

## Solution to Problem Sheet 5

### Bifurcation Theory Winter Semester 2022/23

5.12.2022

#### Problem 13:

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and, for  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , the boundary value problem

$$(1)_\varepsilon \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^3 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \equiv \varepsilon & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Prove that for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  with the property that problem  $(1)_\varepsilon$  admits a classical solution  $u_\varepsilon \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  for  $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

*Hint:* Use the auxiliary result below Problem 12.

#### Solution to problem 13:

We intend to apply the Implicit Function Theorem. To do this, define the function  $F: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  with  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Y = C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $Z = C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^\alpha(\partial\Omega)$  given by

$$F(\varepsilon, u) = (\Delta u + u^3, u|_{\partial\Omega} - \varepsilon)$$

Then  $(\varepsilon, u)$  solve  $(1)_\varepsilon$  if and only if  $F(\varepsilon, u) = (0, 0)$ . Further, we have  $F(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ .

For the estimates proving continuous differentiability, we need an algebraic property of the Hölder norms.

**Lemma.** For  $a, b \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have that  $ab \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  with

$$\|ab\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq 3\|a\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}\|b\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}. \quad (\diamond)$$

*Proof of Lemma:* Let  $a, b \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ . First, we note that  $ab$  is a continuous function. We now estimate the norms, recalling that

$$\|a\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = \|a\|_\infty + [a]_{0,\alpha} = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |a(x)| + \sup_{x \neq y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|a(x) - a(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

We have  $\|ab\|_\infty \leq \|a\|_\infty \|b\|_\infty \leq \|a\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \|b\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} [ab]_{0,\alpha} &= \sup_{x \neq y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|a(x)b(x) - a(y)b(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq \sup_{x \neq y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|a(x)||b(x) - b(y)| + |a(x) - a(y)||b(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \\ &\leq \|a\|_\infty \sup_{x \neq y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|b(x) - b(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \|b\|_\infty \sup_{x \neq y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|a(x) - a(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \\ &= \|a\|_\infty [b]_{0,\alpha} + \|b\|_\infty [a]_{0,\alpha} \leq 2\|a\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \|b\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}, \end{aligned}$$

and summing up both estimates, the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Step 1: Fréchet differentiability of  $F$ .** We claim that  $F$  is continuously Fréchet differentiable with

$$(1) \quad F'(\varepsilon, u)[(\delta, h)] = (\Delta h + 3u^2 h, h|_{\partial\Omega} - \delta)$$

To show this, we estimate

$$F(\varepsilon + \delta, u + h) - F(\varepsilon, u) = (\Delta(u + h) + (u + h)^3 - \Delta u - u^3, (u + h)|_{\partial\Omega} - (\varepsilon + \delta) - u|_{\partial\Omega} + \varepsilon)$$

$$= (\Delta h + 3u^2 h, h|_{\partial\Omega} - \delta) + (3uh^2 + h^3, 0).$$

Using that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|w\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\|w\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|(3uh^2 + h^3, 0)\|_Z &= \|3uh^2 + h^3\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \\ &\leq 9\left(3\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}\|h\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}^2 + \|h\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}^3\right) \\ &\leq 9C^3(3\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|h\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})})\|h\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\|h\|_Y^2\right) = o(\|(\delta, h)\|_{X \times Y}) \end{aligned}$$

as  $(\delta, h) \rightarrow 0$ . So  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable and the derivative is given by (1).

It remains to show that the derivative is continuous. To do this, let  $\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \delta \in X$  and  $u, \tilde{u}, h \in Y$ . We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F'(\varepsilon + \tilde{\varepsilon}, u + \tilde{u})[(\delta, h)] - F'(\varepsilon, u)[(\delta, h)]\|_Z \\ &= \|(3(2u\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}^2)h, 0)\|_Z \\ &\leq 27\|\tilde{u}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}\left(2\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}\right)\|h\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \\ &\leq 27C^3\|\tilde{u}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}\left(2\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}\right)\|h\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = o(\|(\delta, h)\|_Z) \end{aligned}$$

as  $(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{u}) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  in  $Z$ , so  $F'$  is continuous in  $(\varepsilon, u)$ . As  $(\varepsilon, u)$  are chosen arbitrarily,  $F'$  is continuous.

**Step 2: Applying the IFT.** Recall that  $F_u(0, 0)$  is given by

$$F_u(0, 0)[h] = (\Delta h, h|_{\partial\Omega}).$$

The auxiliary result below Problem 12 tells us that  $F_u(0, 0): C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{0,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  is continuously invertible.

We have verified all assumptions of the Implicit Function Theorem, so that we may now apply it to obtain  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a function  $\hat{u} \in C^1((-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0); Y)$  such that  $F(\varepsilon, \hat{u}(\varepsilon)) = 0$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Setting  $u_\varepsilon := \hat{u}(\varepsilon)$  completes the proof.

### Problem 14 (Compact operators I):

**Definition.** Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  is called **compact** if for all bounded sequences  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ , the image sequence  $(Tx_n)$  has a convergent subsequence.

We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(X; Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  the set of compact operators.

Let  $X, Y, Z$  be Banach spaces.

- Show that if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  has finite-dimensional range, i.e.  $\dim(\text{ran } T) < \infty$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X; Y)$ .
- Show that  $\mathcal{K}(X; Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  is a linear subspace.
- Show that  $\mathcal{K}(X; Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  is closed.
- Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y; Z)$ . Show that if  $S$  or  $T$  are compact, then  $TS$  is compact.
- Let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X; Y)$  and  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $X$ . Prove that  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ .

### Solution to problem 14:

- Proof:* Let  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  be a bounded sequence such that  $\|x_n\| \leq R$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\|Tx_n\| \leq \|T\|\|x_n\| \leq \|T\|R$ , so that  $Tx_n \in TX$  is bounded. As  $TX$  has finite dimension, by the Heine-Borel theorem there exists a convergent subsequence of  $(Tx_n)$ .  $\square$
- Proof:* Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{K}(X; Y)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $(x_n)$  be an arbitrary bounded sequence in  $X$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $Tx_{n_k}$  and  $Sx_{n_k}$  converge. Then also  $(T + \mu S)x_{n_k}$  converges. So  $T + \mu S \in \mathcal{K}(X; Y)$ .  $\square$

- (c) *Proof:* Let  $(x_k)$  be bounded in  $X$  with  $\|x_k\| \leq R$ . Using compactness of the  $T_n$  and a diagonal sequence argument we find a subsequence  $(x_{k_l})$  such that  $T_n x_{k_l}$  converges for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We then estimate

$$\|Tx_{k_l} - Tx_{k_j}\| \leq \|Tx_{k_l} - T_n x_{k_l}\| + \|T_n x_{k_l} - T_n x_{k_j}\| + \|T_n x_{k_j} - Tx_{k_j}\| \leq 2\|T - T_n\|R + \|T_n x_{k_l} - T_n x_{k_j}\|.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $n$  so that  $\|T - T_n\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4R}$ . As  $T_n x_{k_l}$  converges, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\|T_n x_{k_l} - T_n x_{k_j}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for  $l, j \geq N$ . Thus  $\|Tx_{k_l} - Tx_{k_j}\| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $l, j \geq N$ , showing that  $(Tx_{k_l})$  is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness of  $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  it converges.  $\square$

- (d) *Proof:* Assume first that  $S$  is compact and  $(x_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ . Then there exists a convergent subsequence  $Sx_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ . As  $T$  is bounded, we have  $TSx_{n_k} \rightarrow Ty$ , showing that also  $TS$  is compact. Now, if  $T$  is compact and  $(x_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ , then  $(Sx_n)$  is bounded in  $Y$  by continuity of  $S$ , so that by compactness of  $T$  there exists a convergent subsequence  $(TSx_{n_k})$ . So again  $TS$  is compact.  $\square$

- (e) *Proof:* First, we have  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\varphi \in Y'$ . Then we have

$$\varphi(Tx_n) = \langle Tx_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle x_n, T'\varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, T'\varphi \rangle = \langle Tx, \varphi \rangle = \varphi(Tx)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $Tx_n$  converges weakly to  $Tx$ . Now let  $(x_{n_k})$  be an arbitrary subsequence of  $(x_n)$ . By compactness, there exists a convergent subsequence  $Tx_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow y$ . But then also  $Tx_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow Tx$ , so that  $y = Tx$ , i.e.  $Tx_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow Tx$ . It follows that  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ .  $\square$

### Problem 15 (Compact operators II):

- (a) Show that the embedding  $C^2([0, 1]) \hookrightarrow C^1([0, 1])$  is compact.  
 (b) Let  $k \in C([0, 1]^2)$ . Show that the following map is compact:

$$T: C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1]), \quad Tf(x) = \int_0^1 k(x, y)f(y) dy$$

- (c) For  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  define the translation  $\tau_y \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mathbb{R}); L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ ,  $\tau_y f(x) = f(x - y)$ . Show that any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\mathbb{R}), L^1(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \{0\}$  which satisfies  $\tau_y T = T\tau_y$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  is not compact.  
 (d) Show that  $T: \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ ,  $(x_n) \mapsto (\frac{x_n}{n})$  is compact.

*Hint for (a) and (b):* Use the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

### Solution to problem 15:

- (a) *Proof:* Let  $f_n \in C^2([0, 1])$  be a bounded sequence, i.e.  $\|f_n\|_\infty, \|f'_n\|_\infty, \|f''_n\|_\infty \leq R$ . Then  $f_n, f'_n$  are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $R$ . In particular, they are uniformly bounded and uniformly equicontinuous. By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem there exists a convergent subsequence  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f, f'_{n_k} \rightarrow g$  in  $C([0, 1])$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$f(b) - f(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(b) - f_n(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f'_n(x) dx = \int_a^b \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) dx$$

We have  $f \in C^1([0, 1])$  and  $f' = g$ . So we have shown  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$  in  $C^1([0, 1])$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

- (b) *Proof:* Let  $f_n \in C([0, 1])$  be bounded,  $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq R$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $[0, 1]^2$  is compact,  $k$  is uniformly continuous, so there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2| < \delta$  we have  $|k(x_1, y_1) - k(x_2, y_2)| < \varepsilon$ . For  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$  we estimate

$$|Tf_n(x_1) - Tf_n(x_2)| \leq \int_0^1 |k(x_1, y)f_n(y) - k(x_2, y)f_n(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon R,$$

showing that the  $Tf_n$  are uniformly equicontinuous. Since  $\|Tf_n\| \leq \|k\|_\infty R$  they are also uniformly bounded. Hence the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem tells us that  $(Tf_n)$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence.  $\square$

(c) Proof: Fix  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \ker T$  and let  $g := Tf \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ . As

$$\|g\|_1 = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R |g| \, dx$$

there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$\int_{-R}^R |g| \, dx \geq \frac{3}{4} \|g\|_1.$$

We now choose  $f_n := f(\cdot - 2nR)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f_n$  is bounded in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\|f_n\|_1 = \|f\|$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . However,  $Tf_n$  does not admit convergent subsequences. In fact, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \neq n$  we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf_n - Tf_m\|_1 &= \|T\tau_{2nR}f - T\tau_{2mR}f\|_1 \\ &= \|\tau_{2nR}Tf - \tau_{2mR}Tf\|_1 \\ &= \|g(\cdot - 2nR) - g(\cdot - 2mR)\|_1 \\ &= \int_{(2n-1)R}^{2n+1R} |g(x - 2nR) - g(x - 2mR)| \, dx + \int_{(2m-1)R}^{2m+1R} |g(x - 2nR) - g(x - 2mR)| \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{-R}^R |g(x)| - |g(x + 2(n-m)R)| \, dx + \int_{-R}^R |g(x)| - |g(x + 2(m-n)R)| \, dx \\ &\geq 2 \int_{-R}^R |g(x)| \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-R, R)} |g(x)| \, dx \geq \|g\|_1 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(d) Proof: For  $x \in \ell^2$  define the operator  $T_k : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  by

$$(T_k x)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{x_n}{n}, & n < k, \\ 0, & n \geq k \end{cases}$$

Then  $T_k \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2; \ell^2)$  is compact (as it has finite-dimensional range) and satisfies  $\|T - T_k\| = \frac{1}{k}$  for all  $k$ , so that  $T = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k$  is also compact.  $\square$