



Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)

Sehr geehrter Herr
Dr. Tobias Jahnke (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Dr. Jahnke,

mit diesem Schreiben erhalten Sie die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung „Tutorial for Numerical methods in mathematical finance“.

Ihre Lehrveranstaltung „Tutorial for Numerical methods in mathematical finance“ hat den Lehrqualitätsindex

LQI = 99.1.

Die Auswertung zu Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung gliedert sich in folgende Abschnitte:
Zu Beginn der Auswertung werden die Ergebnisse der Befragung in Form von Häufigkeitstabellen dargestellt. Bei allen Fragen wird die Anzahl der abgegebenen Antworten (n) angezeigt. Bei den 5er-Skalafragen finden Sie zusätzlich neben dem Histogramm den Mittelwert (mw) und die Standardabweichung (s) der jeweiligen Frage. Neben manchen Fragen finden Sie zudem ein Ampelsymbol abgebildet. Diese Fragen dienen der Qualitätssicherung der Lehre. Im vorletzten Teil werden sämtliche 5er-Skalafragen in einem Profilliniendiagramm abgebildet. Zuletzt sind die Antworten zu den offenen Fragen aufgelistet.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen,
Ihr Evaluationsteam

Dr. Tobias Jahnke

Tutorial for Numerical methods in mathematical finance (108100)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 7




Periode: **WS 12/13**

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext




Erklärung der Ampelsymbole

Der Mittelwert liegt unterhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.
 Der Mittelwert liegt im Toleranzbereich der Qualitätsrichtlinie.


Der Mittelwert liegt innerhalb der Qualitätsrichtlinie.

1. Questions relating to Exercises


1.1) My commitment to this course is reflected by: (multiple choice)




1.2) How do you assess the participation of your colleagues in the course?




1.3) How much do you enjoy attending this course?




1.4) Why do you attend this course?




1.5) Coordination of the contents of this course with that of other courses in my curriculum is




How applicable are the following statements to you?




Please rate the exercise course regarding the following aspects:




1.19) How often did you participate in the exercises?



1.20) How many hours per week do you need for preparation and wrap-up of the course?




1.21) My knowledge of the contents of the lecture mainly results from (multiple answers possible)




Please rate the following criteria:


1.22) The amount of topics was ...




1.23) The requirements of the exercise on me were -...




1.24) Do you understand the importance of the course contents to your further studies?




1.25) The course enhances (multiple choice)



1.26) I learn a lot during this course




1.27) Does the lecturer point out the connection between theory and practice?




2. Questions concerning room conditions


2.1) The size of the room in comparison to the number of course participants is



2.2) The acoustics in this room is



2.3) View conditions in this room are



3. Questions concerning the homework assignments


3.1) Did you hand in homework assignments for this exercise?




If you did not hand in homework assignments for this exercise please proceed with question 4.1

How applicable are the following statements to you?


3.2) The problems matched the lecture.




3.3) The problems helped me very much to understand the topics of the lecture.




3.4) In general, the corrections of the problems were made very carefully.




3.5) The comments of the corrections were very helpful.



3.6) In general, I found the grading ...




3.7) How big was the portion of the problems solved by myself?




4. Questions relating to the studies


4.1) Current study course:



4.2) What is your semester?



4.3) Did you attend one or several of the following prep or in-depth courses? (multiple answers are permitted)




5. Comments


Anonymity cannot be guaranteed for handwritten comments. Please, disguise your writing style, if necessary.

6. Monitoring


6.1) Please rate the course as a whole




6.2) How large is the amount of work for this course?




6.3) The amount of work required for this course is...




6.4) How is the course structured?



6.5) Does the lecture appear dedicated and motivated during the course?



6.6) Is the lecturer responsive to questions and concerns of the students?




Thank you for your cooperation!
For more information see: www.pst.kit.edu/eval-info

Profillinie

Teilbereich: 1. WS 2012/13 Mathematik
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Dr. Tobias Jahnke
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Tutorial for Numerical methods in mathematical finance
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Questions relating to Exercises








2. Questions concerning room conditions





2.2) The acoustics in this room is	Very good		Very bad	n=7	mw=1,43	md=1,00	s=0,53
2.3) View conditions in this room are	Very good		Very bad	n=7	mw=1,43	md=1,00	s=0,53

3. Questions concerning the homework assignments

3.2) The problems matched the lecture.	yes, absolutely		no, not at all	n=4	mw=1,50	md=1,50	s=0,58
3.3) The problems helped me very much to understand the topics of the lecture.	yes, absolutely		no, not at all	n=4	mw=1,50	md=1,50	s=0,58
3.4) In general, the corrections of the problems were made very carefully.	yes, absolutely		no, not at all	n=4	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,82
3.5) The comments of the corrections were very helpful.	yes, absolutely		no, not at all	n=4	mw=1,75	md=1,50	s=0,96
3.6) In general, I found the grading ...	too mild		too strict	n=3	mw=2,67	md=3,00	s=0,58

6. Monitoring

6.1) Please rate the course as a whole	very good		very bad	n=7	mw=1,57	md=1,00	s=0,79
6.2) How large is the amount of work for this course?	very small		very large	n=7	mw=3,00	md=3,00	s=0,82
6.3) The amount of work required for this course is...	adequate		inadequate	n=7	mw=2,57	md=3,00	s=0,53
6.4) How is the course structured?	very well		very badly	n=7	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,58
6.5) Does the lecture appear dedicated and motivated during the course?	very strongly		not at all	n=7	mw=2,00	md=2,00	s=0,58
6.6) Is the lecturer responsive to questions and concerns of the students?	very strongly		not at all	n=7	mw=1,86	md=2,00	s=0,69

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

5. Comments

^{5.1)} Do you have suggestions for improvement of the exercise course (e.g. praise, criticism, ...) or regarding the evaluation sheet?

No