

• Local error

$$\text{Let } \omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}_0^3) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}^3) \cap \mathcal{X}_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^k \Lambda_j(\tau) \omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}_0^{3-k}) \subseteq \underbrace{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})}_{\substack{\subseteq H^2(\mathcal{Q})^6 \\ \text{Lemma 3.3}}} \quad \text{for } k=0,1, \quad j \in \mathcal{N}_0$$

2nd order differential operator

$$\Phi_\tau u - \mathcal{T}_0(\tau) \omega$$

$$= (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B})^{-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A})^{-1} \left[(\mathcal{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A}) (\mathcal{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B}) - (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A}) (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B}) \right] \mathcal{T}_0(\tau) \omega$$

$$= (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B})^{-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A})^{-1} \left[\mathcal{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \underbrace{(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})}_{=\mathcal{M}_0} + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} - \left(\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \underbrace{(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})}_{=\mathcal{M}_0} + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \right) \right] \mathcal{T}_0(\tau) \omega$$

$$\stackrel{(8)}{=} \tau^3 (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B})^{-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A})^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_2(\tau) - \Lambda_3(\tau) \right) \mathcal{M}_0^3 - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}_0 \Lambda_1(\tau) \right] \omega$$

(9) \uparrow
exercise

• Global error

$$u^n - u(t_n) = \Phi_\tau^n u^0 - \underbrace{\mathcal{T}(t_n)}_{=\mathcal{T}(\tau)^n} u^0$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi_\tau^{n-j-1} (\Phi_\tau - \mathcal{T}_0(\tau)) u(t_j)$$

(cf. exercise 10 and proof of Lemma 2.5)

$$\stackrel{(9)}{=} \tau^3 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi_\tau^{n-j-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B})^{-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A})^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_2(\tau) - \Lambda_3(\tau) \right] \mathcal{M}_0^3 u(t_j)$$

$$\stackrel{(10)}{=} \tau^3 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi_\tau^{n-j-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{B})^{-1} (\mathcal{I} - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathcal{A})^{-1} \underbrace{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{M}_0)^{-2} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{M}_0)^2}_{=\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{M}_0 \Lambda_1(\tau) u(t_j)$$

• For every $v \in \mathcal{X}_0$:

$$\| \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{M}_0)^{-2} v \|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \leq \| (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{M}_0)^{-2} v \|_{H^2} \quad (\text{because } \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \text{ is a 2nd order differential operator})$$

$$\leq C \| (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{M}_0)^{-2} v \|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}_0^2)} \quad (\text{Lemma 3.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I-M_0)^{-2}v\|_{D(M_0^2)} &= \|(I-M_0)^{-2}v\|_X + \underbrace{\|M_0^2(I-M_0)^{-2}v\|_X}_{=} \\ &= (I-M_0)^2 + 2(M_0-I) + I \\ &\leq 2\|(I-M_0)^{-2}v\|_X + \|v\|_X + 2\|(I-M_0)^{-1}v\|_X \\ &\leq C\|v\|_X \quad \text{because } \|(I-M_0)^{-1}\| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow AB(I-M_0)^{-2} : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is bounded.

• Use equivalence $\|\cdot\|_X \sim \|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ and boundedness of $\frac{\tau^{n-j-1}}{\tau}$, $(I-\frac{\tau}{2}B)^{-1}$, $(I-\frac{\tau}{2}A)^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n - u(t_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\tau^3 n \max_{j=0, \dots, n-1} \|M_0^3 u(t_j)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C\tau^3 n \underbrace{\|AB(I-M_0)^{-2}\|_X}_{\leq C} \underbrace{\|(I-M_0)^2 M_0 \Lambda_n(\tau) u(t_j)\|_{L^2}}_{\leq} \end{aligned}$$

$\tau n = t_n \leq t_{end}$

$$\|M_0 u(t_j)\|_{L^2} = \|M_0 \tau(t_j) u^0\|_{L^2} \leq C \|M_0 u^0\|_1, \quad \|M_0^l u^0\|_{L^2} \leq C (\|u^0\|_{L^2} + \|M_0^3 u^0\|_{L^2})$$

for $l=0,1,2$


Remark:

A similar error analysis can be carried out for Maxwell's equations (1), (2), (4) on the cube $\Omega = (0,L)^3$ with boundary conditions (3).

Additional technical difficulties due to boundary conditions.

4. Finite element methods

So far, we have assumed that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ or $\Omega = (0, L)^3$. In most applications, this is not true.

If $\Omega =$  is not a parallel piped, then finite difference methods or Yee grids are not suitable.

Better method for space discretization?

4.1 Weak formulation

Problem setting

$$(1a) \quad \epsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \text{curl } \mathcal{H} - \mathbf{j} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$(1b) \quad \mu \partial_t \mathcal{H} = -\text{curl } \mathbf{E}$$

$$(2a) \quad \text{div}(\epsilon \mathbf{E}) = \rho \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$(2b) \quad \text{div}(\mu \mathcal{H}) = 0$$

$$(3a) \quad \mathbf{E} \times \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

$$(3b) \quad (\mu \mathcal{H}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0$$

$$(4a) \quad \mathbf{E}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{E}^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$(4b) \quad \mathcal{H}(0, \cdot) = \mathcal{H}^0$$

Assumptions:

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ bounded, simply connected polyhedron with connected Lipschitz continuous boundary Γ and unit outward normal $\boldsymbol{\nu}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Cf. Definition 3.1, p. 38 in Monk's book

- $\varepsilon, \mu \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\delta \leq \varepsilon, \delta \leq \mu$ for some $\delta > 0$.
- Compatibility condition:

$$\partial_t \zeta + \operatorname{div} \gamma = 0 \quad (5)$$

- There is a unique solution Σ, \mathcal{H} such that

$$\Sigma \in C^1([0, t_{\text{end}}], L^2(\Omega)^3) \cap C^0([0, t_{\text{end}}], H_0(\operatorname{curl}))$$

$$\mathcal{H} \in C^1([0, t_{\text{end}}], L^2(\Omega)^3) \cap C^0([0, t_{\text{end}}], H(\operatorname{curl}))$$

where $H(\operatorname{curl}) = \{ \mathcal{F} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 : \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{F} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \}$

$$H_0(\operatorname{curl}) = \{ \mathcal{F} \in H(\operatorname{curl}) : \mathcal{F} \times \nu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \}$$

cf. Corollary 1.10 and Remarks at the end of Ch. 1.

The boundary conditions are to be understood in the sense of traces in $(H^{-1/2}(\Gamma))^3$ and $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$. See ch. 3.5.2 and 3.5.3 in Martin's book.

Integration by parts:

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{G} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{F} \, dx \quad \forall \mathcal{F} \in H(\operatorname{curl}), \mathcal{G} \in H(\operatorname{curl})$$

$$(7) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F} \, dx \quad \forall \mathcal{F} \in H(\operatorname{div}), \mathcal{F} \cdot \nu|_{\Gamma} = 0, \varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

cf. (11), (12) in ch. 1.6

Multiply (1a) with $\phi \in H_0(\operatorname{curl})$ and integrate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\partial_t \Sigma) \cdot \phi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{curl} \mathcal{H}) \cdot \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \gamma \phi \, dx \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{H} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \gamma \phi \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Multiply (1b) with $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)^3$ and integrate

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu (\partial_t \mathcal{H}) \cdot \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{curl} \Sigma) \cdot \varphi \, dx$$

Define $\langle \vec{F}, \vec{g} \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \vec{F}(x) \cdot \vec{g}(x) dx$ for $\vec{F}, \vec{g} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$. (5)

Weak formulation

Find $\vec{\Sigma}^h(t, \cdot) \in H_0(\text{curl})$, $\vec{u}^h(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ such that

$$(8) \quad \langle \varepsilon \partial_t \vec{\Sigma}, \phi \rangle = \langle \vec{u}, \text{curl } \phi \rangle - \langle \vec{J}, \phi \rangle \quad \forall \phi \in H_0(\text{curl})$$

$$(9) \quad \langle \mu \partial_t \vec{u}, \psi \rangle = - \langle \text{curl } \vec{\Sigma}, \psi \rangle \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega)^3$$

for all $t \in [0, t_{end}]$ with initial conditions (9a), (9b).

4.2 Galerkin ansatz

(6)

Choose finite-dimensional subspaces $U_h \subseteq H_0(\text{curl})$, $V_h \subseteq L^2(\Omega)^3$.

Find $\vec{\Sigma}^h(t, \cdot) \in U_h$, $\vec{u}^h(t, \cdot) \in V_h$ such that

$$(10) \quad \langle \varepsilon \partial_t \vec{\Sigma}^h, \phi^h \rangle = \langle \vec{u}^h, \text{curl } \phi^h \rangle - \langle \vec{J}, \phi^h \rangle \quad \forall \phi^h \in U_h$$

$$(11) \quad \langle \mu \partial_t \vec{u}^h, \psi^h \rangle = - \langle \text{curl } \vec{\Sigma}^h, \psi^h \rangle \quad \forall \psi^h \in V_h$$

for all $t \in [0, t_{end}]$ with initial conditions

$$(12a) \quad \vec{\Sigma}^h(0, \cdot) = P^E \vec{\Sigma}^0$$

$$(12b) \quad \vec{u}^h(0, \cdot) = P^H(\vec{u}^0)$$

where $P^E: H_0(\text{curl}) \rightarrow U_h$ and $P^H: L^2(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow V_h$ are suitable mappings.