

## 5. Exercise sheet

### Functional Analysis

Deadline: Thursday, 6th Dec 2007, 15 p.m.

On this exercise sheet,  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  shall be L-measurable and  $\mu$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on it. Further we assume  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$ .

#### Exercise 17 (C)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Let  $A = (a_{jk}) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\|A\|_{q,p} := \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}|^q \right)^{p/q} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It was proved in the lecture that  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} x_k)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defines a bounded linear operator  $T \in B(\ell^p)$ . Prove that  $T$  is compact.

#### Exercise 18 (C)

Let  $m : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be an L-measurable function and  $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ . For an L-measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  define  $(Mf)(x) := m(x)f(x)$ .

- Prove that if  $m \in L^r(\Omega, \mu)$  where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ , then  $M \in B(L^p(\Omega, \mu), L^q(\Omega, \mu))$  and  $\|M\| \leq \|m\|_r$ .
- Show that  $M \in B(L^p(\Omega, \mu))$  if and only if  $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$  and that in this case  $\|M\| = \|m\|_\infty$ .
- Assume now that  $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ . Determine the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda - M$  is invertible in  $B(L^p(\Omega, \mu))$ .

#### Exercise 19

- Suppose  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$  be bounded. and let  $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $L^p(\Omega, \mu) \subseteq L^q(\Omega, \mu)$  and, for  $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ ,

$$\|f\|_q \leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p.$$

- b) If  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  with  $p \neq q$ , then neither  $L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mu) \subseteq L^q(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  nor  $L^q(\mathbb{R}, \mu) \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ .
- c) (Lyapunov's inequality) Let  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Define  $p$  by  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ . Then  $L^{p_0}(\Omega, \mu) \cap L^{p_1}(\Omega, \mu) \subseteq L^p(\Omega, \mu)$  and, for  $f \in L^{p_0}(\Omega, \mu) \cap L^{p_1}(\Omega, \mu)$ ,

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{p_1}^\theta.$$

Hint: Hölder's inequality.

## Exercise 20

Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mu)$  such that  $\int_\Omega |f_n(\omega)| d\omega \rightarrow 0$ .

- a) Show that there exists a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})_k$  and a null set  $N \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $f_{n_k}(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ .
- b) Show that in general, without passing to the subsequence,  $f_n(\omega)$  doesn't need to be convergent in any point.

## Principal theorems on L-integrable functions

Let  $g, f_1, f_2, \dots$  be L-measurable functions  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ .

- Definition: An assertion depending on  $\omega \in \Omega$  is said to hold for **almost all** (a.a. in short)  $\omega$  if there is a set of measure zero (also called a **null set**)  $N$  such that for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ , the assertion holds. One also says that the assertions holds **almost everywhere** (a.e.).
- (**Monotone convergence**) If  $0 \leq f_1(\omega) \leq f_2(\omega) \leq \dots$  for a.a.  $\omega$  and there is a  $C > 0$  such that  $\int_\Omega f_k d\mu \leq C$ , then  $f_k(\omega)$  converges for a.a.  $\omega$  and setting  $f(\omega) := \lim_k f_k(\omega)$  where this limit exists and for example  $f(\omega) := 0$  elsewhere, we have that:  $f$  is L-integrable and  $\int_\Omega f d\mu = \lim_k \int_\Omega f_k d\mu$ .
- (**Dominated convergence**) Let  $g$  be L-integrable,  $f = \lim_k f_k$  exist a.e. and  $|f_k| \leq g$  a.e. Then  $f$  (and also  $f_k$ ) is L-integrable,  $\int_\Omega f d\mu = \lim_k \int_\Omega f_k d\mu$  and also  $\lim_k \int_\Omega |f - f_k| d\mu = 0$ .
- Let  $\Omega_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$  be L-measurable for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be L-measurable. Denote  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$  and  $\mu_i$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).
  - (**Tonelli**) If  $\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} |f(s, t)| d\mu_2(t) \right) d\mu_1(s) < \infty$ , then  $f$  is L-integrable.
  - (**Fubini**) If  $f$  is L-integrable, then  $s \mapsto f(s, t)$  is L-integrable for a.a.  $t \in \Omega_2$  and the a.e. defined function  $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega_1} f(s, t) ds$  is L-integrable with  $\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f(s, t) d\mu(s, t) = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(s, t) d\mu_1(s) \right) d\mu_2(t)$ .
- (**Hölder**) Let  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ . Then for  $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^q(\Omega, \mu)$ , the pointwise product  $fg$  is in  $L^r(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $\|fg\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ .