

APPLICATION OF THE MEAN ERGODIC THEOREM TO CERTAIN SEMIGROUPS

Gerd Herzog and Christoph Schmoeger

Communicated by

ABSTRACT. We study the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the Cauchy problem $u' = (\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j + A_j^{-1}) - 2nI)u$, $u(0) = x$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, for invertible isometries A_1, \dots, A_n .

1. Introduction

Let E be a complex Banach space, $L(E)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on E , and let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in L(E)$ be invertible, pairwise commuting, and such that $\|A_k\| = \|A_k^{-1}\| = 1$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$). Let $T_1, \dots, T_n \in L(E)$ be defined by $T_k = A_k + A_k^{-1} - 2I$, and let $T = T_1 + \dots + T_n$. The aim of this paper is to clear the asymptotic behaviour of the Cauchy problem

$$(1.1) \quad u'(t) = Tu(t), \quad u(0) = u_0$$

that is of $t \mapsto \exp(tT)u_0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Such problems occur in a natural way by semidiscretization of the parabolic Cauchy problem $v_t = \Delta v$, $v(0, x) = v_0(x)$: For example, if $v_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, the longitudinal line method, see for example [4], with step size 1 leads to a linear Cauchy problem of type (1.1) in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with

$$A_k x = (x(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k + 1, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_n))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}.$$

The corresponding problem for the heat equation was studied in [1].

2. Notations and preliminaries

For $A \in L(E)$ let $N(A)$, $A(E)$, $\sigma(A)$ and $r(A)$ denote the kernel, the range, the spectrum and the spectral radius of A , respectively. Let \mathbb{D} denote the complex unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $A \in L(E)$, $0 \notin \sigma(A)$ and $\|A\| = \|A^{-1}\| = 1$. Then:*

- (1) *A is an isometry;*

- (2) $\|A^n\| = \|A\|^n = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), hence A is normaloid;
- (3) $r(A) = 1$ and $\overline{\sigma(A)} \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$;
- (4) $N(A - I) \cap \overline{(A - I)(E)} = \{0\}$;
- (5) $(A - I)(E) = (A^{-1} - I)(E)$;
- (6) $N(A - I) = N((A - I)^2)$;
- (7) $N(A - I) \oplus \overline{(A - I)(E)}$ is closed;
- (8) if $(A - I)(E)$ is closed then $E = N(A - I) \oplus \overline{(A - I)(E)}$.

PROOF. (1) and (2) are obvious.

(3): From (2) we get $r(A) = 1$. Next, it is clear that

$$\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A^{-1}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Since $\sigma(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^{-1} \in \sigma(A^{-1})\}$, we conclude $\sigma(A) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$.

(4): Let $x \in N(A - I) \cap \overline{(A - I)(E)}$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $z \in E$ such that $\|x - (A - I)z\| < \varepsilon$. According to [2, Satz 102.3], we have $\|x\| \leq \|x - (A - I)z\| < \varepsilon$, hence $x = 0$.

(5): Follows from $(A - I)x = (I - A^{-1})(Ax)$.

(6): Follows from [2, Satz 102.3].

(7): Choose a sequence (x_n) in $N(A - I) \oplus \overline{(A - I)(E)}$ with $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and corresponding decompositions $x_n = y_n + z_n$. According to [2, Satz 102.3] we have

$$\|y_n - y_m\| \leq \|x_n - x_m\| \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

hence (y_n) is convergent to a vector $y_0 \in N(A - I)$. Thus

$$z_n = x_n - y_n \rightarrow x_0 - y_0 \in \overline{(A - I)(E)},$$

and therefore $x_0 \in N(A - I) \oplus \overline{(A - I)(E)}$.

(8): Follows from [2, Satz 72.4 and 102.4]. \square

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $A \in L(E)$ be as in Proposition 2.1, let $T = A + A^{-1} - 2I$, and let $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function

$$c(t) = \exp(-t) \left(1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{n+1} \right| \right).$$

We have

- (1) $\|\exp(tT)\| \leq 1$ ($t \geq 0$);
- (2) $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}c(t)$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$ and

$$\|\exp(tT)(A - I)x\| \leq c(t)\|x\| \quad (t \geq 0, x \in E);$$

- (3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)y = 0$ ($y \in (A - I)(E)$);
- (4) if $y \in E$ then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)y = 0 \iff y \in \overline{(A - I)(E)};$$

- (5) $N(A - I) = \{x \in E : \exp(tT)x = x \ (t \geq 0)\}$.

PROOF. (1): For each $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(tT)\| &= \|\exp(-2t) \exp(tA) \exp(tA^{-1})\| \\ &\leq \exp(-2t) \exp(t\|A\|) \exp(t\|A^{-1}\|) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(2): Since $T = (A^{-1} - I) + (A - I)$ we have

$$\exp(tT)(A - I) = \exp(t(A^{-1} - I)) \exp(t(A - I))(A - I) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(t(A - I))(A - I)x &= \exp(-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} (A^{n+1} - A^n)x \\ &= \exp(-t) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n+1}\right) \right) A^{n+1}x - \exp(-t)x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\|A\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(tT)(A - I)x\| &\leq \|\exp(t(A^{-1} - I))\| \|\exp(t(A - I))(A - I)x\| \\ &\leq c(t)\|x\| \quad (t \geq 0, x \in E). \end{aligned}$$

To see that $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}c(t)$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$ let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \leq t \leq N + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left|1 - \frac{t}{n+1}\right| &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{n+1} - 1\right) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n+1}\right) \\ &= 2\frac{t^N}{N!} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{t}c(t) &= \sqrt{t} \exp(-t) \left(1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left|1 - \frac{t}{n+1}\right|\right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{N+1} \exp(-N) \left(1 + 2\frac{(N+1)^N}{N!} - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{2(N+1)^{N+1/2} \exp(-N)}{N!}, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded according to Stirling's formula.

(3): Follows from (2).

(4): The implication \Leftarrow follows from (3). Now suppose that $\exp(tT)y \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(tT)y &= y + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} T^n y \\ &= y + (A - I) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} (A - I)^{n-1} (I - A^{-1})^n y \end{aligned}$$

we conclude $y \in \overline{(A - I)(E)}$.

(5): The inclusion

$$N(A - I) \subseteq \{x \in E : \exp(tT)x = x \ (t \geq 0)\}$$

is obvious. Now suppose that $x \in E$ and $\exp(tT)x = x$ ($t \geq 0$). By differentiation $0 = T \exp(tT)x$ ($t \geq 0$), thus $A^{-1}(A - I)^2x = Tx = 0$. Part (6) of Proposition 2.1 now shows that $x \in N(A - I)$. \square

3. The asymptotic behaviour of $\exp(tT)$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A and T be as in Proposition 2.1. For $x \in E$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x$ exists in E [resp. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = 0$];
- (2) $x \in N(A - I) \oplus \overline{(A - I)(E)}$ [resp. $x \in \overline{(A - I)(E)}$];
- (3) the sequence

$$\left(\frac{x + Ax + \cdots + A^m x}{m + 1} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is convergent [resp. is convergent with limit 0].

PROOF. That (2) implies (1) follows from Proposition 2.2. Now, assume that (1) holds, and let $z = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x$. As in the proof of part (4) of Proposition 2.2

$$\exp(tT)x = x + (A - I) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} (A - I)^{n-1} (I - A^{-1})^n x,$$

hence $x - z \in \overline{(A - I)(E)}$. [In particular, if $z = 0$ then $x \in \overline{(A - I)(E)}$.] From part (3) of Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$(A - I)z = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)(A - I)x = 0,$$

and therefore $x = z + (x - z) \in N(A - I) \oplus \overline{(A - I)(E)}$.

The equivalence of (2) and (3) is proved in [3, Ch.2, Theorem 1.3]. \square

According to part (8) of Proposition 2.1 the following corollary shows, that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x$ exists for each $x \in E$ if $T(E)$ is closed:

COROLLARY 3.1. *We have*

- (1) $T(E) = (A - I)^2(E) \subseteq (A - I)(E) \subseteq \overline{T(E)}$;
- (2) $\frac{T(E)}{(A - I)(E)} = \frac{\overline{T(E)}}{\overline{(A - I)(E)}} \iff (A - I)^2(E) = (A - I)(E) \iff (A - I)(E) = \overline{(A - I)(E)}$.

PROOF. (1): Part (5) of Proposition 2.1 gives

$$T(E) = (A - I)^2(E) \subseteq (A - I)(E).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain $(A - I)(E) \subseteq \overline{T(E)}$. Now, (2) follows by [2, Satz 102.4]. \square

4. The general case

Now, let $A_1, \dots, A_n, T_1, \dots, T_n$ and T be as in section 1. Moreover we introduce the following subspaces of E :

$$X_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^n N(A_j - I), \quad X_2 = \overline{\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - I)(E)}, \quad X = X_1 + X_2.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the assumptions above*

- (1) $X_2 = \{x \in E : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = 0\}$;
- (2) $X_1 = \{x \in E : \exp(tT)x = x \ (t \geq 0)\}$;
- (3) $X = \{x \in E : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x \text{ exists in } E\}$;
- (4) $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\}$, and X is closed.

PROOF. (1): Let $x \in X_2$. Then $x = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m$ where

$$x_m \in \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - I)(E).$$

By part (1) and part (3) of Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x_m = 0 \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x - x_N\| < \varepsilon/2$. Next, choose $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ such that $\|\exp(tT)x_N\| < \varepsilon/2$ ($t \geq t_0$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(tT)x\| &= \|\exp(tT)(x - x_N) + \exp(tT)x_N\| \\ &\leq \|x - x_N\| + \|\exp(tT)x_N\| < \varepsilon \quad (t \geq t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = 0$.

Now suppose that $x \in E$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = 0$. Set

$$h(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} T^n x.$$

Since $T_j = (A_j - I)(I - A_j^{-1})$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), we have

$$Tx = \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - I)(I - A_j^{-1})x \in \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - I)(E),$$

hence

$$h(t) \in \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - I)(E).$$

Thus, $\exp(tT)x = x + h(t)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = 0$ imply $x \in X_2$.

(2): The inclusion \subseteq is obvious. For the reversed inclusion let $x \in E$ be such that $\exp(tT)x = x$ ($t \geq 0$). Then by part (1) we obtain

$$(A_j - I)x = \exp(tT)(A_j - I)x \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow \infty) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

hence $x \in X_1$.

(3): Here, the inclusion \subseteq follows from parts (1) and (2) directly. Now, assume that $x \in E$ is such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = z$. As in the proof of part (1)

$$\exp(tT)x = x + h(t), \quad h(t) \in X_2.$$

Therefore $x - z \in X_2$. From part (1) we derive

$$(A_j - I)z = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)(A_j - I)x = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Thus $z \in X_1$, and so $x = z + (x - z) \in X_1 \oplus X_2 = X$.

(4): Let $x \in X_1 \cap X_2$. Then, by parts (1) and (2), we have

$$\exp(tT)x = x \quad (t \geq 0), \quad \exp(tT)x \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),$$

thus $x = 0$. Next, if (x_m) is a sequence in X with limit x_0 , then there exist sequences (y_m) and (z_m) in X_1 and X_2 , respectively, with $x_m = y_m + z_m$. From part (1) and part (2) we obtain

$$\exp(tT)(x_m - x_k) \rightarrow y_m - y_k \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $\|\exp(tT)(x_m - x_k)\| \leq \|x_m - x_k\|$ ($t \geq 0$), we have

$$\|y_m - y_k\| \leq \|x_m - x_k\|,$$

thus (y_m) is convergent. Let $y_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m$. Then $z_m = x_m - y_m \rightarrow x_0 - y_0$. Hence we have $y_0 \in X_1$, $x_0 - y_0 \in X_2$, and therefore $x_0 \in X_1 \oplus X_2 = X$. \square

The following result provides sufficient conditions for the convergence of $\exp(tT)x$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, and set $B = A_1^{k_1} \dots A_n^{k_n}$. We have*

- (1) $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \left(N(A_j - I) \oplus \overline{(A_j - I)(E)} \right) \subseteq X$;
- (2) $\overline{(B - I)(E)} \subseteq X_2$;
- (3) if $x \in E$ and if the sequences

$$\left(\frac{x + A_j x + \dots + A_j^m x}{m + 1} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

are convergent ($j = 1, \dots, n$), then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x$ exists in E ;

- (4) if $x \in E$ and if the sequence

$$\left(\frac{x + Bx + \dots + B^m x}{m + 1} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is convergent to 0 in E , then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x = 0$.

PROOF. According to Theorem 3.1 we see that (3) follows from (1), and (4) follows from (2).

For the proof of (1) we use induction. If $n = 1$ the result follows by Theorem 3.1.

Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that (1) holds. In the case of $n + 1$ operators T_1, \dots, T_{n+1} we write $T_0 = T_1 + \dots + T_n$, so $T = T_0 + T_{n+1}$. Let

$$x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} \left(N(A_j - I) \oplus \overline{(A_j - I)(E)} \right).$$

Then

$$x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n \left(N(A_j - I) \oplus \overline{(A_j - I)(E)} \right), \quad x \in N(A_{n+1} - I) \oplus \overline{(A_{n+1} - I)(E)},$$

and therefore the limits $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT_0)x$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT_{n+1})x$ exist in E . From

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \exp(tT)x - \exp(sT)x \| = \| \exp(tT_0) \exp(tT_{n+1})x - \exp(sT_0) \exp(sT_{n+1})x \| \\ & = \| \exp(tT_0)(\exp(tT_{n+1}) - \exp(sT_{n+1}))x + \exp(sT_{n+1})(\exp(tT_0) - \exp(sT_0))x \| \\ & \leq \| \exp(tT_{n+1})x - \exp(sT_{n+1})x \| + \| \exp(tT_0)x - \exp(sT_0)x \| \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tT)x$ exists.

Next, we prove (2) for $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, without loss of generality. Let $p(z) = z_1^{k_1} \dots z_n^{k_n} - 1$ ($z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$), and note that there are polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ such that

$$p(z) = (z_1 - 1)q_1(z) + \dots + (z_n - 1)q_n(z).$$

Hence

$$(B - I)x \in \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - I)(E) \quad (x \in E),$$

and therefore $\overline{(B - I)(E)} \subseteq X_2$. \square

5. Example

Let us return to the semidiscretization of $v_t = \Delta v$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , that is we consider $E = l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and

$$A_1 x = (x(i+1, j))_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}, \quad A_2 x = (x(i, j+1))_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}.$$

Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that $x \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is such that the sequence

$$\left(\left(\frac{x(i, j) + x(i+k_1, j+k_2) + \dots + x(i+mk_1, j+mk_2)}{m+1} \right)_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. Then

$$\exp(tT)x \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow \infty)$$

(apply part (4) of Theorem 4.2 with $B = A_1^{k_1} A_2^{k_2}$).

References

- [1] G. Herzog, R. Lemmert, *A note on discrete heat conduction*, Arch. Math. **75** (2000), 147-152..
- [2] H. Heuser, *Funktionalanalysis*, 2. Auflage. Mathematische Leitfäden. Stuttgart: Teubner (1986).
- [3] U. Krengel, *Ergodic theorems*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 6. Berlin-New York: Walter de Gruyter. VIII (1985).
- [4] W. Walter, *Some new aspects of the line method for parabolic differential equations*, Lect. Notes Math. **280** (1972), 181-189.

INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS, UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE, 76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY
E-mail address: `Gerd.Herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de`

INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS, UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE, 76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY
E-mail address: `christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de`