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Abstract

A graph is said to be interval colourable if it admits a proper edge-colouring using palette \( \mathbb{N} \) in which the set of colours incident to each vertex is an interval. The interval colouring thickness of a graph \( G \) is the minimum \( k \) such that \( G \) can be edge-decomposed into \( k \) interval colourable graphs. We show that \( \theta(n) \), the maximum interval colouring thickness of an \( n \)-vertex graph, satisfies \( \log(n)^{1/3-o(1)} \leq \theta(n) \leq n^{5/6+o(1)} \), which improves on the trivial lower bound and an upper bound of Axenovich and Zheng. As a corollary, we answer a question of Asratian, Casselgren, and Petrosyan.

1 Introduction

We say that a graph \( G \) is interval colourable if it has an interval colouring \( c \), that is, a proper edge-colouring \( c: E(G) \to \mathbb{N} \) such that the set of colours incident to each vertex \( v \in V(G) \), \( \{c(vw) : w \in N(v)\} \), consists of consecutive integers. Since these notions were introduced by Asratian and Kamalian [2] in 1987, interval colourable graphs and their properties have been studied extensively (see, for example, [1–6, 8–10, 12, 14, 16]). Examples of interval colourable graphs include trees and regular class 1 graphs (and hence, in particular, regular bipartite graphs), while non-interval colourable graphs include odd cycles and complete graphs with an odd number of vertices (see [14] for a further, bipartite, example).

In the present paper, we study a parameter introduced recently by Asratian, Casselgren, and Petrosyan [1] to quantify how far a graph is from being interval colourable. The interval colouring thickness of a graph \( G \), denoted \( \theta(G) \), is the minimum number \( k \) such that \( G \) can be edge-decomposed into \( k \) interval colourable subgraphs. We write \( \theta(n) \) for the maximum interval colouring thickness of a graph on \( n \) vertices, and \( \theta'(m) \) for the maximum interval colouring thickness of a graph with \( m \) edges. Upper bounds on
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\(\theta(G)\) in terms of the edge chromatic number of \(G\) obtained by Asratian, Casselgren, and Petrosyan [1] imply that \(\theta(n) \leq 2 \lceil n/5 \rceil\), and the same authors observe that an arboricity result of Dean, Hutchinson, and Scheinerman [7] gives \(\theta'(m) \leq \left\lceil \sqrt{m/2} \right\rceil\). Axenovich and Zheng [4] improved on the first of these bounds, showing that \(\theta(n)\) is sublinear. We show that a result of Rödl and Wysocka [13] implies the following polynomial improvement.

**Theorem 1.** We have \(\theta(n) \leq n^{5/6+o(1)}\) and \(\theta'(m) \leq m^{5/11+o(1)}\).

As noted above, various graphs with \(\theta(G) \geq 2\) are known, but to the best of our knowledge none with \(\theta(G) \geq 3\) have been found. We show that \(\theta(n) = \omega(1)\).

**Theorem 2.** We have \(\theta(n) \geq \log(n)^{1/3-o(1)}\), and consequently \(\theta'(m) \geq \log(m)^{1/3-o(1)}\).

The first bound in Theorem 2 is proved via a random construction, which will, in fact, produce a bipartite graph. The bound on \(\theta'(m)\) follows trivially (see Section 2). One corollary of this theorem is that for every integer \(k\) there exists a graph with interval colouring thickness \(k\), answering a question of Asratian, Casselgren, and Petrosyan [1]. Indeed, given a graph \(G\) of some interval colouring thickness \(K\), and an edge-decomposition of \(G\) into \(K\) interval colourable subgraphs, the union of any \(k \leq K\) of these subgraphs has interval colouring thickness \(k\).

## 2 Proof of the lower bounds

In order to lower bound the interval colouring thickness of the graph \(G\) that we construct below, we will need to show that every edge-decomposition of \(G\) into sufficiently few parts has a part which is not interval colourable. Our approach to disproving interval colourability is based on the following observation, noted by Sevastianov [14].

**Observation 3.** Let \(G\) be an interval colourable graph and let \(U \subseteq V(G)\). Suppose that there exists \(d \in \mathbb{N}\) such that for all distinct \(v, w \in U\) there is a path \(P\) in \(G\) from \(v\) to \(w\) such that \(\sum_{x \in V(P)} d(x) \leq d\). Then for all \(u \in V(G)\), we have \(|N(u) \cap U| \leq d\).

**Proof.** If \(|U| \leq 1\) then the lemma holds trivially, so assume \(|U| \geq 2\). Let \(c\) be an interval colouring of \(G\), let \(u \in V(G)\), and let \(v, w \in N(u) \cap U\) be distinct. Fix a path \(P = x_1 \ldots x_k\) in \(G\) from \(v = x_1\) to \(w = x_k\) such that \(\sum_{i=1}^k d(x_i) \leq d\). The colours under \(c\) of any two edges incident to a vertex \(x\) of \(G\) differ by at most \(d(x) - 1\), so it follows from the existence of \(P\) that any edge incident to \(v\) and any edge incident to \(w\) have colours differing by at most \(\sum_{i=1}^k (d(x_i) - 1) \leq d - 1\). Observe that we can choose \(v\) and \(w\) such that \(c(uv) - c(uw) \geq |N(u) \cap U| - 1\) which gives \(|N(u) \cap U| \leq d\).

We shall construct a bipartite graph of large interval colouring thickness by patching together many bipartite graphs of the form given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Fix \(\alpha, \delta \in (0, 1/3)\) such that \(\delta \geq 2\alpha\), and let \(a \geq 8/\alpha \delta^2\) be an integer. Then for all integers \(n \geq 1000(\log(a) + 1)/\alpha^2\) there is a bipartite graph \(G\) on parts \(A\) and \(B\) of sizes \(a\) and \(n\) respectively satisfying the following.

(a) For all \(x \in A\), \(d(x) = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor\).
(b) Let \( H \) be a subgraph of \( G \) with at least \( \delta\alpha n \) edges. Then there exist \( A' \subseteq A \) and \( B' \subseteq B \) with \( |A'| \leq \delta/\alpha \) and \( |B'| \geq \delta^2 n/32 \) such that the induced subgraph \( H[A' \cup B'] \) has diameter at most 6.

**Proof.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be vertex sets of the desired sizes and consider the random bipartite graph between them in which every edge appears independently with probability \( 4\alpha/3 \). Drawing on \( n \geq 1000(\log(a) + 1)/\alpha^2 \), a standard application of a Chernoff bound and a union bound over all vertices \( x \in A \) yields that with failure probability at most \( 1/e \) we have \( d(x) \geq \alpha n \) for all \( x \in A \). Similarly, we can show that, with failure probability at most \( 1/e \), we have \( |N(x) \cap N(y)| \leq 2\alpha^2 n \) for all distinct \( x, y \in A \), a property which we will call \((\ast)\). Thus, there is a positive probability that in this random graph we have both \( d(x) \geq \alpha n \) for all \( x \in A \), and property \((\ast)\). Given an outcome with these properties, we can delete edges where necessary to obtain a graph \( G \) satisfying (a) and \((\ast)\). We will now show that \( G \) also satisfies (b).

Fix a subgraph \( H \) of \( G \) with at least \( \delta\alpha n \) edges. Let \( \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \subseteq A \) be a maximal set with the property that there is a collection of pairwise disjoint sets \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_k\} \) such that \( S_i \subseteq N_H(s_i) \) and \( |S_i| \geq \delta\alpha n/4 \) for each \( i \in [k] \). Let \( S := \bigcup S_i \). Note that \( k \leq K \) := \( 4/\alpha \delta \) (indeed, otherwise \( n = |B| \geq \sum |S_i| > K\delta\alpha n/4 = n \)). By (a) and the fact that \( a \geq 8/\alpha \delta^2 \), there exists \( z \in A \setminus \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \) with

\[
d_H(z) \geq \frac{e(H) - k |\alpha n|}{a} \geq \delta\alpha n - \frac{4n}{\alpha \delta} \geq \frac{\delta\alpha n}{2}.
\]

Now, if \( |N_H(z) \cap (B \setminus S)| \geq \delta\alpha n/4 \), then we could add \( z \) to \( S \), giving a contradiction. Hence, \( |N_H(z) \cap S| \geq \delta\alpha n/4 \). By property \((\ast)\), we have \( |N_H(z) \cap S_i| \leq 2\alpha^2 n \) for all \( i \in [k] \), so \( N_H(z) \) intersects at least \( \frac{\delta\alpha n}{4\alpha^2 n} = \frac{\delta}{8\alpha} \) of the \( S_i \). Denote by \( B' \) the union of the first \( \lceil \frac{\delta}{8\alpha} \rceil \) of the \( S_i \) which intersect \( N_H(z) \) and let \( A' \) be the set containing \( z \) and the corresponding \( s_i \). By construction \( H[A' \cup B'] \) has diameter at most 6, and since \( \delta \geq 2\alpha \) we have \( |A'| = \lceil \delta/8\alpha \rceil + 1 \leq \delta/\alpha \) and \( |B'| \geq \lceil \delta/8\alpha \rceil \cdot \delta\alpha n/4 \geq \delta^2 n/32 \), as required. \( \Box \)

To obtain Theorem 2, we shall construct a graph \( G \) that is a union of \( t' \approx \log(n) \) bipartite graphs \( G_i \) with bipartitions \((A_i, B)\) such that \( |A_i| = \sqrt{n} \) and \( |B| = n \), and where the degrees of vertices in \( A_{i-1} \) are twice those of vertices in \( A_i \). We apply Lemma 4 to obtain such \( G_i \) that also satisfy (b) with \( \delta = 1/\ell \), where \( \ell \approx \log(n)^{1/3} \). Suppose that \( G \) can be edge-partitioned into \( \ell \) interval colourable graphs, and pick one of these graphs \( H \) such that there are at least \( t = t'/\ell \approx \log(n)^{2/3} \) many \( i \) where \( H \cap G_i \) has at least a \( \delta \) proportion of the edges of \( G_i \). Henceforth, we restrict to indices \( i \) that have this property.

By the lemma, for each \( i \) there is a subgraph \( G'_i \) of \( H \cap G_i \) on \( A'_i \cup B \) satisfying the properties in (b), i.e. \( G'_i \) has small diameter, and \( |A'_i| \) is small while \( |B_i| \geq n/\ell^2 \). For indices \( j \leq k \), since the diameter of \( G'_k \) is small, we can find a short, low degree path in \( H \) between any two vertices in \( B_k \). Thus Observation 3 yields that vertices in \( A'_j \) (which have much larger degrees) can’t send a large proportion of their edges into \( B_k \). Since \( A'_j \) is small, it follows that \( B_j \cap B_k \) is small. Hence, by slightly thinning our index set, we have that \( B_j \cap B_k \) is small for all \( j \neq k \). But each \( B_j \) individually has size at least \( n/\ell^2 \) so that the union of the \( t \) many \( B_j \) is larger than \( n = |B| \), which is a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 2. Fix \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and let \( n \) be large in terms of \( \varepsilon \). Since \( n \) is large, in what follows we will not concern ourselves with whether or not expressions are integers. Let \( \ell = \log(n)^{1/3-\varepsilon} \). To prove the theorem we will construct a graph \( G \) on at most \( 2n \) vertices which will show having interval colour thickness greater than \( \ell \).

Let \( t = 64\ell^2 \), \( d = \log(7t^2/\ell) = \log(7 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot \ell) \), and \( t' = dt = 64d\ell^2 \), and note that
\[
t' = 64 \cdot \log(n)^{1-3\varepsilon} \cdot \log(7 \cdot 2^{12} \cdot \log(n)^{1-3\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{\log n}{3}
\]
since \( n \) is large. Now let \( B \) be a set of \( n \) vertices. For each \( i \in \{ \frac{\log(n)}{9}, \ldots, \frac{\log(n)}{9} \} \), let \( A_i \) be a set of \( \sqrt{n} \) vertices and let \( G_i \) be a bipartite graph with bipartition \((A_i, B)\) of the form given by Lemma 4, where \( G \) is taken to be \( \alpha_i = 2^{-i} \) and \( \delta = 1/\ell \). To see that we may apply the lemma in this setting, note that \( n^{1/9} \leq \alpha_i^{-1} \leq n^{4/9} \) and \( n \) is large, so \( \alpha_i, \delta \in (0, 1/3) \) with \( \delta \geq 2\alpha \),
\[
8/\alpha_i\delta^2 \leq 8 \cdot n^{4/9} \cdot \log(n)^{2/3-2\varepsilon} \leq \sqrt{n} = a,
\]
and
\[
\frac{1000(\log(a)+1)}{\alpha_i^2} \leq 1000 \cdot n^{8/9} \cdot \left( \frac{\log(n)}{2} + 1 \right) \leq n.
\]

Let \( G \) be the union of the \( G_i \), so that \( G \) is a bipartite graph with bipartition \((\bigcup_i A_i, B)\). Note that \( |V(G)| \leq n + \log(n)\sqrt{n}/3 \leq 2n \), and suppose for a contradiction that \( G \) has an edge-decomposition into \( \ell \) interval colourable subgraphs. For each subgraph \( H \) in such a decomposition, let \( I_H \) be the set of those \( i \) for which \( |E(H) \cap E(G_i)| \geq e(G_i)/\ell \).

By two applications of the pigeonhole principle, we first find for each \( i \) a part \( H \) such that \( i \in I_H \), and then a part \( H \) with \( |I_H| \geq t'/\ell \). Finally, we may obtain a sequence \( i_1, \ldots, i_t \in I_H \) such that \( i_{j+1} \geq i_j + d \) for all \( j \in [t-1] \). Now, by (b) from Lemma 4, for each \( j \in [t] \) there are \( A_i \subseteq A_j \) and \( B_{i_j} \subseteq B \) with \( |A_i| \leq 2^i/\ell \), \( |B_{i_j}| \geq n/(32\ell^2) \) such that \( G_{i_j} = (G_{i_j} \cap H)[A'_{i_j} \cup B_{i_j}] \) has diameter at most 6.

We next argue that for any fixed \( j, k \in [t] \) with \( j < k \) the intersection \( B_{i_j} \cap B_{ik} \) is small. The degrees in \( G \) of vertices in \( A'_{ik} \) are \( 2^{-i_k}n \) and those of vertices in \( B_{ik} \) are at most \( t' \sqrt{n} \leq \log(n)\sqrt{n} \leq 2^{-i_k}n \) since \( i_k \leq 4(\log(n)/9) \). Combining this with the fact that \( G'_{ik} \) has diameter at most 6 gives that for any two vertices \( v, w \in B_{ik} \) there is a path \( P \) in \( G'_{ik} \subseteq H \) from \( v \) to \( w \) with \( \sum x \in V(P) d_G(x) \leq 7 \cdot 2^{-i_k}n \). Thus Observation 3 yields that for all \( u \in A_j \) we have \( |N_H(u) \cap B_{ik}| \leq 7 \cdot 2^{-i_k}n \).

Since \( B_{ij} \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in A'_{ij}} N_H(u) \), this gives
\[
|B_{ij} \cap B_{ik}| \leq \sum_{u \in A'_{ij}} |N_H(u) \cap B_{ik}| \leq \frac{2^{i_j}}{\ell} \cdot 7 \cdot 2^{-i_k}n.
\]

Thus
\[
\left| \bigcup_{j \in [t]} B_{ij} \right| \geq \sum_j |B_{ij}| - \sum_{j < k} |B_{ij} \cap B_{ik}| \geq \frac{tn}{32\ell^2} - \sum_{j < k} \frac{7 \cdot 2^{i_j-i_k}n}{\ell} \geq \frac{tn}{32\ell^2} - \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \frac{7n}{\ell} \cdot 2^i.
\]
Since $t \geq 64t^2$ and $d > \log(7(1)/\ell)$, this yields

$$ n \geq \left\lvert \bigcup_{j \in [t]} B_{ij} \right\rvert > 2n - n = n, $$

giving the required contradiction. This completes the proof of the bound on $\theta(n)$. It is straightforward to deduce the bound on $\theta'(m)$ by noting that any $n$-vertex graph contains at most $n^2$ edges and $\theta'$ is an increasing function, so $\theta'(n^2) \geq \theta(n)$ for all $n$.  

## 3 Proof of the upper bounds

We prove Theorem 1 by decomposing into forests and regular bipartite subgraphs. Such graphs are interval colourable (regular bipartite graphs are edge-decomposable into perfect matchings which can be taken as colour classes). To find large regular subgraphs of relatively dense graphs we rely on the following result of Rödl and Wysocka [13].

**Theorem 5** ([13]). Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to [0, 1/2]$ satisfy $\gamma(n) = \omega(n^{-1/3})$ as $n \to \infty$. Then every $n$-vertex graph with at least $\gamma n^2$ edges contains an $\Omega(\gamma^3 n)$-regular subgraph.

Before proving Theorem 1, we note that it is relatively straightforward to use Theorem 5 and the bound $\theta'(m) = O(\sqrt{m})$ [1, 7] mentioned in the introduction to prove that $\theta(n) = n^{1-\Omega(1)}$. Indeed, let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph and suppose that $e(G) = \Omega(n^{2-2/13})$. Then $G$ contains a bipartite subgraph with $\Omega(n^{2-2/13})$ edges, which in turn contains an $\Omega(n^{1-6/13})$-regular (bipartite) subgraph by Theorem 5. Such a subgraph contains $\Omega(n^{2-12/13})$ edges. Delete these edges from $G$, and repeat until the remaining graph has fewer than $n^{2-2/13}$ edges. This process terminates after $O(n^{2-2+12/13}) = O(n^{12/13})$ steps, at which point we have partitioned $G$ into $O(n^{12/13})$ regular bipartite (and hence interval colourable) subgraphs, and a subgraph with $O(n^{2-2/13})$ edges. This final portion has interval colouring thickness $O(\sqrt{n^{2-2/13}}) = O(n^{12/13})$ by the bound on $\theta'(m)$, so $\theta(G) = O(n^{12/13})$.

When upper bounding the number of steps in this process we assumed the worst: that there were on the order of $n^2$ edges in $G$ to begin with. If this were the case, however, then we could (and should) have taken the first few regular bipartite subgraphs to have more edges than we did. Thus, to make optimal use of Theorem 5 we must adjust the sizes of the regular bipartite subgraphs as we go through. Note that at the end of the process we appeal to a bound on $\theta'(m)$, so the quality of the bound on $\theta(n)$ that we can obtain from this argument will depend on the quality of our $\theta'(m)$ bound. The next lemma optimises this approach for any given polynomial upper bound on $\theta'(m)$.

**Lemma 6.** Suppose that $\theta'(m) = O(m^\beta)$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1/2]$. Then $\theta(n) \leq n^{\alpha + o(1)}$, where $\alpha = \frac{10\beta}{5+\beta}$.

**Proof.** Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. It is sufficient to show that every $n$-vertex graph has interval colouring thickness $O(n^{\alpha + \varepsilon})$. Let $\alpha_i = \frac{5}{2\beta}(1 - \frac{1}{i^{\beta}})$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\alpha_0 = 0$, and suppose that $G$ is an $n$-vertex graph with $n^{2-\alpha_i+1} \leq e(G) \leq n^{2-\alpha_i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $G$ contains
a bipartite subgraph with at least \( n^{2-\alpha_i+1}/2 \) edges which in turn has an \( \Omega(n^{1-3\alpha_i+1}) \)-regular (bipartite) subgraph by Theorem 5 (note that \( \alpha_i+1 < 1/3 \)). This subgraph has \( \Omega(n^{2-6\alpha_i+1}) \) edges, so if we delete these edges from \( G \) and repeat until the remaining graph has fewer than \( n^{2-\alpha_i+1} \) edges, then the process will terminate after \( O(n^{2-\alpha_i}/n^{2-6\alpha_i+1}) = O(n^{6\alpha_i+1-\alpha_i}) = O(n^{\alpha}) \) steps.

Let \( C \in \mathbb{N} \) be large enough that \( \alpha C \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \beta^{-1} \varepsilon \). Every \( n \)-vertex graph \( G \) has at most \( n^2 = n^{2-\alpha_0} \) edges, so by repeatedly applying the above, we can remove at most \( C \cdot O(n^\alpha) = O(n^\alpha) \) regular bipartite graphs from \( G \) so that fewer than \( n^{2-\alpha C} \) edges remain. By the assumed bound on \( \theta'(m) \), this remaining portion has interval colouring thickness \( O(n^{\beta(2-\alpha C)}) = O(n^{\beta(2-\alpha/5+\beta^{-1}\varepsilon)}) = O(n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) \).

The next lemma converts an upper bound on \( \theta(n) \) to one on \( \theta'(m) \). The proof is based on the idea that every connected graph with \( m \) edges is either dense enough that we can apply the bound on \( \theta(n) \), or sparse enough that a spanning tree contains a large proportion of its edges.

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that \( \theta(n) = O(n^\alpha) \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \). Then \( \theta'(m) = O(m^\beta) \) where \( \beta = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda \geq 1 \) be such that \( \theta \left( \left\lceil \frac{1}{\beta} x \right\rceil \right) \leq \lambda x^\alpha \) for all \( x \in [1, \infty) \). We will show that \( \theta(G) \leq \lambda m^\beta \) for all graphs \( G \) with \( e(G) = m \). We proceed by induction on \( m \). First, observe that if \( G \) has connected components \( C_1, \ldots, C_t \), then \( \theta(G) = \max_i \theta(C_i) \), so we may assume that \( G \) is connected. If \( |V(G)| > m' := \left\lceil \frac{1}{\beta} m^{1-\beta} \right\rceil \), then \( G \) contains a tree \( T \) with \( m' \) edges. By induction and applying the mean value theorem to the function \( x \mapsto x^\beta \), we obtain

\[
\theta(G - T) \leq \lambda(m - m')^\beta \leq \lambda(m^\beta - m' \beta m^{\beta-1}) \leq \lambda m^\beta - 1 \leq \lambda m^\beta - 1
\]

since \( \lambda \geq 1 \). As \( T \) is interval colourable we have \( \theta(G) \leq \lambda m^\beta \) as required. On the other hand, if \( |V(G)| \leq m' \), then since \( \theta \) is monotonic we have \( \theta(G) \leq \theta(m') \leq \lambda m^{\alpha-\beta \alpha} = \lambda m^\beta \) by our choice of \( \lambda \).

We can now prove Theorem 1 by playing the preceding lemmas off against each other.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let \( \alpha_0 = 1 \), then for each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( \beta_i = \frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{1+\alpha_{i-1}} \) and \( \alpha_i = \frac{10\beta_i}{5+6\beta_i} = \frac{10\alpha_{i-1}}{5+6\alpha_{i-1}} \). Noting that \( \beta_i = \frac{10\beta_i}{5+11\beta_i} \) for \( i \geq 2 \), we see that \( \alpha_i \in (0, 1) \) and \( \beta_i \in (0, 1/2) \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence, if \( \theta(n) \leq n^{\alpha_i+o(1)} \) for some \( i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), then Lemma 7 yields \( \theta'(m) \leq m^{\beta_i+1+o(1)} \). This allows us to deduce from Lemma 6 that \( \theta(G) \leq n^{\alpha_i+1+o(1)} \). Clearly \( \theta(n) \leq n = n^{\alpha_0} \), so \( \theta(n) \leq n^{\alpha_i+o(1)} \) and \( \theta'(m) \leq m^{\beta_i+1+o(1)} \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). The theorem now follows from the fact that \( \alpha_i \to \frac{5}{6} \) and \( \beta_i \to \frac{2}{5} \) as \( i \to \infty \).  

\[ \square \]
4 Concluding remarks

We have improved the bounds on the maximum interval colouring thickness of a graph on \( n \) vertices, showing that \( \log(n)^{1/3-o(1)} \leq \theta(n) \leq n^{5/6+o(1)} \). Clearly there remains a large gap between the bounds, which it would be interesting to narrow. We are particularly interested to know whether \( \theta(n) \) is polynomial in \( n \), and we believe this is not the case.

**Conjecture 8.** We have \( \theta(n) = n^{o(1)} \).

We proved our upper bound on \( \theta(n) \) by showing that any graph could be edge-decomposed into the desired number of regular bipartite graphs and forests (which are all interval colourable). However, no bound of the form \( \theta(n) = o(\sqrt{n}) \) can be obtained by decomposing only into these two types of graphs, as the following example shows.

**Observation 9.** Every edge-decomposition of \( K_{n,\sqrt{n}} \) into forests and regular graphs has at least \( (1-o(1))\sqrt{n} \) parts.

This suggests that to further improve the upper bound on \( \theta(n) \), it might be useful to prove an upper bound on the interval colouring thickness of biregular graphs (where a graph is **biregular** if it is bipartite and all vertices in the same part have the same degree). It is a longstanding conjecture of Hansen [9] (see also [11, 15]) that such graphs are, in fact, interval colourable.

**Conjecture 10 ([9]).** Every biregular graph is interval colourable.

We pose a weaker conjecture.

**Conjecture 11.** There exists an absolute constant \( C \) such that \( \theta(G) \leq C \) for every biregular graph \( G \).

A proof of Conjecture 11 might pave the way to an improved bound on \( \theta(n) \) via decompositions into forests and biregular graphs. We ask whether this strategy has the potential to prove Conjecture 8.

**Question 12.** Can every \( n \)-vertex graph be edge-decomposed into \( n^{o(1)} \) forests and biregular graphs?
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