

## Bifurcation Theory

### Solutions to Problem Sheet 11

#### Problem 28 (Proof of Proposition V.1)

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for  $\phi, \psi$  as in (H2), we get

$$(i) \quad y_k(t) = \left( e^{ikt} id - e^{\frac{t}{\beta} A} \right) (A - ik\beta)^{-1} \xi \text{ if } |k| \neq 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad y_1(t) = \left( e^{it} id - e^{\frac{t}{\beta} A} \right) w - \frac{t}{\beta} e^{it} \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi$$

where  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  satisfies  $(A - i\beta)w = \xi - \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi$ .

#### Solution

As in the lecture let

$$y_k(t) := -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta} A\right) \xi e^{ik\tau} d\tau \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

(i) For  $|k| \neq 1$  let  $\eta := (A - ik\beta)^{-1} \xi$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_k(t) &= e^{ikt} \int_0^t -\frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta} A + ik(\tau-t)\right) \xi d\tau \\ &= e^{ikt} \int_0^t -\frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta} (A - ik\beta)\right) \xi d\tau \\ &= e^{ikt} \int_0^t -\frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta} (A - ik\beta)\right) (A - ik\beta) \eta d\tau \\ &= e^{ikt} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta} (A - ik\beta)\right) \eta \right]_0^t \\ &= e^{ikt} \left( \eta - \exp\left(\frac{t}{\beta} (A - ik\beta)\right) \eta \right) \\ &= e^{ikt} \eta - \exp\left(\frac{t}{\beta} A\right) \eta \\ &= \left( e^{ikt} id - e^{\frac{t}{\beta} A} \right) (A - ik\beta)^{-1} \xi. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For  $k = 1$  we get similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1(t) &= e^{it} \int_0^t -\frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta}(A - i\beta)\right) ((A - i\beta)w + \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi) d\tau \\
&= e^{it} \int_0^t -\frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta}(A - i\beta)\right) (A - i\beta)w - \frac{1}{\beta} \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi d\tau \\
&= e^{it} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\beta}(A - i\beta)\right) w - \frac{\tau}{\beta} \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi \right]_0^t \\
&= e^{it} w - \exp\left(\frac{t}{\beta}A\right) w - \frac{t}{\beta} e^{it} \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi \\
&= \left( e^{it} id - e^{\frac{t}{\beta}A} \right) w - \frac{t}{\beta} e^{it} \langle \psi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used in particular that  $\phi \in \ker(A - i\beta)$  and hence

$$\exp(A - i\beta) \phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (A - i\beta)^k \phi = \phi + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (A - i\beta)^k \phi}_{=0} = \phi.$$

**Problem 29 (Simplicity (H2))**

Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with

$$\ker(A - i\beta) = \text{span}\{\phi\}, \quad \ker(A^T + i\beta) = \text{span}\{\psi\}, \quad \langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} = 1.$$

Show that

$$\psi \cdot \phi = 0.$$

**Solution**

For  $\phi, \psi$  as above we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A^T + i\beta)\psi \cdot \phi \\ &= \langle (A^T + i\beta)\psi, \bar{\phi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \langle \psi, (A - i\beta)\bar{\phi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \langle \psi, \overline{(A + i\beta)\phi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \langle \psi, \overline{2i\beta\phi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= 2i\beta \langle \psi, \bar{\phi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= 2i\beta \psi \cdot \phi \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\psi \cdot \phi = 0.$$

### Problem 30 (Assumptions (H1)-(H4))

Consider the differential equation

$$-y'' = g(y', y, \lambda).$$

Under which conditions on  $g$  are the assumptions (H1)-(H4) valid for some given  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ?

*Hint: Write the differential equation as a two-dimensional dynamical system in the variable  $x = (y, y')$ .*

### Solution

We rewrite our differential equation as a two-dimensional system in the variable  $x = (y, y')$ , i.e.

$$x' = f(x, \lambda)$$

where

$$f(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -g(x_2, x_1, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Step 1: (H1) iff  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $g(0, 0, \lambda_0) = 0$ .*

We define  $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(p, x, \lambda) \mapsto g(p, x, \lambda)$ . Then we observe that  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  iff  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $f(0, \lambda_0) = 0$  iff  $g(0, 0, \lambda_0) = 0$ .

*Step 2: (H2) iff  $g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) = \beta^2$ ,  $g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) = 0$  and  $\phi, \psi$  chosen as  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\beta \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\psi = \frac{1}{2\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ .*

We calculate

$$f_x(0, \lambda_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) & -g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$f_x(0, \lambda_0) - i\beta = \begin{pmatrix} -i\beta & 1 \\ -g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) & -g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) - i\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$f_x(0, \lambda_0)^T + i\beta = \begin{pmatrix} i\beta & -g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) \\ 1 & -g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) + i\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\ker (f_x(0, \lambda_0) - i\beta) = \ker \begin{pmatrix} -i\beta & 1 \\ -g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) & -g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) - i\beta \end{pmatrix} = \ker \begin{pmatrix} -i\beta & 1 \\ \beta^2 - g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) & -g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and this kernel is nontrivial iff  $g_p(0, 0, \lambda_0) = 0$  and  $g_x(0, 0, \lambda_0) = \beta^2$ . Then

$$\ker (f_x(0, \lambda_0) - i\beta) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\beta \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Additionally we see that in this case also  $\ker (f_x(0, \lambda_0)^T + i\beta)$  is nontrivial as

$$\ker (f_x(0, \lambda_0)^T + i\beta) = \ker \begin{pmatrix} i\beta & -\beta^2 \\ 1 & i\beta \end{pmatrix} = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

With  $\phi := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\beta \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\psi := \frac{1}{2\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ i \end{pmatrix}$  we also have

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \phi \cdot \bar{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\beta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{2\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ -i \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

*Step 3: With  $g$  chosen as above, (H3) is fulfilled.*

We calculate

$$f_x(0, \lambda_0) + ik\beta = \begin{pmatrix} ik\beta & -\beta^2 \\ 1 & ik\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence  $\det(f_x(0, \lambda_0) + ik\beta) = -k^2\beta^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $|k| \neq 1$ . Consequently  $\ker (f_x(0, \lambda_0) + ik\beta) = \{0\}$ .

*Step 4: (H4) is fulfilled iff  $g_{p\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) \neq 0$ .*

We observe

$$f_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -g_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) & -g_{p\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0)\phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} &= f_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0)\phi \cdot \bar{\psi} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -g_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) - i\beta g_{p\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{2\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i}{2\beta} g_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) - \frac{1}{2} g_{p\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\text{Re} (\langle f_{x\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0)\phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}) = -\frac{1}{2} g_{p\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) \neq 0$$

iff  $g_{p\lambda}(0, 0, \lambda_0) \neq 0$  which closes the proof.