

## Aspects of Numerical Time Integration — Exercise Sheet 05

July 20, 2017

On this exercise sheet our aim is to compare the spatial order of **finite differences** and **spectral methods** applied to a time dependent problem. In particular we discuss the efficiency of both methods.

We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) on  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{K}$ , i.e.

$$i \partial_t u = i \Delta u + i |u|^2 u, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{K}, \quad (\text{NLS})$$

where at first we set  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  the real line.

### Exercise 8:

Show that in this setting the function

$$\psi_\alpha(t, x) = \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{\cosh(x\sqrt{\alpha})} e^{i\alpha t}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

solves (NLS) with initial value  $u_0(x) = \psi_\alpha(0, x)$ .

**Hint:**  $\cosh(x) = (e^x + e^{-x})/2$ ,  $\frac{d}{dx} \cosh(x) = \sinh(x)$ ,  $\cosh(x)^2 = 1 + \sinh(x)^2$ .

For practical implementation issues we introduce periodic boundary conditions for the solution of (NLS), i.e. we restrict ourselves to the torus  $\mathbb{T}_L := [-L\pi, L\pi]$  for some  $L > 0$ . More precisely, we replace  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{T}_L$  in (NLS).

Now we choose  $L$  large enough, such that the boundary conditions are neglectable in the numerical solution.

### Exercise 9:

Set  $T = 1$ ,  $L = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 8$  and choose a time step size  $\tau = 2^{-11}$ . Furthermore set  $u_0(x) = \psi_\alpha(0, x)$ . Choose  $N = 32$  grid points for spatial discretization.

- In MATLAB implement the Strang splitting method applied to (NLS) for the space discretization with **spectral methods** and for the space discretization with **finite differences**.
- Run both methods with  $N_1 = 32$ ,  $N_2 = 64$ ,  $N_3 = 128$  and  $N_4 = 256$  grid points and measure the elapsed time of each method for  $N = N_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , using the MATLAB `tic, toc` commands. What can you observe? Which method is "faster" and why?
- Create an **order plot** for the spatial order of both methods using the values of  $N$  from above by comparing the numerical solution with the exact solution  $\psi_\alpha(t, x)$  in the approximate  $L^2$ -norm, i.e.

$$\text{err}_{N_\ell} = \sqrt{h_\ell} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^{\text{num}, N_\ell}(t) - \psi_\alpha^{N_\ell}(t)\|_{l^2}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where  $u^{\text{num}, N_\ell}(t)$  is an array with approximation to  $u(t, \cdot)$  in the grid points corresponding to  $N_\ell$ , and where  $\psi_\alpha^{N_\ell}(t)$  is an array with the values of the exact solution  $\psi_\alpha(t, \cdot)$  in the grid points corresponding to  $N_\ell$ , i.e.

$$u^{\text{num}, N_\ell}(t) = \left( u^{\text{num}, N_\ell}(t, x_j) \right)_{j=1}^{N_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\alpha^{N_\ell}(t) = \left( \psi_\alpha^{N_\ell}(t, x_j) \right)_{j=1}^{N_\ell}.$$

How does your plot change if you use a time step size  $\tau = 2^{-12}$ ,  $\tau = 2^{-13}$  or  $\tau = 2^{-14}$  instead? Can you give an explanation?

- Now reset  $\tau = 2^{-11}$ .

Create an **efficiency plot** for both methods, i.e. create a `loglog` plot showing the time which is needed to obtain a specific error.

Therefore on the X-axis insert the error, which each method produces at the number of grid points  $N_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and on the Y-axis put the corresponding elapsed time.

Which of the schemes shows a better efficiency?

Discussion in the problem class thursday 3:45 pm, in room 3.061 in the Kollegengebäude Mathematik 20.30.