Institut für Analysis Prof. Dr. Michael Plum

Dipl.-Math.techn. Rainer Mandel

## Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems: 3rd problem sheet

## Exercise 8

Consider the following nonlinear boundary value problem on a bounded domain  $\Omega$ 

$$A(u,v) := \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(u)(\partial_i u)v + c(u)v \right] dx = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

where  $f \in L^2(\Omega), \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and

- i) a is a monotone vectorfield satisfying the conditions i)-iii) from the lecture,
- ii)  $b_1, \ldots, b_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are bounded and continuous,
- iii)  $c: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and the function  $z \mapsto c(z)z$  is bounded from below.

Prove that for small enough  $|\beta|$  the following coercivity condition holds:

$$A(u,u) \ge \delta_0 ||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 - \mu_0 \qquad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ ,  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Exercise 9

Let the assumptions on  $a, b_1, \ldots, b_n, c$  from exercise 8 hold. Generalise the Galerkin method from the lecture to prove existence of a solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  of the boundary value problem

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(u)(\partial_i u)v + c(u)v \right] dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

given in exercise 8 provided  $|\beta|$  is sufficiently small. You may argue along the followings lines:

- 1. Prove existence of a Galerkin approximation  $u_k \in V_k = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  where  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .
- 2. Use the estimate of exercise 8 to show that the sequence  $(u_k)$  has a weakly convergent subsequence.
- 3. Show that the weak limit  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a solution of the above problem. To this end use the hints given below to prove that there is a  $\xi \in L^2(\Omega)^n$  and a subsequence  $(v_j) := (u_{k_j})$  of  $(u_k)$  which satisfies:
  - i)  $\int_{\Omega} a(\nabla v_j) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} \xi \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx$  for all  $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .
  - ii)  $\int_{\Omega} [\xi \cdot \nabla \phi + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(u)(\partial_i u)\phi + c(u)\phi] dx = \int_{\Omega} f \phi dx \text{ for all } \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$
  - iii)  $\int_{\Omega} a(\nabla v_j) \cdot \nabla v_j \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} \xi \cdot \nabla u \, dx$ .
  - iv)  $\int_{\Omega} (\xi a(\nabla \phi)) \cdot (\nabla u \nabla \phi) dx \ge 0$  for all  $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

## Hints:

- 1.  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  imbeds compactly into  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In particular if  $u_k \to u$  then there is a subsequence  $(u_{k_j})$  of  $(u_k)$  such that  $u_{k_j} \to u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .
- 2. If  $g_k \to g$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  then there is a subsequence  $(g_{k_j})$  and a function  $G \in L^p(\Omega)$  such that  $g_{k_j} \to g$  pointwise almost everywhere and  $|g_{k_j}| \leq G$ .