

## REMARKS ON COMMUTING EXPONENTIALS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

(Communicated by Theodore W. Gamelin)

ABSTRACT. Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of a complex unital Banach algebra such that the spectra of  $a$  and  $b$  are  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. E.M.E. Wermuth has shown that then

$$e^a e^b = e^b e^a \quad \text{implies that} \quad ab = ba.$$

In this note we use two elementary facts concerning inner derivations on Banach algebras to give a very short proof of Wermuth's result.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a complex unital Banach algebra. For  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  the spectrum of  $x$  is denoted by  $\sigma(x)$ . The map  $\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , defined by

$$\delta_x(c) = cx - xc \quad (c \in \mathcal{A}),$$

is called the *inner derivation determined by  $x$* . From  $\|\delta_x(c)\| \leq 2\|c\|\|x\|$  it follows that  $\delta_x$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Proposition 6.4.8 in [1] shows that

$$(1) \quad \sigma(\delta_x) \subseteq \{\lambda - \mu : \lambda, \mu \in \sigma(x)\}$$

and

$$(2) \quad e^{\delta_x}(c) = e^{-x} c e^x \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Define the entire function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-1}(e^\lambda - 1), & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lambda f(\lambda) = f(\lambda)\lambda = e^\lambda - 1$ , we obtain for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$

$$f(\delta_x)\delta_x = e^{\delta_x} - I;$$

hence, by (2),

$$(3) \quad (f(\delta_x)\delta_x)(c) = e^{-x} c e^x - c \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is called  *$2\pi i$ -congruence-free* if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \pmod{2\pi i}$  implies that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\sigma(a)$  and  $\sigma(b)$  are  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free and that  $e^a e^b = e^b e^a$ . Then  $ab = ba$ .*

---

Received by the editors August 5, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46H99.

*Key words and phrases.* Commuting exponentials.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \{a, b\}$ . Since  $\sigma(x)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, (1) shows that  $f$  does not vanish on  $\sigma(\delta_x)$ ; hence  $f(\delta_x)$  is bijective. From (3) it follows that

$$(4) \quad \delta_x(c) = f(\delta_x)^{-1}(e^{-x}ce^x - c) \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore we get

$$\delta_a(e^b) = f(\delta_a)^{-1}(e^{-a}e^be^a - e^b) = f(\delta_a)^{-1}(e^{-a}e^ae^b - e^b) = 0.$$

Thus  $ae^b = e^ba$ . Use (4) again to obtain

$$\delta_b(a) = f(\delta_b)^{-1}(e^{-b}ae^b - a) = f(\delta_b)^{-1}(e^{-b}e^ba - a) = 0;$$

hence  $ab = ba$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For self-adjoint operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $e^Ae^B = e^Be^A$ .
- (ii)  $e^Ae^B = e^{A+B}$ .
- (iii)  $AB = BA$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (iii) implies (i) and (ii). Since  $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\sigma(B)$  are  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free, and so (i) implies (iii). If (ii) holds, we get  $e^Be^A = (e^B)^*(e^A)^* = (e^{A+B})^* = (e^{A+B})^* = e^{A+B} = e^Ae^B$ , and thus (i) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is as in Corollary 1 and that the spectrum of  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. Then*

$$e^A \text{ is normal if and only if } A \text{ is normal.}$$

*Proof.* If  $A$  is normal, then  $e^Ae^{A^*} = e^{A+A^*} = e^{A^*}e^A$ ; thus  $e^A$  is normal.

Now suppose that  $e^A$  is normal. Since  $\sigma(A^*) = \{\bar{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ , it follows that  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\sigma(A^*)$  are  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. The theorem gives  $AA^* = A^*A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is as in Corollary 1. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\|A\| < \pi$ , then*

$$e^A \text{ is normal if and only if } A \text{ is normal.}$$

*Proof.*  $\|A\| < \pi$  implies that  $\sigma(A)$  is  $2\pi i$ -congruence-free. Now use Corollary 2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T.W. Palmer: *Banach algebras and the general theory of \*-algebra*, Vol. I. Cambridge, 1994. MR **95c**:46002
- [2] E.M.E. Wermuth: *A remark on commuting operator exponentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1685–1688. MR **97g**:39011

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I, UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE, D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de