

SPECTRAL RADII OF GENERALIZED INVERSES OF SIMPLY POLAR MATRICES

GERD HERZOG AND CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the spectral radii of generalized inverses of square matrices A such that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2)$.

1. General and introductory material

For positive integers n and m , $\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ denotes the vector space of all complex $n \times m$ matrices. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a square matrix. A matrix $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called a g_1 -inverse of A if

$$ACA = A.$$

If $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and

$$ABA = A \quad \text{and} \quad BAB = B,$$

then B is called a g_2 -inverse of A . By $\mathcal{G}_1(A)$ we denote the set of all g_1 -inverses of A . $\mathcal{G}_2(A)$ is the set of all g_2 -inverses of A . It is well-known that $\mathcal{G}_1(A) \neq \emptyset$ (see [1]). Furthermore it is easy to see that if $C \in \mathcal{G}_1(A)$, then $B = CAC \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$, hence

$$\emptyset \neq \mathcal{G}_2(A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1(A).$$

If A is non-singular, then $\mathcal{G}_2(A) = \mathcal{G}_1(A) = \{A^{-1}\}$.

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ we denote the set of eigenvalues of A by $\sigma(A)$ and the *spectral radius* $r(A)$ of A is defined by

$$r(A) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|.$$

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. A^T denotes the transpose of A and A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A . The *range* of A is given by

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \{Ax : x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

and the *kernel* of A is the set

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : Ax = 0\}$$

(we follow the convention $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$).

In this note we study the set

$$R_A = \{r(C) : C \in \mathcal{G}_1(A)\}$$

for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2)$, where $\text{rank}(A) = \dim \mathcal{R}(A)$. Such matrices are called *simply polar*.

Examples. If A is non-singular, then $R_A = \{r(A)^{-1}\}$. If $A = 0$, then $ACA = A$ for each $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, hence $R_A = [0, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper we will assume that $n \geq 2$. The identity on \mathbb{C}^n is denoted by I_n .

Date: 20th August 2007.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15 A 09.

Key words and phrases. simply polar matrix, generalized inverse.

1.1. Proposition. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$, then*

$$\mathcal{G}_1(A) = \{B + T - BATAB : T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\}$$

Proof. [1, Theorem 2 in Chapter 2.3]. □

It follows from Proposition 1.1, that if A is singular, then $\mathcal{G}_1(A)$ is an infinite set. In [6], the following result is shown:

1.2. Proposition. *Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is singular. We have:*

(1) *for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, there is $B \in \mathcal{G}_1(A)$ with $z \in \sigma(B)$;*

(2) *if $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$, then*

$$B + z(I_n - BA), B + z(I_n - AB) \in \mathcal{G}_1(A)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$r(B + z(I_n - BA)) = r(B + z(I_n - AB)) = \begin{cases} r(B), & \text{if } |z| \leq r(B) \\ |z|, & \text{if } |z| > r(B); \end{cases}$$

(3) $[r(B), \infty) \subseteq R_A$ *for each $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$.*

1.3. Proposition. *Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $r = \text{rank}(A) > 0$ and that A has a decomposition*

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$

with $U, V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ non-singular and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ non-singular. Then

$$B = V \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_1(A) = \left\{ V \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & A_1 \\ \hline A_2 & A_3 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1} : A_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (n-r)}, A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r) \times r}, A_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)} \right\}.$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$. Let $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, let $\varphi(T) = V^{-1}TU$ and set $B_0 := B + T - BATAB$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= V \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1} + T - V^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(T) \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1} \\ &= V \left(\begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varphi(T) - \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \varphi(T) \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) U^{-1} \\ &= V \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & A_1 \\ \hline A_2 & A_3 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is bijective, the result follow from Proposition 1.1. □

Recall that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called *simply polar* if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2)$.

1.4. Proposition. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be singular. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is simply polar;
- (2) 0 is a simple pole of the resolvent $(\lambda I_n - A)^{-1}$;
- (3) $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A)$;
- (4) there is $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ such that $AB = BA$.

Proof. [3, Satz 72.4], [3, Satz 101.2] and [1, Theorem 5.2]. □

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is simply polar, then, by Proposition 1.4, there is $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $ABA = A$, $BAB = B$ and $AB = BA$. It is shown in [1, Theorem 5.1], that there is no other g_2 -inverse of A which commutes with A . B is called the *Drazin-inverse* of A . The following result is shown in [1, p. 53].

1.5. Proposition. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $A \neq 0$ and if A is simply polar, then the Drazin-inverse B of A satisfies*

$$\sigma(B) \setminus \{0\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

and hence $r(B) = r(A)^{-1}$.

2. Generalized inverses of simply polar matrices

Throughout this section we assume that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is simply polar and that $\text{rank}(A) > 0$.

By [5, 4.3.2 (4)] (see also [4]), A has a decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad A = U \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1},$$

where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ are non singular. From Proposition 1.3 we know that

$$(2.2) \quad B = U \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}_2(A).$$

It is easy to see that the matrix B in (2.2) is the Drazin-invers of A .

2.1. Theorem. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\dim \mathcal{N}(A) \geq \text{rank}(A)$.
- (2) there is $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ with $B^2 = 0$.

A consequence of Theorem 2.1 is:

2.2. Corollary. *If $\dim \mathcal{N}(A) \geq \text{rank}(A)$, then there is an entire function $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$F(z) \in \mathcal{G}_1(A), \sigma(F(z)) = \{z, 0\} \text{ and } r(F(z)) = |z| \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Furthermore we have $R_A = [0, \infty)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, there is $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ with $B^2 = 0$. Define F by $F(z) = B + z(I_n - AB)$. Then $F(z) \in \mathcal{G}_1(A)$ for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (Proposition 1.2). [6, Theorem 3] gives

$$\{z\} \subseteq \sigma(F(z)) \subseteq \{z, 0\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Assume that $F(z)$ is non-singular for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus there is $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $F(z)C = I_n$. Since $BF(z) = 0$, we get $0 = BF(z)C = B$, thus $A = ABA = 0$, a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $r = \text{rank}(A)$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Proposition 1.4 (3) shows that $n - r = \dim \mathcal{N}(A) \geq r$.

Case 1: $n - r = r$. Let D be as in (2.1) and let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & \vdots & D^{-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -D^{-1} & \vdots & -D^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = USU^{-1}.$$

Then it is easy to see $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ and $B^2 = 0$.

Case 2: $n - r > r$. Then $r < n/2$.

Case 2.1: $n = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, S = \begin{bmatrix} T & \vdots & T \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -T & \vdots & -T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

and $B = USU^{-1}$. Then $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ and $B^2 = 0$.

Case 2.2: $n = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $r < m$. Set

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, S = \begin{bmatrix} T & \vdots & T & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ -T & \vdots & -T & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

and $B = USU^{-1}$. As above, $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ and $B^2 = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Since $B^2 = 0$, A is singular. We have $(BA)^2 = BA$, $\mathcal{R}(BA) = \mathcal{R}(B)$, $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{R}(I - BA)$, $\mathcal{R}(AB) = \mathcal{R}(A)$, $(AB)^2 = AB$ and

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{R}(B) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A),$$

thus, by Proposition 1.4 (3), $\text{rank}(B) = r = \text{rank}(A)$. Now let $z \in \mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B)$. Then $z = ABz = BAz$, therefore $z = AB^2Az = 0$. This gives $\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B) = \{0\}$. Since

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n,$$

we derive $2r \leq n$, hence $\text{rank}(A) = r \leq n - r = \dim \mathcal{N}(A)$. \square

A square matrix D is said to be *non-derogatory* if its characteristic polynomial is also its minimal polynomial.

2.3. Theorem. *Suppose that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2) = n - 1$, let D be as in (2.1) and suppose that D is non-derogatory. Then A has a nilpotent g_1 -inverse and hence $\min R_A = 0$.*

Proof. Since D^{-1} is also non-derogatory, it follows from [2, Theorem 3.4] that there are $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $a_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and $a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1} & \vdots & a_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_2^T & \vdots & a_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is nilpotent,}$$

hence $S^q = 0$ for some positive integer q . Let $B = USU^{-1}$. Then $B^q = 0$. By Proposition 1.3, $B \in \mathcal{G}_1(A)$. \square

A matrix $N \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called *normal* if $NN^* = N^*N$. The spectral theorem for normal matrices implies that

$$(2.3) \quad N = U \begin{bmatrix} D & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ unitary (that is $UU^* = U^*U = I_n$) and $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are the non-zero eigenvalues of N . It follows (see [5, 4.3.2 (4)]) that N is simply polar.

Now suppose that $\text{rank}(N) = n - 1$. If $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$) then the matrix D in (2.3) is

non-derogatory.

Thus we have proved:

2.4. Corollary. *If $N \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is normal, $\text{rank}(N) = n - 1$ and if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$) for the non-zero eigenvalues of N , then there is a nilpotent g_1 -inverse of A .*

3. The case $n = 2$

3.1. Proposition. *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ and $A^2 = 0$, then there is $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ such that $B^2 = 0$.*

Proof. The Schur decomposition of A is

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$

where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is unitary and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [5, 5.2.3 (1)]). If $\alpha = 0$, we are done. So assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. Let

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \alpha^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

then it is easy to see that $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ and $B^2 = 0$. □

3.2. Theorem. *Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is singular. Then there is $B \in \mathcal{G}_2(A)$ with $B^2 = 0$ and hence $R_A = [0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Because of Proposition 3.1, we assume that $A^2 \neq 0$. Since A is singular, we have $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2) = 1$, A is simply polar and $\dim \mathcal{N}(A) = \text{rank}(A)$. Theorem 2.1 gives the result. □

4. Generalized inverses of projections

In this section we assume that $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $0 \neq P \neq I_n$ and $P^2 = P$. Hence P is simply polar.

Since $\mathcal{R}(P) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : Px = x\}$, it follows that $\sigma(P) = \{0, 1\}$ and that there is a non-singular $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad P = U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1}$$

([5, 9.8 (3)]), where $r = \text{rank}(P)$.

From Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(P) \geq \text{rank}(P) \Leftrightarrow \text{there is } B \in \mathcal{G}_2(P) \text{ such that } B^2 = 0.$$

So it remains to investigate the case where $\dim \mathcal{N}(P) < \text{rank}(P)$:

4.1. Theorem. *If $\dim \mathcal{N}(P) < \text{rank}(P)$ and if $B \in \mathcal{G}_1(P)$, then $1 \in \sigma(B)$ and hence $r(B) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Proposition 1.3 and (4.1) show that there are $A_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (n-1)}$, $A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r) \times r}$ and $A_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$ such that

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & \vdots & A_1 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ A_2 & \vdots & A_3 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1}.$$

Denote by $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(r)}$ the columns of A_2 . Since

$$\text{rank}(A_2) \leq n - r = \dim \mathcal{N}(A) < \text{rank}(P) = r,$$

there is $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)^T \in \mathbb{C}^r$ such that $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \neq 0$ and

$$\alpha_1 a^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha_r a^{(r)} = 0.$$

Set $x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $z = Ux$, then $z \neq 0$ and

$$Bz = U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & A_1 \\ \hline A_2 & A_3 \end{bmatrix} x = Ux = z,$$

thus $1 \in \sigma(B)$. □

4.2. Corollary.

(1) $R_P = [0, \infty) \Leftrightarrow \dim \mathcal{N}(P) \geq \text{rank}(P)$.

(2) $R_P = [1, \infty) \Leftrightarrow \dim \mathcal{N}(P) < \text{rank}(P)$.

Proof. (1) Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.2. (2) Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 1.2 (3). Observe that $P \in \mathcal{G}_1(P)$ and $r(P) = 1$. □

References

- [1] S. R. Caradus: *Operator theory of the pseudo-inverse*. Queen's papers in pure and appl. Mathematics, 1974.
- [2] H. K. Farahat, W. Ledermann: *Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomials*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **11** (1958), 143–146.
- [3] H. Heuser: *Funktionalanalysis, 3. ed.*. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1991.
- [4] R. A. Horn, C. A. Johnsons: *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [5] H. Lütkepohl: *Handbook of Matrices*. Wiley and Sons, 1996.
- [6] Ch. Schmoeger: *Spectral properties of generalized inverses*. Demonstratio Math. **37** (2004), 137–148.

GERD HERZOG
INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS
UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE (TH)
ENGLERSTRASSE 2
76128 KARLSRUHE
GERMANY
E-mail address: gerd.herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de
URL: <http://www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/milplum/~herzog/>

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER
INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS
UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE (TH)
ENGLERSTRASSE 2
76128 KARLSRUHE
GERMANY
E-mail address: christoph.schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de
URL: <http://www.mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de/milweis/~schmoeger/>