

## Boundary and Eigenvalue Problems

### Exercise Sheet 11

Let  $H$  be Hilbert space and let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a linear, bounded operator in  $H$ . Its resolvent set  $\rho(T)$  is defined by

$$\rho(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is bijective}\}$$

whereas its spectrum is given by  $\sigma(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$ .

#### Exercise 26

Consider the shift operators in  $l^2$ :

$$L : l^2 \rightarrow l^2, (Lx)_n = x_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and

$$R : l^2 \rightarrow l^2, (Rx)_1 = 0, (Rx)_n = x_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

For  $T = L$  and  $T = R$  compute

(a) the adjoint operator  $T^*$

(b) point spectrum

$$\sigma_p := \{\lambda \in \sigma(T) : T - \lambda \text{ is not injective}\},$$

(c) continuous spectrum

$$\sigma_c := \{\lambda \in \sigma(T) : T - \lambda \text{ is not surjective and } \text{Ran}(T - \lambda) \text{ is dense in } l^2\},$$

(d) residual spectrum

$$\sigma_r := \{\lambda \in \sigma(T) : T - \lambda \text{ is not surjective and } \text{Ran}(T - \lambda) \text{ is not dense in } l^2\}.$$

HINT: Consider the point spectrum of the adjoint operator.

(e) For  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$  compute the resolvent  $(T - \lambda)^{-1}$ .

*Solution*  $T^* = R$  and  $R^* = T$  is a simple computation.

Let  $(x_k) \in l^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  fullfill  $(L - \lambda)(x_k) = 0$ . That means

$$x_{k+1} - \lambda x_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

. Consequently  $x_k = \lambda^{k-1}x_1$  which either converges for  $x_1 = 0$  (which will yield the trivial sequence and is hence negligible) or  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Hence,  $\sigma_p(L) = \{|\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . For the rightshift, the eigenvalue equation reads

$$\lambda x_1 = 0, \quad x_{k-1} - \lambda x_k = 0 \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Hence ( $x_1 = 0$  would yield the trivial element again).  $\lambda = 0$  and thus,  $\sigma_p(R) = \{0\}$ .

For the residual spectrum note, that  $(x_k) \in \ker(T - \lambda)$  iff  $(x_k) \in \text{ran}(T^* - \bar{\lambda})^\perp$ . Therefore  $\sigma_r(L) = \sigma_p(R) = \{0\}$  and  $\sigma_r(R) = \sigma_p(L) = \{|\lambda| < 1\}$ .

Now we first compute the resolvent operator. For  $\lambda \in \rho$  we have  $(L - \lambda)(x_k) = (y_k)$  iff  $x_{k+1} - \lambda x_k = y_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . One can prove by induction that this yields to

$$x_k = \lambda^k x_1 + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-l} y_l.$$

For  $y = e_i$  with  $e_i = (\delta_{ik})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have

$$((L - \lambda)(x_k))_k = \begin{cases} -\lambda^{k-1-i}, & k-1 < i \\ 0 & k-1 \geq i. \end{cases}$$

This makes sense for all. So  $e_i \in \text{ran}(L - \lambda)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore:  $\sigma_{ess}(L) \subset \{|\lambda| \geq 1\}$ .

Moreover, for  $|\lambda| > 1$  one can estimate

$$\|(L - \lambda)(x_k)\| \geq |\lambda| \|x_k\| - \|Tx_k\| \geq (|\lambda| - 1) \|x_k\|.$$

As in the exercise class, this plus the denseness of the image implies, that  $L - \lambda$  is (boundedly) invertible for  $|\lambda| > 1$ , so  $\rho(L) \supset \{|\lambda| > 1\}$ .

Finally consider the sequence

$$(x^l)_k := \frac{\lambda^k}{2^{\frac{k}{l}}}$$

Then we find:

$$\|(L - \lambda)(x_k^l)\| = \left\| \left( \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{2^{\frac{k+1}{l}}} - \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{2^{\frac{k}{l}}} \right) \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2^{\frac{k}{l}}} \left( \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{l}}} - 1 \right) \right\| = \left( \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{l}}} - 1 \right) \|x_k^l\|$$

For  $l \rightarrow \infty$  one finds  $\|(L - \lambda)(x_k^l)\| / \|(x_k^l)\| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, if  $L - \lambda$  was invertible, its inverse would not be bounded. This contradicts the open mapping theorem. Therefore:  $\sigma_{ess}(L) \supset \{|\lambda| = 1\}$  We conclude:  $\sigma_{ess}(L) = \{|\lambda| = 1\}$  and  $\rho(L) = \{|\lambda| > 1\}$ .

For  $T = R$  and  $\lambda \in \rho(R)$  one finds:  $(R - \lambda)(x_k) = y_k$  iff  $x_k = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} y_1 - \sum_{l=2}^k y_l \frac{1}{\lambda^{k-l+1}}$ . Again, for  $e_i$  we get:

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} & i = 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1-i}} & 2 \leq i \leq k \\ 0 & 1 \leq k-1 \leq i \end{cases}$$

is a preimage. Hence  $R - \lambda$  has a dense range and thus, by a similar estimate as above:  $\rho(R) \supset \{|\lambda| > 1\}$ . As the spectrum of an operator is closed, we have  $\sigma(R) = \{|\lambda| \leq 1\}$  and so  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(R) = \{|\lambda| = 1\}$ , as the partition of the spectrum is disjoint.