

Solution to Problem Sheet 2

Bifurcation Theory Winter Semester 2022/23

14.11.2022

We consider the problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u''(t) + g(u(t), \lambda) = 0 & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$

where

(A) $\alpha_0 \in (0, \infty]$ and $g \in C^1((-\alpha_0, \alpha_0) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $g(z, \lambda) = -g(-z, \lambda) > 0$ for $0 < z < \alpha_0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall the necessary and sufficient conditions appearing in Corollary 2.5 from the lecture:

$$(N) \quad g_z(0, \lambda_*) = \frac{\pi^2(j+1)^2}{T^2}.$$

(S) $g_z(0, \cdot)$ is strictly monotone near λ_* .

Problem 4:

Assume (A), (N) and the following stronger assumption, replacing (S):

(S') $g \in C^2((-\alpha_0, \alpha_0) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $g_{z\lambda}(0, \lambda_*) \neq 0$.

By Corollary 2.5, for $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small there exist j -nodal solutions $(\pm u_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ of (1) with $\|u\|_\infty = \alpha$ that bifurcate from $(0, \lambda_*)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

(a) Prove that if (S') holds, then for α sufficiently small these solutions are uniquely determined by α .

(b) Prove that if (S') holds and $g_{zz}(0, \lambda) \neq 0$, then for α sufficiently small the bifurcation curve has the following "direction":

- λ_α is decreasing in α if $g_{zz}(0, \lambda_*)g_{z\lambda}(0, \lambda_*) > 0$,
- λ_α is increasing in α if $g_{zz}(0, \lambda_*)g_{z\lambda}(0, \lambda_*) < 0$.

Solution to problem 4:

We revisit the proof of Corollary 2.5, and consider

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{T}{\sqrt{2}(j+1)} = \int_0^\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{G(\alpha, \lambda) - G(z, \lambda)}} dz = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{G(\alpha, \lambda) - G(s\alpha, \lambda)}{\alpha^2} \right)^{-1/2} ds =: f(\alpha, \lambda)$$

where $G(z, \lambda) = \int_0^z g(s, \lambda) ds$ and we may write

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{G(\alpha, \lambda) - G(s\alpha, \lambda)}{\alpha^2} &= \int_s^1 \int_0^\tau g_z(\mu\alpha, \lambda) d\mu d\tau \\ &= \int_s^1 \int_0^\tau g_z(0, \lambda_0) + o(1) d\mu d\tau = [g_z(0, \lambda_0) + o(1)] \frac{1-s^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

as $(\alpha, \lambda) \rightarrow (0, \lambda_0)$. Using dominated convergence, it follows that

$$f(\alpha, \lambda) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{G(\alpha, \lambda) - G(s\alpha, \lambda)}{\alpha^2} \right)^{-1/2} ds \rightarrow \int_0^1 \left(g_z(0, \lambda_0) \frac{1-s^2}{2} \right)^{-1/2} ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_z(0, \lambda_0)}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

as $(\alpha, \lambda) \rightarrow (0, \lambda_0)$. Recall Theorem 2.3:

There exists a j -nodal solution (u, λ) of (1) with $\|u\|_\infty = \alpha$ if and only if $f(\alpha, \lambda) = \frac{T}{\sqrt{2}(j+1)}$.

- (a) We choose $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that $g_{z\lambda} \neq 0$ on $(-\delta, \delta) \times (\lambda_* - \varepsilon, \lambda_* + \varepsilon)$. W.l.o.g. let $g_{z\lambda} > 0$ (otherwise replace λ by $-\lambda$).

As g_z is strictly increasing in λ , using (4) and the definition of f , we see that f is strictly decreasing in λ . Since in addition

$$f(0+, \lambda_* - \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_z(0, \lambda_* - \varepsilon)}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_z(0, \lambda_*)}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{T}{\sqrt{2}(j+1)} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_z(0, \lambda_* + \varepsilon)}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} = f(0+, \lambda_* + \varepsilon),$$

for $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small there exists a unique solution $\lambda \in (\lambda_* - \varepsilon, \lambda_* + \varepsilon)$ of $f(\alpha, \lambda) = \frac{T}{\sqrt{2}(j+1)}$.

By Theorem 2.3 this completes the proof.

- (b) Again choose $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that $g_{z\lambda}, g_{zz} \neq 0$ on $(-\delta, \delta) \times (\lambda_* - \varepsilon, \lambda_* + \varepsilon)$. W.l.o.g. let $g_{z\lambda} > 0$. Also we only consider the case $g_{zz} > 0$, as $g_{zz} < 0$ can be treated similarly.

Problem 5:

Assume that (A) and (N) hold, but (S) does not. Prove the following:

- (a) Multiple bifurcation curves can exist at $(0, \lambda_*)$, i.e. there exist j -nodal solutions $(u_\alpha, \mu_\alpha), (v_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ that bifurcate from $(0, \lambda_*)$ such that $\|u_\alpha\|_\infty = \alpha = \|v_\alpha\|_\infty$ and $\mu_\alpha \neq \nu_\alpha$ for all α .

Remark: This does not show that the solutions $(u_\alpha, \mu_\alpha), (v_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ describe curves (i.e. that the maps $\alpha \mapsto (u_\alpha, \mu_\alpha), \alpha \mapsto (v_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ are continuous). You need not show continuity.

- (b) Bifurcation need not occur at $(0, \lambda_*)$.

Hint: Consider $g(x, \lambda) = f(\lambda) \sin(x)$ for suitable f .

Solution to problem 5:

- (a) Consider, as a slight modification of the pendulum equation discussed in the lecture, $g(z, \mu) = (\lambda_j + (\mu - \lambda_j)^2) \sin(z)$ where $\lambda_j = ((j+1)\pi)^2$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and consider $\mu_* := \lambda_j$.

We know from the lecture that, for $-u'' = \lambda \sin(u), u(0) = u(1) = 0$, j -nodal solutions bifurcate at the point $(0, \lambda_j)$, parametrized as $(u_{\alpha,j}, \lambda_{\alpha,j})_{0 < \alpha < \pi}$ with $\lambda_j(\alpha) \searrow \lambda_j$ as $\alpha \searrow 0$. With g chosen as above, we find two parameters μ corresponding to each value of α via

$$\lambda_{\alpha,j} = \lambda_j + (\mu - \lambda_j)^2 \iff \mu = \lambda_j \pm \sqrt{\lambda_{\alpha,j} - \lambda_j},$$

which yields two distinct families of bifurcating j -nodal solutions of (1) parametrized as

$$\left(u_{\alpha,j}, \lambda_j + \sqrt{\lambda_j(\alpha) - \lambda_j} \right)_{0 < \alpha < \pi}, \quad \left(u_{\alpha,j}, \lambda_j - \sqrt{\lambda_j(\alpha) - \lambda_j} \right)_{0 < \alpha < \pi}.$$

- (b) Again, we modify the pendulum equation. We introduce $g(z, \mu) = (\lambda_j - (\mu - \lambda_j)^2) \sin(z)$ where $\lambda_j = ((j+1)\pi)^2$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and consider $\mu_* := \lambda_j$.

However, using the notation from part (a), the equation

$$\lambda_{\alpha,j} = \lambda_j - (\mu - \lambda_j)^2$$

does not have solutions, and the bifurcation diagram for $-u'' = \lambda \sin(u), u(0) = u(1) = 0$ from the lecture reveals that there is no bifurcation of (1) at $(0, \lambda_j)$.

Problem 6:

Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $g(0, \lambda) = 0$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) and $b \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $b(x, \lambda) \neq 0$ for all $x, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that

$$(3) \quad u'' + b(x, \lambda)u' + g(u, \lambda) = 0$$

does not admit nonconstant periodic solutions.

Solution to problem 6:

First, we note that by assumption, b is continuous and does not have any zero, so b is either negative or positive on all of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

We assume that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a periodic solution of (3). We introduce

$$E : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad E(t) := \frac{1}{2}[u'(t)]^2 + G(u(t), \lambda)$$

where $G(z, \lambda) := \int_0^z g(s, \lambda) ds$ for $\lambda, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $E \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(4) \quad E'(t) = u'(t) \cdot (u''(t) + g(u(t), \lambda)) = -b(t, \lambda)[u'(t)]^2$$

where we have inserted the differential equation in the last step. Since b does not change sign, this implies that E is a monotone function. As u is periodic, so is E , and we conclude that E is constant.

Hence, $E' \equiv 0$, and by (4), $u' \equiv 0$. So u is constant, and the assertion is proved.