

## Solution to Problem Sheet 7

### Bifurcation Theory

Winter Semester 2022/23

19.12.2022

Recall the assumptions appearing in Chapter 4:

(A)  $X, Z$  are Banach spaces and  $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times X; Z)$  such that  $F(\lambda, 0) = 0$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(S)  $L := F_x(\lambda_0, 0)$  is a (1,1)-Fredholm operator.

(T)  $F_x(\lambda_0, 0)[\phi] \notin \text{ran}(L)$  where  $\ker(L) = \mathbb{R}\phi$ .

#### Problem 19:

Recall the setting of the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem: Assume (A) and (S), which allows us to decompose  $X = \ker(L) \oplus \tilde{X}$  where  $\ker(L) = \mathbb{R}\phi$ .

(a) Let  $\Pi: Z \rightarrow \text{ran}(L)$  denote a projection onto  $\text{ran}(L)$ ,  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $(\lambda_0, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\hat{y} \in C^2(U; \tilde{X})$  be such that  $\hat{y}(\lambda_0, 0) = 0$  and

$$(1) \quad \Pi F(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi) = 0 \text{ for } (\lambda, s) \in U.$$

Show  $\hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0) = \hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0) = 0$  and calculate  $\hat{y}_{ss}(\lambda_0, 0)$ .

(b) Also let  $\psi \in Z'$  such that  $\ker(\psi) = \text{ran}(L)$  and define

$$\mathfrak{F}(\lambda, s) := \psi F(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi) \text{ for } (\lambda, s) \in U.$$

Calculate the derivatives  $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_s(\lambda_0, 0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_{ss}(\lambda_0, 0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_{s\lambda}(\lambda_0, 0)$ .

#### Solution to problem 19:

(a) Proof: Denote the term on the left-hand side of (1) by  $t$ . Then we obtain:

$$0 = \partial_\lambda t = \Pi F_\lambda(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi) + \Pi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda, s)],$$

$$0 = \partial_s t = \Pi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi],$$

$$0 = \partial_s^2 t = \Pi F_{xx}(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi, \hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi] + \Pi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_{ss}(\lambda, s)],$$

and in particular

$$0 = \Pi F_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0) + \Pi F_x(\lambda_0, 0)[\hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0)] = 0 + \Pi L \hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0),$$

$$0 = \Pi F_x(\lambda_0, 0)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0) + \phi] = \Pi L \hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0) + \Pi L \phi = \Pi L \hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0),$$

$$0 = \Pi F_{xx}(\lambda_0, 0)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0) + \phi, \hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0) + \phi] + \Pi L \hat{y}_{ss}(\lambda_0, 0).$$

Since  $\Pi L|_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{ran}(L)$  is invertible, we can apply the inverse to the above equations to obtain

$$\hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0) = 0,$$

$$\hat{y}_s(\lambda_0, 0) = 0,$$

$$\hat{y}_{ss}(\lambda_0, 0) = -(\Pi L|_{\tilde{X}})^{-1} \Pi F_{xx}(\lambda_0, 0)[\phi, \phi].$$

We can thus characterize  $\hat{y}_{ss}(\lambda_0, 0)$  by saying that it is the unique  $\zeta \in \tilde{X}$  with

$$L\zeta = -F_{xx}(\lambda_0, 0)[\phi, \phi]. \quad \square$$

(b) *Proof:* Similar to part (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}_\lambda(\lambda, s) &= \psi F_\lambda(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi) + \psi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda, s)], \\
\mathfrak{F}_s(\lambda, s) &= \psi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi], \\
\mathfrak{F}_{ss}(\lambda, s) &= \psi F_{xx}(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi, \hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi] + \psi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_{ss}(\lambda, s)], \\
\mathfrak{F}_{s\lambda}(\lambda, s) &= \psi F_{x\lambda}(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi] \\
&\quad + \psi F_{xx}(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_s(\lambda, s) + \phi, \hat{y}_\lambda(\lambda, s)] \\
&\quad + \psi F_x(\lambda, \hat{y}(\lambda, s) + s\phi)[\hat{y}_{s\lambda}(\lambda, s) + \phi].
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $\psi F_x(\lambda_0, 0) = \psi L = 0$  and the formulas above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}_\lambda(\lambda_0, 0) &= 0, \\
\mathfrak{F}_s(\lambda_0, 0) &= 0, \\
\mathfrak{F}_{ss}(\lambda_0, 0) &= \psi F_{xx}(\lambda_0, 0)[\phi, \phi], \\
\mathfrak{F}_{s\lambda}(\lambda_0, 0) &= \psi F_{x\lambda}(\lambda_0, 0)[\phi].
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem 20:**

Show that the statement of the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem in general does not hold for  $F$  satisfying (A) where  $F_x(\lambda_0, 0)$  is a (2, 2)-Fredholm operator.

**Solution to problem 20:**

We consider a nonlinear modification of the eigenvalue problem:

$$F: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, F(\lambda, x) = Ax - \lambda x - \|x\|^2 Bx$$

where in general  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . In the following, we choose  $d = 2$  and  $A = 0$ .

- (a) Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then nontrivial solutions of  $F(\lambda, x) = 0$  are given by  $\lambda = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  arbitrary. These solution form a 2-dimensional surface bifurcating from  $(0, (0, 0))$ .
- (b) Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then nontrivial solutions of  $F(\lambda, x) = 0$  are given either by  $\lambda = 0, x_1 = 0, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  arbitrary, or by  $\lambda = -x_1^2, x_2 = 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  arbitrary. These solutions form two distinct curves bifurcating from  $(0, (0, 0))$ .
- (c) Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then nontrivial solutions of  $F(\lambda, x) = 0$  are given by  $\lambda = 0, x_2 = 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  arbitrary. These solutions form a single curves bifurcating from  $(0, (0, 0))$ .
- (d) Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then nontrivial solutions of  $F(\lambda, x) = 0$  do not exist.

Since nontrivial solutions of  $F(\lambda, x) = 0$  behave differently in all 4 examples, while the considered problem in each is a smooth, well-behaved perturbation of the eigenvalue problem  $Ax = \lambda x$ , we expect that the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem does not generalize to (2, 2)-Fredholm operators.