

# Existence of Strict Optimal Controls for Constrained, Controlled Markov Processes in Continuous Time

François Dufour *and* Richard H. Stockbridge

Institut de Mathématiques  
Université de Bordeaux

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Wisconsin Milwaukee

29 March 2011

- Stochastic control problems
  - constraints
  - technical assumptions
  - types of controls
- Equivalent linear programming reformulations  
(essentially from Kurtz & S (1998, 2001), Cho & S (2001))
- Sufficient conditions for strict optimal control  
(ideas from Haussmann & Lepeltier (1990), Warga (1972))
- Examples

# Long-term Average Control Problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \inf \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ t^{-1} \int_0^t \int_U c(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right] \\ \text{S.t.} \quad f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) - \int_0^t Af(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{is a martingale } \forall f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ t^{-1} \int_0^t \int_U F_i(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right] \leq \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{array} \right.$$

$X$  is the state process and  $\Lambda$  is a relaxed control process

$E$  denotes the state space and  $U$  denotes the space of controls

# Discounted Control Problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \inf \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_U e^{-\alpha s} c(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right] \\ \text{S.t.} \quad f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) - \int_0^t Af(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{is a martingale } \forall f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \\ X(0) \sim \nu_0, \\ \\ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_U e^{-\alpha s} F_i(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right] \leq \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \end{array} \right.$$

$\alpha > 0$  is a discount factor

# Finite Horizon Control Problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \inf \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_U c(s, X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds + g(X(T)) \right] \\ \text{S.t.} \quad f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) - \int_0^t Af(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{is a martingale } \forall f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \\ X(0) \sim \nu_0, \\ \\ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T F_i(s, X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds + H_i(X(T)) \right] \leq \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \\ \\ \mathbb{E} [G_j(X(T))] \leq \tilde{\lambda}_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right.$$

# First Exit Control Problem and Control Problem with Discretionary Stopping

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \inf \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\tau \int_U c(s, X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds + g(\tau, X(\tau)) \right] \\ \text{S.t.} \quad f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) - \int_0^t Af(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \text{ is a martingale} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{is a martingale } \forall f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \\ X(0) \sim \nu_0, \\ \\ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\tau F_i(s, X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds + H_i(\tau, X(\tau)) \right] \leq \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \\ \\ \mathbb{E} [G_j(\tau, X(\tau))] \leq \tilde{\lambda}_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right.$$

# Technical Assumptions (Kurtz & S (2001))

- $E$  and  $U$  are complete, separable metric spaces
- $A$  a linear operator satisfying
  - (i)  $A : \mathcal{D} \subset \overline{C}(E) \rightarrow C(E \times U)$ ,  $1 \in \mathcal{D}$ , and  $A1 = 0$ .
  - (ii) There exist  $\psi_A \in C(E \times U)$ ,  $\psi_A \geq 1$ , and constants  $a_f$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ , such that

$$|Af(x, u)| \leq a_f \psi_A(x, u), \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

- (iii) *Defining  $A_0 = \{(f, \psi_A^{-1}Af) : f \in \mathcal{D}\}$ ,  $A_0$  is separable in the sense that there exists a countable collection  $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{D}$  such that  $A_0$  is contained in the bounded, pointwise closure of the linear span of  $\{(f_k, A_0 f_k) = (f_k, \psi_A^{-1}Af_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .*
- (iv) For each  $u \in U$ , the operator  $A_u$  defined by  $A_u f(x) = Af(x, u)$  is a pre-generator (a generator of a Markov process is also a pregenerator).
- (v)  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under multiplication and separates points.

# Conditions on the Cost Rate Function

- (i)  $c$  is lower-semicontinuous and bounded below;
- (ii) for each  $a > 0$ ,  $\{(x, u) : c(x, u) \leq a\}$  is compact; and
- (iii) there exists a nondecreasing function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(r)}{r} = \infty$  such that

$$\Phi(\psi_A(x, u)) \leq a + b c(x, u).$$

The function  $\Phi$  is a Young function.



There exists a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  with respect to which:

- Relaxed controls
  - $\Lambda$  is a  $\mathcal{P}(U)$ -valued process such that  $(X, \Lambda)$  is  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -progressively measurable
- Strict controls
  - $u$  is a  $U$ -valued process such that  $(X, u)$  is  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -progressively measurable
  - $f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) - \int_0^t Af(X(s), u(s)) ds$  is an  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -martingale for every  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ 
    - $\Lambda_s(\cdot) = \delta_{\{u(s)\}}(\cdot)$

# Key Idea: Expected Occupation Measures (Time-Homogeneous)

- Long-term Average Problem

$$\mu_0(G) = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \int_U I_G(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right], \quad G \in \mathcal{B}(E \times U)$$

- Discounted Problem

$$\mu_0(G) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_U e^{-\alpha s} I_G(X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right], \quad G \in \mathcal{B}(E \times U)$$

# Equivalent Linear Programming Formulations

## Theorem: (LP Equivalence for Long-term Average Cost)

Suppose there exists a feasible pair  $(X, \Lambda)$  for which the long-term average cost is finite. Then the long-term average stochastic control problem is equivalent to the linear program

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min.} \quad \int c(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) \\ \text{S.t.} \quad \int Af(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \quad \int F_i(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) \leq \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ \quad \mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(E \times U). \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover, an optimal measure  $\mu_0^*$  and an optimal stationary relaxed solution  $(X^*, \Lambda^*)$  exist with the property that  $X^*(s)$  has distribution  $\mu_E^*$  and  $\Lambda_s^*(\cdot) = \eta^*(X^*(s), \cdot)$  for  $s \geq 0$ , where  $\mu_E^*$  is the state marginal of  $\mu_0^*$  and  $\eta^*$  is the regular conditional distribution of  $\mu_0^*$  on  $U$  given  $x$ .

## Theorem: (LP Equivalence for Discounted Cost)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min.} \quad \int c(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) \\ \text{S.t.} \quad \int A^\alpha f(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \quad \quad \int F_i(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) \leq \alpha \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ \quad \quad \mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(E \times U). \end{array} \right.$$

where  $A^\alpha f(x, u) = Af(x, u) + \alpha(\int f d\nu_0 - f(x))$

Intuition:

- View the discounting as an exponential lifetime (modula a factor of  $\alpha$ )
- restart the process upon death and
- evaluate the long-term average cost of this process.

## Theorem: (Time-Homogeneous LPs)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min.} \quad \int c(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) \\ \text{S.t.} \quad \int \widehat{A}f(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \int F_i(x, u) \mu_0(dx \times du) \leq \widehat{\lambda}_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ \mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(E \times U). \end{array} \right.$$

where

<p>Long-term Average</p> $\widehat{A}f(x, u) = Af(x, u)$ $\widehat{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i$	<p>Discounted</p> $\widehat{A}f(x, u) = A^\alpha f(x, u) = Af(x, u) + \alpha(\int f d\nu_0 - f(x))$ $\widehat{\lambda}_i = \alpha\lambda_i$
--	---

## Sufficiency Condition (Time-Homogeneous)

For each  $x \in E$ , define the sets

$$\kappa(x) = \{(z, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times U : z_0 \geq c(x, u), z_i \geq F_i(x, u), \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = \left\{ (z, (Af_k(x, u))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : (z, u) \in \kappa(x) \right\}.$$

**Condition: (Time-Homogeneous Generator)**

*For each  $x$ , the set  $\mathcal{K}(x)$  is closed and convex.*

## Theorem: (Strict Control for L-t Avg/Disc Problem)

*Assume there exists a feasible measure  $\mu_0$  for the linear program for which  $\int c d\mu_0 < \infty$ .*

*If  $\mathcal{K}(x)$  is closed and convex for each  $x$ , then there exists an optimal strict feedback control  $u^*$ .*

- The LP gives existence of an optimal measure  $\mu_0^*$  and a process  $X^*$  such that  $(X^*, \eta^*(X^*, \cdot))$  is a relaxed solution and the optimal cost is given by  $\int c d\mu_0^*$ .

Here  $\eta^*$  is a regular conditional distribution of  $\mu_0^*$  given  $x$ ;  $\mu_E^*$  denotes the state marginal distribution.

- Define 
$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}_0(x) &= \int c(x, u) \eta^*(x, du), \\ \bar{F}_i(x) &= \int F_i(x, u) \eta^*(x, du), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ \bar{A}f_k(x) &= \int \widehat{A}f_k(x, u) \eta^*(x, du), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$
- Then  $\left( (\bar{c}_0(x), \bar{F}_1(x), \dots, \bar{F}_m(x)), (\bar{A}f_k(x))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right) \in \mathcal{K}(x)$ .



# Idea of Proof

- Consequently, there exist measurable functions  $u^* : E \mapsto U$  and  $v : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$  such that for all  $x \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}c(x, u^*(x)) + v_0(x) &= \bar{c}_0(x) \\ F_i(x, u^*(x)) + v_i(x) &= \bar{F}_i(x), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \text{ and} \\ \widehat{A}f_k(x, u^*(x)) &= \overline{A}f_k(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

- Integrating with respect to  $\mu_E^*$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int \widehat{A}f_k(x, u^*(x)) \mu_E^*(dx) &= \int \int \widehat{A}f_k(x, u) \eta^*(x, du) \mu_E^*(dx) \\ &= \int \widehat{A}f_k(x, u) \mu_0^*(dx \times du) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \int F_i(x, u^*(x)) \mu_E^*(dx) &\leq \int F_i(x, u) \mu_0^*(dx \times du) \leq \widehat{\lambda}_i, \quad i \leq m \\ \int c(x, u^*(x)) \mu_E^*(dx) &\leq \int c(x, u) \mu_0^*(dx \times du)\end{aligned}$$

# Key Idea: Expected Occupation Measures (Time-Inhomogeneous)

- Finite Horizon Problem

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(G) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_U I_G(s, X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right], G \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times E \times U) \\ \mu_1(\cdot) &\sim X(T)\end{aligned}$$

- Exit/Stopping Problem

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(G) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\tau \int_U I_G(s, X(s), u) \Lambda_s(du) ds \right], G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times E \times U) \\ \mu_1(\cdot) &\sim (\tau, X(\tau))\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem: (LP Equivalence)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & \int c \, d\mu_0 + \int g \, d\mu_1 \\ \text{Subject to} & \int \tilde{A}f \, d\mu_0 + \int Bf \, d\mu_1 = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}, \\ & \int F_i \, d\mu_0 + \int H_i \, d\mu_1 \leq \lambda_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ & \int G_i \, d\mu_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ & \mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}_F(E_1 \times U), \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(E_2). \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\tilde{A}f(t, x, u) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) + Af(t, x, u)$   
 and  $Bf(t, x) = \int f(0, y) \nu_0(dy) - f(t, x)$

Finite Horizon:	$E_1 = [0, T) \times E$	$E_2 = E$
Exit:	$E_1 \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times E$ is open	$E_2 = G^c$
Stopping:	$E_1 = \mathbb{R}_+ \times E$	$E_2 = \mathbb{R}_+ \times E$

# Time Inhomogeneous Problems

## Condition: (Time-Dependent)

For each  $x \in E$ , define the sets

$\kappa(t, x) = \{(z, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times U : z_0 \geq c(t, x, u), z_i \geq F_i(t, x, u), i = 1, \dots, m\}$  and

$\mathcal{K}(t, x) = \{(z, (Af_k(t, x, u))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : (z, u) \in \kappa(t, x)\}$ .

For each  $(t, x)$ , the set  $\mathcal{K}(t, x)$  is closed and convex.

## Theorem: (Strict Control for FH/Exit/Stop Control Problems)

Assume there exists a feasible measure  $\mu_0$  for the linear program for which  $\int c d\mu_0 < \infty$ .

If  $\mathcal{K}(t, x)$  is closed and convex for each  $(t, x)$ , then there exists an optimal strict feedback control  $u^*$ .

## Example 1: Controlled Diffusions (Time-Dependent)

Let  $X$  satisfy

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t b(s, X(s), u(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X(s), u(s)) dW(s)$$

so the generator of the controlled process is

$$Af(t, x, u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(t, x, u) f_{x_i x_j}(x) + \sum_i b_i(t, x, u) f_{x_i}(x).$$

**Condition: (Haußmann and Lepeltier (1990))**

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , define the set

$$K(t, x) = \{(a(t, x, u), b(t, x, u), z) : u \in U, z \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, z_0 \geq c(t, x, u), \\ z_i \geq F_i(t, x, u), i = 0, 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Assume  $K(t, x)$  is closed and convex for almost all  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times E$ .

## Proposition:

*For the controlled diffusion process  $X$ , the Haussmann and Lepeltier Condition on  $K(t, x)$  implies the Time-Dependent Condition that  $K(t, x)$  is closed and convex for almost all  $(t, x)$ .*

## Remarks

- The joint convexity of the diffusion coefficients implies the joint convexity of  $(Af_k(t, x, u))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .
- Similarly, the closedness of  $(a(t, x, u), b(t, x, u), z)$  implies the closedness of  $(z, (Af_k(t, x, u))_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  in the product topology.

## Example 2: Controlled Markov Chains

- State space  $E = \{x_\ell : \ell \in \mathcal{I}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  denote  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n < \infty$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$
- Control space  $U$  a complete, separable, metric space

- Generator

$$Af(x_\ell, u) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} (f(x_j) - f(x_\ell)) q_{\ell j}(u)$$

with  $\mathcal{D} = B(E)$

# Controlled Markov Chains (Time-Homogeneous)

## Condition: (Rate Matrix)

For each  $x_\ell \in E$ , define the set

$$k(x_\ell) = \{(z, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times U : z_0 \geq c(x_\ell, u), z_i \geq F_i(x_\ell, u), i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and then define the set

$$K(x_\ell) = \{(z, (q_{\ell j}(u))_{j \in \mathcal{I}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{I}} : (z, u) \in k(x_\ell)\}.$$

Assume  $K(x_\ell)$  is closed and convex for every  $x_\ell \in E$ .

## Proposition:

Let  $X$  be a controlled Markov chain having generator  $A$  of the previous slide. Then the Rate Matrix Condition on  $K(x_\ell)$  implies the Time-Homogeneous Generator Condition on  $\mathcal{K}(x_\ell)$ .



## Example 3: Simple Markov Jump Process

- Let  $\hat{\lambda} : E \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be bounded.
- Define the generator  $A$  by

$$Af(x, u) = \hat{\lambda}(x, u) \int_E [f(y) - f(x)] \mu(x, u, dy), \quad f \in \hat{C}(E),$$

where  $\mu$  is a control-dependent transition function.

- The dependence of  $A$  on the control variable  $u$  occurs in the product  $\hat{\lambda}(x, u)\mu(x, u, \cdot)$ .
- $\hat{\lambda}(x, u)\mu(x, u, \cdot)$  represents the rate at which the process jumps from  $x$  into measurable sets using control  $u$ .

## Condition: (Simple Jump Process)

For each  $x \in E$ , define the set

$$k(x) = \{(z, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times U : z_0 \geq c(x, u), z_i \geq F_i(x, u), i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and then define the set

$$K(x) = \{(z, \hat{\lambda}(x, u)\mu(x, u, \cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathcal{M}_F(E) : (z, u) \in k(x)\}.$$

Assume  $K(x)$  is closed and convex for every  $x \in E$ .

The topology of weak convergence is used for  $\mathcal{M}_F(E)$ .

## Proposition:

*For the controlled simple Markov jump process having generator given in on the previous slide, the Time-Homogeneous Generator Condition is implied by the Simple Jump Process Condition.*

**Key Observation.** Suppose  $\{(z_n, u_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $(z_\infty, u_\infty)$  are such that  $(z_n, \hat{\lambda}(x, u_n)\mu(x, u_n, \cdot))$  converges to  $(z_\infty, \hat{\lambda}(x, u_\infty)\mu(x, u_\infty, \cdot))$ , where

$$\hat{\lambda}(x, u_n)\mu(x, u_n, \cdot) \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}(x, u_\infty)\mu(x, u_\infty, \cdot).$$

Then

$$\hat{\lambda}(x, u_n) \int_E [f(y) - f(x)] \mu(x, u_n, dy) \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}(x, u_\infty) \int_E [f(y) - f(x)] \mu(x, u_\infty, dy).$$

## Example 4: Solutions of controlled Lévy SDEs

**Process**  $X$  (formulation from Øksendal and Sulem (2004))

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) = & X(0) + \int_0^t b(X(s), u(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s), u(s)) dW(s) \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(X(s-), u(s-), y) \tilde{N}(ds \times dy). \end{aligned}$$

**Generator**

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x, u) = & b(x, u)f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}a(x, u)f''(x) \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x + \phi(x, u, y)) - f(x) - f'(x) \cdot \phi(x, u, y)] \nu(dy) \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

$\nu$  is the Lévy measure associated with  $N$ .

# Transition Kernel

For each  $x \in E$  and  $u \in U$ , define a kernel  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  given  $\mathbb{R} \times U$  by

$$Q(x, u, G) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_G(\phi(x, u, y)) \nu(dy), \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

For  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ , define the function

$$\bar{f}(x, z) = f(x + z) - f(x) - f'(x) \cdot z.$$

It then follows that

$$\int [f(x + \phi(x, u, y)) - f(x) - f'(x) \cdot \phi(x, u, y)] \nu(dy) = \int \bar{f}(x, z) Q(x, u, dz)$$

# Sufficiency Condition

## Condition: (Lévy Process)

For each  $x \in E$ , define

$$k(x) = \{(z, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times U : z_0 \geq c(x, u), z_i \geq F_i(x, u), i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and

$$K(x) = \{(z, a(x, u), b(x, u), Q(x, u, \cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M}_{\sigma F}(\mathbb{R}) : (z, u) \in k(x)\}.$$

Assume  $K(x)$  is closed and convex for every  $x \in E$ .

## Proposition:

The Lévy Process Condition implies the Generator Condition.

## Concluding Remarks

- The closedness and convexity condition on  $(z, (Af_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  is sufficient to prove the existence of an optimal control in the class of strict controls for the relaxed stochastic control problem.

# Concluding Remarks

- The closedness and convexity condition on  $(z, (Af_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  is sufficient to prove the existence of an optimal control in the class of strict controls for the relaxed stochastic control problem.
- Simpler closedness and convexity conditions on the model coefficients imply the more general condition.



# Concluding Remarks

- The closedness and convexity condition on  $(z, (Af_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  is sufficient to prove the existence of an optimal control in the class of strict controls for the relaxed stochastic control problem.
- Simpler closedness and convexity conditions on the model coefficients imply the more general condition.
- The equivalent LP formulation provides a single unified approach to prove existence of optimal strict controls for the long-term average, infinite-horizon discounted, finite-horizon, and first exit control problems, as well as control problems with discretionary stopping.

# Concluding Remarks

- The closedness and convexity condition on  $(z, (Af_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  is sufficient to prove the existence of an optimal control in the class of strict controls for the relaxed stochastic control problem.
- Simpler closedness and convexity conditions on the model coefficients imply the more general condition.
- The equivalent LP formulation provides a single unified approach to prove existence of optimal strict controls for the long-term average, infinite-horizon discounted, finite-horizon, and first exit control problems, as well as control problems with discretionary stopping.
- The measurable selection approach of Hausmann and Lepeltier for diffusion processes adapts to this more general model.

# Concluding Remarks

- The closedness and convexity condition on  $(z, (Af_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$  is sufficient to prove the existence of an optimal control in the class of strict controls for the relaxed stochastic control problem.
- Simpler closedness and convexity conditions on the model coefficients imply the more general condition.
- The equivalent LP formulation provides a single unified approach to prove existence of optimal strict controls for the long-term average, infinite-horizon discounted, finite-horizon, and first exit control problems, as well as control problems with discretionary stopping.
- The measurable selection approach of Hausmann and Lepeltier for diffusion processes adapts to this more general model.
- A significance of this work is the broad applicability of the results.