $s$  which is a power of 2,  $\lambda(d, s) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{s}$ .

**Remark.** Here we summarise the best bounds on  $\lambda(d, 1)$  when  $d = 2, 3$ , or 4. We have that  $\lambda(2, 1) \geq c_2 = 2/3$  from Theorem 2. Observe that  $\lambda(d, 1) \geq 2/(d+1)$  by the following construction. The Hamming weight of a binary vector is its number of 1's. For a fixed  $d$ , let  $A$  be the set of all vertices in  $Q_n$  with Hamming weight divisible by  $d+1$ . A copy of  $Q_d$ -cube contains precisely one vertex in  $A$  if and only if the smallest Hamming weight of any of its vertices is congruent to 0 or 1 (mod  $d+1$ ). Together with upper bounds established by Baber [3] using the Flag Algebra method, we have the following estimates for  $d = 2, 3$ , and 4:  $2/3 \leq \lambda(2, 1) \leq 0.68572$ ,  $0.5 \leq \lambda(3, 1) \leq 0.61005$ , and  $0.4 \leq \lambda(4, 1) \leq 0.60254$ .

The proofs of the main results are given in Section 2. Section 3 contains some simple number theoretic consequences. In Section 4 we consider an approximate version of the problem. The final Section 5 contains some concluding remarks and open problems.

Throughout this note we call each of the  $2^{n-d} \binom{n}{d}$   $d$ -dimensional subcubes of  $Q_n$  a  $d$ -cube or a copy of  $Q_d$ . The  $k$ -th layer in the hypercube is the set of all vertices of Hamming weight  $k$ .

## 2 Proofs of the main results

*Proof of Theorem 1.* As already mentioned it is clear that  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  for  $s \in \{0, 2^{d-1}, 2^d\}$ . We first show the converse: if  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  then  $s \in \{0, 2^d, 2^{d-1}\}$ .

Consider a prime  $p > 2^d$ , suppose  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  and take a huge  $n \gg p$  and a subset  $A$  of vertices of  $Q_n$  so that every copy of  $Q_d$  contains exactly  $s$  vertices of  $A$ . By a simple iterated application of the hypergraph Ramsey theorem, there is a copy  $Q$  of  $Q_p$  in which every layer is either fully contained in  $A$  or contains no vertices of  $A$ , see for example the Layered Lemma in [2]. We have that  $Q$  has exactly  $2^{p-d} s$  vertices of  $A$  (as it consists of  $2^{p-d}$  pairwise disjoint copies of  $Q_d$ ). This implies that there is a subset  $T$  of  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p\}$  so that

$$s2^{p-d} = \sum_{i \in T} \binom{p}{i}. \quad (1)$$

If  $T$  is empty then  $s = 0$ , so assume  $T$  is nonempty. Consider three possible cases based on whether 0 and/or  $p$  are in  $T$ . If neither 0 nor  $p$  are in  $T$ , then the right hand side of (1) is divisible by  $p$ , which is impossible as the left hand side is not. If both 0 and  $p$  are in  $T$ , then the right hand side of (1) is  $2 \pmod{p}$ . By Fermat's little Theorem in this case  $s2^{p-d} = 2 \pmod{p} = 2^p \pmod{p}$ , so  $s = 2^d \pmod{p}$  and as  $p > 2^d$  and  $p > s$  this gives  $s = 2^d$ . Finally, if exactly one of  $\{0, p\}$  is in  $T$ , then the right hand side of (1) is  $1 \pmod{p}$ , so in this case  $s2^{p-d} = 1 \pmod{p} = 2^{p-1}$

(mod  $p$ ) and thus  $s = 2^{d-1} \pmod{p}$  so  $s = 2^{d-1}$ , completing the proof of the first part of the theorem.

For general upper bounds, we first consider  $\lambda(d+2, d, s)$ . The result will then follow by averaging. Note that each  $d$ -cube in  $Q_{d+2}$  can be uniquely described by the binary vectors that have prescribed values in some two positions, called *fixed positions* and running through all possible  $2^d$  binary vectors on the remaining *variable positions*. The total number of copies of  $Q_d$  in  $Q_{d+2}$  is  $4\binom{d+2}{2}$ .

Consider a set  $A$  of vertices in  $Q_{d+2}$  and let  $M = M_A$  be an  $|A| \times (d+2)$  binary matrix whose rows are the elements of  $A$ . We shall call a copy of  $Q_d$  *good* if it contains exactly  $s$  vertices from  $A$ , and call it *bad* otherwise. For each pair  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq d+2$  let  $M(i, j)$  be the  $|A| \times 2$  sub-matrix of  $M$  whose columns are columns  $i$  and  $j$  of  $M$ . A copy  $Q$  of  $Q_d$  with fixed positions  $i$  and  $j$  is good if and only if there are exactly  $s$  rows of  $M(i, j)$  that match the values in the two fixed positions of  $Q$ .

Thus  $M(i, j)$  contributes to four (out of possible four) good  $Q_d$ 's with fixed positions  $i, j$  if and only if  $M(i, j)$  has exactly  $s$  rows equal to each possible binary vector of length two. In particular, if  $M$  contributes to four good  $Q_d$ 's, then  $M$  has  $4s$  rows and  $|A| = 4s$ . Otherwise  $M(i, j)$  contributes to at most 3 good  $Q_d$ 's.

Case 1.  $|A| \neq 4s$ .

By the previous paragraph in this case  $\lambda(d+2, d, s, A) \leq 3/4$ .

Case 2.  $|A| = 4s$ .

If  $M$  has a column  $i$  with number of zeros not equal to  $2s$ , then  $M(i, j)$  contributes at most 2 good  $Q_d$ 's, for any  $j \neq i$ . Let columns  $i$  and  $j$  have exactly  $2s$  zeros each. Then  $M(i, j)$  contributes 4 good  $Q_d$ 's if and only if these columns have exactly  $s$  positions in which both of them are zero, i.e., correspond to an edge of the generalized Johnson's graph  $J(4s, 2s, s)$ . Otherwise  $M(i, j)$  contributes no good  $Q_d$ 's.

Consider a complete edge-weighted graph  $G$  with vertex set  $[d+2]$ , whose vertices correspond to columns of  $M$  and edges get a weight corresponding to the number of good  $Q_d$ 's contributed by the respective pairs of columns. Thus  $\lambda(d+2, d, s, A)$  is at most the total weight of  $G$  divided by  $4\binom{d+2}{2}$ .

Assume that there are  $k$  columns of  $M$  with exactly  $2s$  zeros each. Without loss of generality these are the first  $k$  columns. We see that the edges of weight 4 in  $G$  correspond to a blow-up of a subgraph of  $J(4s, 2s, s)$ . Since  $\omega(J(4s, 2s, s)) = \omega(s)$ , the total weight of edges contributed by the first  $k$  vertices of  $G$  is at most  $4t(k, \omega(s))$ . All edges incident to  $[d+2] \setminus [k]$  have weight at most 2. Since the density of any

non-trivial Turán graph is at least  $1/2$ , the average weight of an edge induced by  $[k]$  is at least 2. Thus increasing  $k$  does not decrease the total weight of  $G$ , that stays at most  $4t(d+2, \omega(s))$ . Note that this value is attained by a matrix with  $d+2$  columns having  $2s$  zeros each and corresponding to the vertices of a clique in  $J(4s, 2s, s)$ , each repeated an almost equal number of times.

Combining Case 1 and Case 2, we have that

$$\pi(d+2, \omega(s)) \leq \lambda(d+2, d, s) \leq \max\{3/4, \pi(d+2, \omega(s))\}.$$

Clearly  $\omega(1) = 3$  and  $\omega(s) > 3$  for  $s \geq 2$ . Thus in particular  $\lambda(d+2, d, 1) \leq 3/4$ , for  $d > 6$ . Note that  $\pi(d+2, \omega(s)) > 3/4$  if  $s > 1$  or if  $(s = 1 \text{ and } d < 6)$ . Moreover, when  $A$  is the set of all vertices in  $Q_{d+2}$  of Hamming weight  $d+1$  or 0, we have  $\lambda(d+2, d, 1, A) = 3/4$ . Thus  $\lambda(d+2, d, 1) = 3/4$  for  $d \geq 6$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* In order to lower bound  $\lambda(n, d, 1)$ , consider a random set  $A$  of vertices in  $Q_n$  obtained by choosing each vertex randomly and independently with probability  $2^{-d}$ . The probability that a copy of  $Q_d$  contains exactly one vertex of  $A$  is  $2^d \cdot 2^{-d} \cdot (1 - 2^{-d})^{2^d - 1}$ , and the desired result follows by linearity of expectation.

For proving a lower bound for  $\lambda(n, d, s)$ , let  $B$  be a random  $d$  by  $n$  binary matrix whose columns are independent uniformly chosen random nonzero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^d$ . Define a coloring of the vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  viewed as the vertices of  $Q_n$  by coloring each vector by its syndrome  $Bx \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$ . If a set  $S$  of  $d$  columns of  $B$  forms a basis, then the  $2^d$  vertices of each of the  $2^{n-d}$  copies of  $Q_d$  with any fixed values outside the columns of  $S$  get all the  $2^d$  possible colors. Therefore, for every fixed choice of  $s$  of these colors, the set  $A$  of all vertices with these colors has exactly  $s$  vertices of each of the subcubes corresponding to such nonsingular sets of columns  $S$ . The expected fraction of such sets  $S$  is  $c_d$  of all  $\binom{n}{d}$   $d$ -tuples of columns, and therefore there exists a choice of  $B$  for which there are at least that many sets  $S$ . This completes the proof of the second lower bound.

Note that the subcubes that have exactly  $s$  vertices of the set  $A$  above are determined by the sets of their free coordinates, and not by the values of the fixed coordinates. This is a property that, while not needed here, may be helpful for some further applications.

The proof of the last part is very similar to the one above. Let  $B$  be a random  $d-k$  by  $n$  binary matrix whose columns are independent uniformly chosen random vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^{d-k}$ . Define a coloring of the vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  viewed as the vertices of  $Q_n$  by coloring each vector by its syndrome  $Bx \in \mathbb{F}_2^{d-k}$ . If a set  $S$  of  $d$  columns of  $B$  spans  $\mathbb{F}_2^{d-k}$ , then the  $2^d$  vertices of each of the  $2^{n-d}$   $d$ -subcubes corresponding to any

fixed choice of the values of the coordinates not in  $S$  get each of the  $2^{d-k}$  possible colors exactly  $2^k$  times. Therefore, for every fixed choice of  $j$  of these colors, the set  $A$  of all vertices with these colors has exactly  $2^k \cdot j = s$  vertices of each of the subcubes corresponding to such spanning sets of columns  $S$ . The expected fraction of such sets  $S$  is  $c(d, k)$  of all  $\binom{n}{d}$   $d$ -tuples of columns, and therefore there exists a choice of  $B$  for which there are at least that many sets  $S$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We remark that for small values of  $d$  the inequality  $\lambda(n, d, s) \geq c(d, k)$  can be significantly improved to  $c^*(d, k)$  defined similarly by restricting the random columns of the matrix to nonzero vectors. For example, if  $d = 3$  and  $s = 2$ , then  $k = 1$  and the lower bound  $c^*(3, 1)$  is  $8/9$ , whereas  $c(3, 1) = 21/32$ . As  $d$  tends to infinity these two lower bounds converge to the same value.

### 3 Number theoretic consequences

Recall that a layer in  $Q_n$  is a maximal set of vertices with the same Hamming weight. Consider a layered set  $A$  of vertices in  $Q_n$ , that is a set of vertices that contains either all or none of the vertices of each layer. Then the number of vertices of  $A$  in each copy of  $Q_d$  is a sum of binomial coefficients  $\binom{d}{i}$  for some values of  $i$ . If all copies of  $Q_d$  have the same number,  $s$ , of vertices from  $A$ , we have  $\lambda(n, d, s, A) = 1$  and our results provide some simple properties of binomial coefficients. The proof of the following proposition does not involve hypercube statistics, we include it here as the argument is direct, short and simple. Theorem 4 is a generalisation of Proposition 3 and its proof does use hypercube statistics.

**Proposition 3.** *For integers  $k, a, d$ , with  $0 \leq a < k$ ,  $2 < k \leq d$ , let  $q(a, k, d)$  be the sum of the binomial coefficients  $\binom{d}{i}$  over all  $i$ ,  $i \equiv a \pmod{k}$ . Then, for any such fixed  $d$  and  $k$ , the  $k$  numbers  $q(a, k, d)$ ,  $0 \leq a < k$  are not all equal.*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a primitive root of unity of order  $k$ . Then

$$q(a, k, d) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w^{-ia} (1 + w^i)^d,$$

see for example [4, 9]. Let  $v$  be the vector of length  $k$  with coordinates  $(1 + w^i)^d$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ , and let  $A$  be the  $k \times k$  Fourier matrix  $(w^{-ia})_{0 \leq i, a < k}$ . If all the numbers  $q(a, k, d)$  are equal then  $Av$  is a multiple of the constant vector. Since  $A$  is a nonsingular matrix and  $A$  times the vector  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  is the vector  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$  this implies that  $v$  must be a multiple of the vector  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . But this is not the case for  $k > 2$  (note that it is the case for  $k = 2$ ).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $d$  and  $k$  be positive integers, and let  $T$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  (the integers modulo  $k$ ). For each element  $a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  define  $q(a) = q(d, k, a, T) = \sum_i \binom{d}{i}$*

where  $i$  ranges over all numbers between 0 and  $d$  for which  $(i+a) \pmod k$  lies in  $T$ . If all numbers  $q(a)$  are equal then their common value is 0,  $2^{d-1}$ , or  $2^d$ . Moreover, the only possibilities are  $T = \mathbb{Z}_k$  or its complement  $T = \emptyset$ , or  $k$  even and  $T$  either all even residues modulo  $k$  or its complement, i.e., all odd residues modulo  $k$ .

*Proof.* Consider a prime  $p > \max(2^d, k)$ , denote the common value of  $q(a)$  by  $s$ , and choose a subset  $A$  of  $Q_p$  by including in it exactly all layers  $b$  so that  $b \pmod k$  lies in  $T$ . Then every subcube  $Q_d$  in this  $Q_p$  contains exactly  $s$  vertices of  $A$ , so  $Q_p$  contains  $s2^{p-d}$  vertices of  $A$ , and Theorem 1 (and its proof) imply that  $s$  is either 0 or  $2^d$ , or  $2^{d-1}$ .

To prove the "moreover" part (which was the reason to take  $p > k$ , not only  $p > 2^d$ , we allow  $k$  here to be larger than  $2^d$ ), note that if  $s = 0$  then  $T$  is empty, if  $s = 2^d$  then  $T = \mathbb{Z}_k$ , so assume  $s = 2^{d-1}$ . Consider the set  $A$  defined as before but now we take it in a bigger cube  $Q_n$  ( $n \gg p$ ). Every copy of  $Q_p$  in  $Q_n$  contains now  $s$  times  $2^{p-d} = 2^{p-1}$  vertices of  $A$ , which is 1 modulo  $p$ . Therefore, for every  $i$ , layer  $i$  is contained in  $A$  if and only if layer  $i+p$  is not contained in  $A$  (since exactly one of the two binomial coefficients  $\binom{p}{0} = 1$  and  $\binom{p}{p} = 1$  should contribute to the number of vertices in the cube  $Q_p$  that lies in layers  $i, i+1, \dots, i+p$ ).

This means that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$  lies in  $T$  then  $a+p \pmod k$  does not, and  $(a+2p) \pmod k$  is again in  $T$ . For odd  $k$ ,  $2p$  is relatively prime to  $k$ , so this will give that  $T$  is either empty or  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  (which is not the case we are considering). So  $k$  is even and  $a$  lies in  $T$  iff  $a+p$  does not. As  $p$  is relatively prime to  $k$  this shows that  $T$  is either all even or all odd residues modulo  $k$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Approximate hypercube statistics

Consider a positive integer  $d$ . We know exactly for what values of  $s = s(d)$ ,  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$ . These are  $s \in \{0, 2^d, 2^{d-1}\}$ . We say that the real value  $x \in (0, 1)$  is *approximately good* if for any sufficiently large  $d$  and every  $n > d$ , there is a subset  $A$  of vertices in  $Q_n$  such that each copy of  $Q_d$  contains  $x2^d(1 + o(1))$  elements of  $A$ , where the  $o(1)$  tends to zero as  $d$  tends to infinity.

**Theorem 5.** *Any fixed real number  $x \in (0, 1)$  is approximately good.*

*Proof.* Approximate  $x$  by a rational  $p/q$  so that  $|x - p/q| = o(x)$ . Note that for any fixed  $q$ , as  $d$  tends to infinity  $qe^{-d/(10q^2)} = o(x)$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of vertices of the cube  $Q_n$  consisting of all layers that modulo  $q$  belong to some fixed set  $P$  of  $p$  elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Define  $q(a, q, d)$  to be the sum of the binomial coefficients  $\binom{d}{i}$  over all  $i, i \equiv a \pmod q$ , as in the previous section. Then each copy of  $Q_d$  has  $\sum_{y \in P} q(a+y, q, d)$  elements of  $A$ , for some integer  $a$ .

Since  $q(a, q, d) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} w^{-ia} (1+w^i)^d$ , for the primitive root of unity  $w$  of order  $q$ , separating the term  $i=0$  and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$|q(a, q, d) - \frac{1}{q}2^d| \leq (2 - \frac{1}{4q^2})^d \leq 2^d e^{-d/(10q^2)}.$$

Note that the constants 4 and 10 here are not optimal and we make no attempt to optimize them.

Therefore, for each copy  $Q$  of  $Q_d$

$$||A \cap Q| - \frac{p}{q}2^d| \leq q2^d e^{-d/(10q^2)} \leq o(x2^d).$$

Since by our choice of the approximation  $p/q$

$$|\frac{p}{q}2^d - x2^d| \leq o(x2^d)$$

the desired result follows. □

**Remarks:**

- For  $x = 1/3$  the set  $A$  consisting of every third layer of  $Q_n$  contains either  $\lfloor 2^d/3 \rfloor$  or  $\lceil 2^d/3 \rceil$  points in each copy of  $Q_d$ , showing that in this specific case the approximation obtained is as strong as possible. Note also that for the unique odd value  $s \in \{\lfloor 2^d/3 \rfloor, \lceil 2^d/3 \rceil\}$  this shows that  $\lambda(d, s) \geq 2/3 - o(1)$  with the  $o(1)$ -term tending to 0 as  $d$  tends to infinity. This is a better lower bound than the one provided by Theorem 2 for this case.
- As is the case with all the questions here, the behaviour is very different than the one with the analogous questions about edge statistics in graphs: trying to maximize the number of induced subgraphs on  $d$  vertices in a large graph that span exactly (or approximately)  $s$  edges. Here, by Ramsey's theorem, there are always such induced subgraphs that span either 0 or  $\binom{d}{2}$  edges, so no nontrivial approximation to  $s$  is possible if we want it to hold for all induced subgraphs on  $d$  vertices.

## 5 Concluding remarks

We considered the hypercube statistics problem expressed in the numbers  $\lambda(d, s)$ . We proved for a given  $d$  that  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  iff  $s \in \{0, 2^d, 2^{d-1}\}$  and that for other values of  $s$ ,  $\lambda(d, s)$  is at most  $1 - \Omega(1/s)$  as  $d$  grows. We also showed that for those  $s$  that are divisible by a high power of 2 the lower bound on  $\lambda(d, s)$  is close to the above upper bound. The following question remains open.

**Question.** What is the infimum of  $\lambda(d, s)$  over all admissible values of  $d$  and  $s$ ? Is it  $c_d(1 + o(1))$  for large  $d$ ?

By the probabilistic argument described in the second section, we know that  $\lambda(d, s)$  is at least  $c_d$ , which is larger than 0.28 for all  $d, s$ . However, we lack comparable upper bounds. In particular we suspect that for large  $d$ ,  $\lambda(d, 1) = (1 + o(1))1/e \approx 0.37$ , where the  $o(1)$ -term tends to 0 as  $d$  tends to infinity, but can only prove a weaker upper bound arising from a tight bound for  $\lambda(d + 2, d, 1)$ . By a slightly



more careful analysis we can show that for every fixed  $d$ ,  $\lambda(d, 1)$  is strictly less than  $3/4$ , but this is still far from our best lower bound which approached  $1/e$  as  $d$  grows.

Our proof of the general upper bound involved a careful analysis of the  $(d+2)$ -cubes and averaging. We observe that the upper bound in  $(d+2)$ -cubes for  $s > 1$  is achieved by configurations with exactly  $4s$  vertices of  $A$ . One could then upper bound the fraction of  $(d+2)$ -cubes containing exactly  $4s$  elements, and possibly also iterate the argument. However, this approach only gives a modest improvement of the upper bound.

We showed that if  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  then  $s \in \{0, 2^d, 2^{d-1}\}$ . If  $s = 0$  there is a unique set  $A$  in  $Q_n$  such that  $\lambda(n, d, s, A) = 1$ , namely the empty set. Similarly, for  $s = 2^d$ , the only such set  $A$  is the set of all vertices of  $Q_n$ . If  $s = 2^{d-1}$  and  $d = 1$ , there are two possible sets  $A$  such that  $\lambda(n, d, s, A) = 1$ , the one consisting of all vertices of even Hamming weight and the one consisting of all vertices of odd Hamming weight. If  $s = 2^{d-1}$  and  $d > 1$ , there are more than two such sets. Indeed, one can start with the set  $A$  consisting of all vertices of even Hamming weight which satisfies  $\lambda(n, d, s, A) = 1$ . Next, consider a  $(n-d+t)$ -subcube  $Q$ , for some  $t \in [d-1]$ , and replace  $A$  with its complement in this subcube. Let  $B$  be the resulting set of vertices. For any  $d$ -cube  $Q'$ ,  $Q \cap Q'$  is a subcube of dimension at least  $t$ . Since any subcube of dimension at least one has exactly half of its vertices in  $A$ , it follows that the number of vertices of  $B$  in  $Q'$  is still exactly  $2^{d-1}$ . With certain restrictions, this process can be repeated to get additional sets  $A$  that work.

When  $s = 2^d$ , it is clear that  $\lambda(d, s) = 1$  by taking all the vertices in a ground hypercube. However, the problem of finding the largest possible value of  $\lambda(n, d, 2^d, A)$  becomes non-trivial if we restrict the setting to the case when the size  $k$  of  $A$  is prescribed. This problem is a generalisation of the classical isoperimetric problem originally considered for  $d = 1$  that counts the largest number of edges induced by  $k$  vertices in  $Q_n$ . It was solved by Hart [10], as well as by quite a few others. Hardstun, Kratochvíl, Sunde, and Telle [14], see also Simon [13] and Bollobás and Leader [5], extended this problem to general  $d$  and proved that for  $|A| = k$ ,  $\lambda(n, d, 2^d, A)$  is maximised by the set  $A$  of  $k$  binary vectors that represent the first  $k$  non-negative integers.

**Acknowledgements** The research of the first author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2154082. The research of the second author is funded in part by the DFG grant FKZ AX 93/2-1.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, D. Hefetz, M. Krivelevich, and M. Tyomkyn, Edge-statistics on large graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 29 (2020), no. 2, 163–189.

- [2] M. Axenovich and S. Walzer, Boolean lattices: Ramsey properties and embeddings, *Order* (2016), 1–12.
- [3] R. Baber, Private communication.
- [4] A. Benjamin, B. Chen, and K. Kindred, Sums of evenly spaced binomial coefficients. *Mathematics Magazine*, Vol. 83, No. 5 (2010), 370–373.
- [5] B. Bollobás and I. Leader, Exact face-isoperimetric inequalities. *European J. Combin.* 11 (1990), no.4, 335–340.
- [6] J. Fox and L. Saueremann, A completion of the proof of the edge-statistics conjecture, *Adv. Comb.* (2020), Paper No. 4, 52.
- [7] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, xx+439 pp.
- [8] J. Goldwasser and R. Hansen, Inducibility in the hypercube *J. Graph Theory* 105 (2024), no. 4, 501–522.
- [9] H. Gould, *Combinatorial Identities*. Morgantown Printing and Binding, Morgantown, WV, 1972.
- [10] S. Hart, A note on the edges of the  $n$ -cube. *Discrete Math.* 14 (1976), no. 2, 157–163.
- [11] M. Kwan, B. Sudakov, and T. Tran, Anticoncentration for subgraph statistics, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 99 (2019), 757–777.
- [12] A. Martinsson, F. Mousset, A. Noever, and M. Trujic, The edge-statistics conjecture for  $\ell < k^{6/5}$ , *Israel J. Math.* 234 (2019), 677–690.
- [13] H. Simon, A note on the subcubes of the  $n$ -Cube, ArXiv preprint arXiv:2405.19066v1
- [14] J. Sunde, B. Havardstun, J. Kratochvíl, and J. Telle, On a combinatorial problem arising in machine teaching. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning* (to appear), 2024.